		cument 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 1 of 267 geid#: 1320			
	USA v. Jenkins	s, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION				
3	**************				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CRIMINAL CASE NO.: 3:23-CR-11 DECEMBER 11, 2024, 8:59 A.M.			
5	Plaintiff,	CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA JURY TRIAL, DAY 1			
6	vs.				
7	SCOTT HOWARD JENKINS,	Before: HONORABLE ROBERT S. BALLOU			
8	Defendant.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA			
9		*****			
10	APPEARANCES:				
11	Affeniances.				
[
12	For the Government:	ollin kon onon, logonki			
12	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm			
	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor			
13	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section			
13 14	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE			
13 14 15	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia			
13 14 15 16	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902			
13 14 15 16	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130			
13 14 15 16 17	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902			
13 14 15 16 17 18	For the Government:	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bla	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902 434-293-4283			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bla 255 West Ma Charlottes	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902 434-293-4283 air, RPR, RMR, CRR, FOCR ain Street, Suite 304 ville, Virginia 22902			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bla 255 West Ma Charlottes 434.296.92	LINA PENG, ESQUIRE DOJ-Crm Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557 MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE DOJ-USAO Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902 434-293-4283 air, RPR, RMR, CRR, FOCR ain Street, Suite 304 ville, Virginia 22902			

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 2 of 267 Pageid#: 1321 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: PHILIP ANDONIAN, ESQUIRE For the Defendant: JOSEPH P. CALEB, ESQUIRE Caleb Andonian PLLC 1100 H Street, NW, Suite 315 Washington, DC 20005 202-953-9850

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 3 of 267 Pageid#: 1322

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

(Proceedings commenced, 8:59 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

Let's call our case, please.

THE CLERK: United States of America v. Scott Howard

Jenkins, Criminal Action Number 3:23-cr-11.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the government is present by its counsel. The defendant, likewise, is present along with counsel. We're here today for trial.

Is the government ready to proceed?

MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And is the defendant ready to proceed?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

on my agenda this morning. First of all, I think all the jurors are here. We have about 70 or so, maybe just a couple over that. I'm going to start out by thanking them for coming in with the weather. I looked outside about ten minutes before I came up here, and it's raining quite heavily.

A couple of things, just so you all will know who is not on your list. Mr. Engle, I think by agreement or without objection, we struck him for cause. Ms. Ramirez got to us the travel documents that we have been looking for. She had preplanned travel over a portion of the trial. Mr. Douglas, I excused him. He provided a medical excuse. Ms. Meyer provided travel documents as well. She had preplanned travel as well.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 4 of 267 Pageid#: 1323

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Mr. Falls, who I believe is here -- I haven't confirmed that he, in fact, is here -- has had his civil rights restored as well.

What I thought we would do, to the extent we need to do individual voir dire -- and you all are going to have to help me as we identify folks that need to be individually voir dired. Just ask yes-or-no questions in your voir dire so we don't have anyone -- not all have to be yes or no, but those questions that may delve into things that are private or may elicit a response that we don't want in front of the entire venire, just ask yes-or-no questions, and then we can individually voir dire them. My thought is we can do so here in the courtroom. It may be a little bit easier. That will allow the jurors to get up and wander around, go out in the hallway, go back to the jury room. We don't have to then bring everyone back. We'll just close the courtroom because a number of the matters are going to be private. I think that will work a little bit better.

Any objection, Ms. Peng, to proceeding that way?

MS. PENG: So Your Honor, you'll excuse the rest of the jurors and just ask them to come in individually?

THE COURT: Right. I'll excuse everybody, anyone who is not part of the case.

MS. PENG: But the courtroom will remain open to the public since the proceeding has to be public?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 5 of 267 Pageid#: 1324

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 THE COURT: No, because if we had gone back in the 2 back -- a number of the matters are private matters. 3 MS. PENG: I think we're okay with that, Your Honor. Mr. Andonian? 4 THE COURT: 5 MR. ANDONIAN: We're fine with that, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Very well. With respect to the Lafler 7 Frye inquiry, I don't want to know the status of any plea 8 negotiations at all. The purpose of the Lafler Frye inquiry, 9 Mr. Jenkins, is to assure to the extent there were any plea 10 negotiations, that those have all been conveyed to you, you 11 understood the nature of any plea offers that were made; and 12 that after consultation with your counsel, and understanding 13 all those, that you have made the decision to proceed to trial. So Ms. Choy, I'll just turn to the government. 14 if at all, were any -- the last plea offers extended to 15 16 Mr. Jenkins? 17 MS. CHOY: A plea offer was extended in May of this 18 year. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Mr. Andonian -- a 20 number of counsel sometimes object if I address the defendant 21 directly with respect to some of those things, but I'll follow 22 back up with Mr. Jenkins -- you all received a plea offer in 23 May, you conveyed it to Mr. Jenkins? 24 MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Went over it with Mr. Jenkins fully and

25

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 6 of 267 Pageid#: 1325

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
```

```
1
   completely?
 2
             MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: He understood all the aspects of it?
 4
             MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: And made a decision not to accept, and
   it's his decision to go to trial; is that correct?
 6
 7
             MR. ANDONIAN: That's correct.
8
             THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, do you understand all these
9
   things?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11
             THE COURT: You can sit right there.
12
             And received a plea offer in May, went over it with
13
   your counsel, and have elected to proceed to trial; is that
   correct?
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
16
             THE COURT: All right. Very well. That's all I've
17
   got on my list.
18
             Anything we need to address before we bring the jury
19
   in? I'm not sure they're all in line yet.
20
             MS. PENG: Just one logistical question, Your Honor.
21
   When you're doing your voir dire -- and I think yesterday we
22
   had discussed that maybe jurors will raise their hands.
23
   don't know who they are, even though --
24
             THE COURT: I'm going to have them identify
25
   themselves, yes. For a number of things, I'll follow back up.
```

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 A number of questions on my standard voir dire we have already 2 covered through the questionnaires. And so I have changed my 3 script a little bit to where it's going to be: Each of you have filled out a questionnaire and we appreciate you doing so. 4 5 To the extent there's anything additional to offer in response to these questions, please let us know. I mean, the 6 7 questionnaires are under penalty of perjury. So they're under 8 oath just as though they were here as well. I don't think we 9 have to go back through them all. There's a number of folks, 10 for example, who previously served as jurors. You all have 11 that information. If you want to follow back up with it in 12 your voir dire, you can certainly do so, but I was not planning 13 to have them restate what was already in their questionnaires. 14 And I would fully anticipate and will not be offended when I'm 15 doing my voir dire, I would expect to see the back of you all's 16 heads if you want to turn around and look at the jury, and 17 don't feel like you have to be facing this way. You can turn 18 around and look at the jury as well. 19 MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, may I ask just a couple of 20 questions as well. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. ANDONIAN: And they might be dumb questions, 23 but --24 THE COURT: No.

MR. ANDONIAN: -- I'll ask them anyway.

25

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 8 of 267 Pageid#: 1327

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

And now I just -- oh, I want to make sure we are not missing any questionnaires. I had on my list just a handful that we didn't appear to have a questionnaire for. Are those people presumptively going to be individually voir dired or do you have a different understanding of the state of questioning?

THE COURT: So anyone who came today -- and when
Ms. Melvin gets the jury lined up, anyone who came today who had not filled out a questionnaire should fill out -- should be given a questionnaire that we should receive.

Do we know how many are in that category?

We'll find out about that. I did not count how many questionnaires we had or not. If you have the list, if we have those questionnaires we'll get those to you. I know there's some -- you all were able to get --

MR. ANDONIAN: I think there were about eight people that had not -- at least we had not gotten a questionnaire for.

THE COURT: We're getting some questionnaires filled out. I presume they'll be scanned and emailed to you all as well. So let me find out about that and give you all a few minutes.

Do you have a written list of the eight that you have?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Hand it over to the government. Let them take a look at it -- or actually, I'll have Ms. Brown just make

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 9 of 267 Pageid#: 1328

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 a copy of it.

thing.

MR. ANDONIAN: Oh, I can write the names down.

THE COURT: Okay. If you could do that, that would be good.

MS. PENG: Your Honor, on that note, I think we did receive an additional questionnaire for a Kimberley McDaniel.

The government has a for-cause challenge against her. So I don't know if you want to hear that now so she can be excused, if she did show up today, without sitting through the whole

THE COURT: I had seen Ms. McDaniel. I'm trying to remember where I put her. We'll see how many of these folks I have questionnaires for. My guess is we're getting questionnaires for each of those if they showed up. We'll figure out how those are going to get to us and how many of those folks have otherwise shown up.

MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, I just had a couple of other -- while we're sitting here.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ANDONIAN: At some point I think you had mentioned we would be getting the randomized list?

THE COURT: Right, once we know who all -- everybody is here.

MR. ANDONIAN: Oh, that's still processing.

THE COURT: Yeah. So once we have everybody here,

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 10 of 267 Pageid#: 1329

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

then we then provide you the random list.

MR. ANDONIAN: Okay.

THE COURT: It will be from that list that we're then selecting the jury once we've gone through our for-cause strikes.

MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. And then the final question -this is just -- since we haven't been in front of Your Honor,
and I understand things in the Western District kind of work
differently depending on where you are -- but in terms of just
the mechanics of this, after you make introductory remarks,
will counsel have a chance to introduce ourselves?

THE COURT: Yeah. So I sent you the script before our first trial, and it is substantially the same. I put a little preamble in there that I'm going to just thank folks for being here. I add a little section at the -- and actually, this will be after the jury is selected and my instructions that we'll indicate that counsel may come and go because you're working on the case. That way in the event that however you all are working things, working witnesses, you can do so. And then I think I changed the introduction to a number of my questions: Have you previously served on a jury, have you previously been involved in a civil or criminal matter to the extent not provided in your questionnaires. And then after that, I'll have you all do voir dire.

What I typically do for introductions is I'll

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

introduce counsel, and then I'll let counsel introduce your case agents. I'll let you introduce Mr. Jenkins.

MR. ANDONIAN: Very well.

THE COURT: And then we'll go from there.

MS. PENG: Your Honor, we just wanted to revisit the courtroom closure issue. In terms of, you know, the public does have a right to jury selection, if there are private medical issues, perhaps that would be a reason to seal the courtroom, but otherwise we would request that at least the public have access even to the portions that are closed, so to speak, to the rest of the jury pool because the purpose is not to taint the rest of the pool with any information that may be, you know, derogatory or whatnot, but it doesn't extend to the right of public access to those proceedings, in our view.

THE COURT: Because we were otherwise going to go back in the jury room and the government was perfectly fine with that.

MS. PENG: That's true.

THE COURT: I know that's the way this has been done on a number of other occasions in the district. I haven't had to address that question.

Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: I mean, Your Honor, in my experience selecting juries in other jurisdictions, there is often -- I mean, almost as a matter of course -- bench conferences with

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 12 of 267 Pageid#: 1331

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

the white noise on that nobody can hear except counsel and the client. So it's always been my understanding that certain parts of jury selection are not broadcast to the public, even if the public happens to be in the courtroom. So I don't think that there's any problem with what Your Honor suggested, whether we go in the back, or whether we close the courtroom and have people come in here.

THE COURT: I've always done my cause strikes -- I know we've did the ones off the questionnaires yesterday here -- I've always done my cause strikes at the bench during trial.

My concern, if I deny a strike for cause and that ends up being in the jury that the judge denied a strike that the government or the defendant requested to be struck, and that person winds up on the jury and that gets to the jury, you've got -- you now have a problem.

MS. PENG: I think the strikes can be just done through --

THE COURT: No, I'm talking about the cause strikes.

The peremptory strikes, those are --

MS. PENG: Do you mean the jury would be excused during the discussion of the for-cause strikes, because they could be excused when we're having those discussions.

THE COURT: I wouldn't have that -- I'd have the record, but I wouldn't have the press here. I'd have the

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 13 of 267 Pageid#: 1332

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

courtroom closed for the cause strikes. I don't want that broadcast. I don't want that put in the press.

MS. PENG: I don't think we would object to that portion, but --

THE COURT: And also I think to the extent people have questions that are private.

So let me see if I can -- we'll do some work on it.

And when we get to that point, I'll let you know and I'll revisit it. So we'll look at that issue. And I've just handed you all five additional questionnaires. So the others are not here. So I think we now have all of the -- all of the questionnaires.

So why don't I take five minutes and let you all look through those real quickly so you know who they are, and then we'll -- assuming that Ms. Melvin is ready and she has the jury ready, then we'll go ahead and begin with the trial.

All right. We'll stand in recess.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: We are back in the record in the matter of United States versus Jenkins.

I am told that our jury is present and lined up and ready to go. You all have these additional questionnaires we provided to you, the randomized list and the alphabetical list as well. Let me address the issue that we were dealing with as we went out.

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the Supreme Court in 1984 decided the case of Press-Enterprise Company v. Superior Court of California, which is at 464 U.S. 501. It is a decision by Chief Justice Burger, and I believe Justice Blackmun concurred. In that particular case, it was a six-week long voir dire process that was closed, and -- and over the objections not of the parties but of the press. And in that particular case, the court found that the court had not made adequate findings for purposes of closing Specifically, the court said jury selection process voir dire. may in some circumstances give rise to a compelling interest of prospective juror when interrogation touches on deeply personal matters that a person has legitimate reasons for keeping out of the public domain. Before then, the court had said, the right of the accused to a fundamental fairness in the jury selection process is a compelling interest. The court had closed it because of -- to assure a fundamentally fair trial. And it says, but the court's conclusion that the Sixth Amendment privacy was sufficient to warrant prolonged closing was unsupported by findings showing that an open proceeding in fact threatened those interests. Hence, it is not possible to conclude that closure was warranted. Even with findings adequate to support this closure, the court orders denying access to the voir dire testimony -- because then after the trial, the court denied requests for the voir dire testimony, and it failed to consider whether alternatives were available

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 15 of 267 Pageid#: 1334

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

to protect the interests of the prospective jurors that the trial court's orders sought to guard. Absent consideration of alternatives to closure, the trial court should not Constitutionally close the voir dire.

Interestingly -- and I will put this in my conversation with the jurors as well -- to preserve fairness, at the same time to protect legitimate privacy, a trial judge must at all times maintain control of the process of jury selection, and should inform the array of prospective jurors, once the general nature of sensitive questions is made known to them, that those individuals believing public questioning would prove damaging because of embarrassment may properly request an opportunity to present the problem to the judge in camera, but with counsel present and on the record. Requiring the prospective juror to make an affirmative request, the trial judge can then ensure that there is, in fact, a valid basis for a belief that disclosure infringes on the significant interests of privacy.

In that particular case, it was a -- I believe it was a -- the defendant was charged with rape, and the court acknowledged that there are probably some privacy interests for those previous victims of sexual assault or sexual violence, but not for closing general voir dire.

So thank you for bringing it to my attention,

Ms. Peng. Individual voir dire will not be -- will not be

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 16 of 267 Pageid#: 1335

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 closed. And then we've done all of our for-cause strikes. We're continuing to look. We've done all of our for-cause 2 3 strikes on the record, and we'll continue to do so, so -unless we find something that suggests we should do something 4 5 different. Like I said, my concern is -- I just don't want someone on the jury that may have been the subject of a 6 7 for-cause strike to believe one thing or the other if they ever find that out. 8 9 Other than that, does that address the government's 10 concern, Ms. Peng? 11 MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian, any issues in that regard? 13 MR. ANDONIAN: No. 14 THE COURT: All right. Are we otherwise ready for our jury? 15 16 MS. PENG: Yes. 17 THE COURT: So I think -- do we want to put all the 18 people that are here, stick them on the far back? So if I 19 could ask everybody who's here -- first of all, I have excluded 20 witnesses. So anyone who is going to be a witness, I'm going 21 to ask you to be excluded during the course of the trial once 22 we begin. Anyone who is here to observe, you're welcome to 23 stay, but we're going to -- because we have about 70-some folks 24 here, I'm going to bring them in, I'm going to have them in 25 alphabetical order. I'm going to pack you all tightly into the

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 17 of 267 Pageid#: 1336

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

back. And then once we get our jurors in and seated, if we have some additional space, I'll let you spread out at that point in time, but I want to keep all the jurors together and in alphabetical order. So if I could put you in the back, what would be your back left, my back right, I'd be much obliged. Thank you.

(Jury in, 9:48 a.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You all please be seated. Well, good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Robert Ballou. I'm one of the district judges here in the Western District of Virginia. Let me first of all welcome you to federal court and also thank you for being here, especially on a day with the weather the way that it was and that it is. I know that many of you came from long distances in a lot of rain, and I very much appreciate it. The parties, I know, appreciate it as Today you have been summonsed for possible jury service. well. The right to a trial by jury is enshrined in the Sixth Amendment of the Constitution, and service as a juror is one of the highest duties that we have as citizens. And today, you join a long line of those citizens since the dawn of our country who have answered the call to serve, and on behalf of the Court and on behalf of the parties and on behalf of all the citizens in the Western District of Virginia, I want to thank you very much.

With that, I'm going to turn you over briefly to

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 18 of 267 Pageid#: 1337 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 Ms. Brown, my courtroom deputy, who is going to call each of you. Ms. Brown? This is the United States of America v. THE CLERK: Scott Howard Jenkins, Criminal Action Number 3:23-cr-11. Ladies and gentlemen, as your name and juror number are called, please answer. THE COURT: If you can just -- if you're able to stand so the lawyers can put a face with a name, and then you can sit right back down, I'd appreciate it. THE CLERK: Percy Waddlle Ayers. THE COURT: And if you can say "here," so that way the court reporter can get you, so --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Present. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ayers. THE CLERK: Elizabeth Wilfong Bailey. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. James Lawrence Belew, Jr. THE CLERK: PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. THE CLERK: Patrick Alan Betz, Jr.

Here.

Here.

THE CLERK: Donald Andrew Birkelund.

Brandon Michael Bilyard, Jr.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.

THE CLERK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

THE CLERK: 1 Jeffrey de Witt Blauvelt. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 3 THE CLERK: Laura Gail Boltz. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 5 THE CLERK: Ana Bowler. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 7 THE CLERK: Kelly Bright. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. THE CLERK: Dawn Marie Brunk. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 10 11 THE CLERK: Cody Daniel Bryant. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 13 THE CLERK: Karson Byers. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 15 THE CLERK: Tessa Maria Chisholm. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 17 THE CLERK: Lisa Michelle Choi. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 19 THE CLERK: David Bradley Cohen. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 21 THE CLERK: Charmaine Elaine dill. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 23 THE CLERK: Stacie Lee Dowdy. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 25 THE CLERK: Joan Michele Dreicer.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 20 of 267 Pageid#: 1339

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 2 THE CLERK: Christine Crute Estes -- oh, excuse me, 3 let me go back one. Michael Stephen Drotos. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Skipped me. 5 THE CLERK: Sorry. Christine Crute Estes. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 7 THE CLERK: Timothy David Falls. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 9 THE CLERK: Jeffrey Lee Ford. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 10 11 THE CLERK: Meagan Forsht. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 13 THE CLERK: Sherrie Lynn Frazier. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 14 Here. 15 THE CLERK: Michael Dwaine Fulkerson. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 17 THE CLERK: Jo Lea Gilmore. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 19 THE CLERK: Tyler Victoria Haislip. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 21 THE CLERK: Richard Henry Harris. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 23 THE CLERK: Karen Martinsen Hathaway. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here. 25 THE CLERK: Heather Bell Heuschen.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 21 of 267 Pageid#: 1340

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
2	THE CLERK: Karen Carpenter Ingram.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
4	THE CLERK: Sydney Bagley Kelly.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
6	THE CLERK: Hawa Sabatue Kennedy St. Hill.	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
8	THE CLERK: Frank Jay Krick.	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
10	THE CLERK: Elizabeth Lemieux-Denton.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
12	THE CLERK: Amanda Dawn Long.	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
14	THE CLERK: Brian Thomas McCarthy.	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
16	THE CLERK: Kimberley Robyn McDaniel.	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
18	THE CLERK: Lisa Polk-Green Meade.	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
20	THE CLERK: Kira Rose Memery.	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
22	THE CLERK: Molly Catherine Mitchell.	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
24	THE CLERK: Shawn Holden Mitchell.	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 22 of 267 Pageid#: 1341

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1	THE CLERK: Karina	A. Monroy.
2	2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
3	THE CLERK: Cody M	oubray.
4	4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
5	THE CLERK: Megan	O'Donnell.
6	6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
7	7 THE CLERK: Alicia	Orbeta.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
9	9 THE CLERK: Jaclyn	Woodyatt Patrizia.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
11	THE CLERK: Marsha	Honaker Peterson.
12	2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
13	THE CLERK: Caroly	n Shifflett Powell.
14	4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
15	5 THE CLERK: Mary J	ane L. Reed.
16	6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
17	THE CLERK: Bobbie	Swaringen Relken.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
19	9 THE CLERK: Kelly	Marie Rhoden.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
21	THE CLERK: Tanner	Grayson Rocha.
22	2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
23	THE CLERK: Karl A	lan Roelofs.
24	4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:	Here.
25	THE CLERK: Debora	h Johnson Scott.

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Margaret Conway Short.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Dora Shelton Smith.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
6	THE CLERK: Kristin Parker Southard.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Southard.
8	THE CLERK: Southard.
9	Cody Brett Stanley.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Christopher Michael Stapler.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, Stapler. Here.
13	THE CLERK: Kimberly Marie Stokes-Rath.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Robert Charles Taylor.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Present.
17	THE CLERK: Susan Thomas.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Diana Veronica Walker.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Emily Hobgood Walker.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Martha Claire Weiss.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Weiss. Here.
25	THE CLERK: Karie Ann Wilson.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 24 of 267 Pageid#: 1343

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.

THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, please stand and raise your right hands to be sworn.

Do you and each of you solemnly swear that you will make true answers to such questions as may be propounded to you upon touching your qualifications to serve in the case of United States v. Scott Howard Jenkins? You do?

ALL JURORS: Yes.

THE CLERK: You may be seated. Ladies and gentlemen, in order to serve as a juror in this court, you must be a citizen of the United States who has attained the age of 18 years, and has resided in the Western District of Virginia for one year. You must not be under charge or have been convicted in any court, state or federal, of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a period of more than one year, unless your civil rights have been restored. You must be able to read, write, and understand the English language, and must be able to both physically and mentally render efficient jury service. Do you and each of you qualify on these grounds?

ALL JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, like I said, welcome to federal court. Today you've been summonsed here for a possible jury trial in the case brought by the United States of America against Scott Howard Jenkins. From 2012 through 2023, Mr. Jenkins served as the elected sheriff of

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 25 of 267 Pageid#: 1344

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Culpeper County, Virginia. The government alleges that beginning no later than April 2019 and continuing until approximately January of 2023, Mr. Jenkins conspired to and did accept bribes, totaling at least \$75,000, from a series of individuals, in exchange for appointing those individuals as auxiliary deputy sheriffs and issuing them law enforcement credentials and badges. The alleged bribes consisted of cash payments, as well as monetary and in-kind contributions to Mr. Jenkins's reelection campaigns. The government alleges that Mr. Jenkins further agreed to use his official position to help one of those individuals gain approval for his petition to restore his firearm rights in exchange for the bribes.

Mr. Jenkins is charged with one count of conspiracy to commit offenses against the United States, four counts of honest services mail and wire fraud, and seven counts of bribery concerning programs receiving federal funds.

Mr. Jenkins has pled not guilty to these charges.

I will instruct you further, but if selected as a juror in this case, please keep in mind that throughout these proceedings, the defendant, Mr. Jenkins, is innocent of the charges until proven guilty. The indictment or the charges against Mr. Jenkins are only accusations and nothing more. They are not proof of guilt or anything else. Mr. Jenkins starts out this trial with a clean slate.

I'm going to ask you certain questions, and when I'm

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 26 of 267 Pageid#: 1345

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

through, counsel for the government and counsel for Mr. Jenkins will be permitted to ask you questions as well. The process of questioning jurors is referred to as voir dire, which literally means "to speak the truth." The purpose of the voir dire examination is to enable the Court to determine whether any prospective juror should be excused for cause, and to enable counsel for the government and counsel for Mr. Jenkins to exercise their individual judgment with respect to peremptory challenges; that is, challenges for which no reason need be given by counsel.

If you have an affirmative answer to any of my questions, I ask you please to raise your hand. I'll ask you to identify yourself by name so that we can get it on the record, and I will likely have some follow-up questions as well. Some of these questions will need to be answered without anyone present. And for that reason, some of the follow-up questions may be done on an individual basis outside the presence of the entire venire. And if there are any questions that are asked of you that are particularly personal in nature, that you wish not to discuss in public, then you need to make that request as well, and we'll address that on an individual basis as well.

So with that, let me begin this way: In this particular case, the government is represented by Celia Choy, Lina Peng, and Melanie Smith, who are Assistant United States

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 27 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1346
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
   Attorneys. Can I ask y'all each to rise and identify
 2
   yourselves for the jury?
 3
              MS. PENG: Lina Peng for the government. Nice to
 4
   meet you all.
 5
              MS. SMITH: Melanie Smith. Nice to meet you.
 6
                        And I'm Celia Choy. Good morning.
              MS. CHOY:
 7
              THE COURT: Do any of you know Ms. Choy, Ms. Peng, or
 8
   Ms. Smith?
 9
              Has any member of the panel had any dealings with
   Ms. Choy, Ms. Peng, or Ms. Smith?
10
11
              And counsel, I'll ask you to rise and introduce your
12
   case agents who are sitting with you at counsel table.
13
              MS. PENG: This is Mr. Scott Medearis, Special Agent
14
   Scott Medearis, with the FBI.
15
              MR. MEDEARIS: Morning.
16
             MS. PENG:
                        And Andrew Clouser with the FBI.
17
             MR. CLOUSER: Good morning.
18
              MS. PENG:
                        And Lauren Fastenau is our paralegal, who
19
   will be assisting us in this trial.
20
              MS. FASTENAU: Good morning.
21
              THE COURT: Are any of -- members of the panel
22
   personally acquainted with, related to, or had any business
```

dealings with either the case agents or the paralegal that was

All right. The defendant, Scott Jenkins, is

23

24

25

introduced?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 28 of 267 Pageid#: 1347

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

represented by Phil Andonian and Joseph Caleb. Gentlemen, if 1 2 you could rise and introduce yourselves, I'd be much obliged. 3 MR. ANDONIAN: Good morning, everybody. My name is Phil Andonian. 4 5 MR. CALEB: And good morning, everyone. My name is Joseph Caleb. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Has any member of the panel 8 had any dealings with Mr. Andonian or Mr. Caleb? 9 And gentlemen, if I could ask you to rise and introduce Mr. Jenkins, I'd be much obliged. 10 11 MR. CALEB: Good morning again. This is Scott 12 Jenkins, our client. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 All right. So ladies and gentlemen, all of you filled out your questionnaires beforehand, and I very much 15 16 appreciate you doing so. Many of you have indicated -- or 17 you've answered all the questions. And so for those of you on 18 the panel that have -- that have answers that are different 19 from your questionnaires, I'm going to ask you to raise your 20 hand as I ask these next questions. So as it relates to 21 Mr. Jenkins, do any of you know him personally? 22 All right. So let's start -- we'll start over here 23 on the left -- or my left. And you are? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Timothy Falls.

THE COURT: You're Mr. Falls. And you know

25

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                                          Filed 12/11/24 Page 29 of 267
                             Pageid#: 1348
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
   Mr. Jenkins?
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. How long have you known
   Mr. Jenkins?
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably 30, 35 years.
 6
                         Do you know him personally, or did you
              THE COURT:
 7
   know him as the elected sheriff in his official capacity?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I knew him as a deputy when he
 9
   came to Rappahannock County, Virginia.
10
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Falls.
11
              We had a hand over here on the far left as well. And
12
   you are?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ana Bowler.
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Ms. Bowler, how long have you
14
15
   known Mr. Jenkins?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know him in a personal
17
   capacity, but I'm from Culpeper, so I'm familiar with him, and
18
   he assisted me in a car accident one time.
              THE COURT: And he what?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Assisted me in a car accident.
20
```

THE COURT: In a car accident? Okay. How long ago

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (No verbal response).

Okay. About eight or so years ago?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2016.

THE COURT:

21

22

23

24

25

was that?

Filed 12/11/24 Page 30 of 267 Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Pageid#: 1349

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else over there on that 1 2 side of the -- yes, ma'am? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Charmaine Dill, and I also am 4 from Culpeper. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do not know him personally, but 7 I was a server in a restaurant there in Culpeper that he and a lot of the deputies would frequent occasionally. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. And are you still working in that restaurant? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. 12 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago has it been since you 13 worked there? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About ten years. 15 THE COURT: Have you had -- go ahead. I'm sorry. Ι 16 interrupted you. Please excuse me. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was calculating. 18 THE COURT: And in the last ten years, have you had 19 any dealings with Mr. Jenkins? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody else over 22 there on the left side? Yes, ma'am? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Jo Gilmore. I'm also from 24 Culpeper County. I don't know Mr. Jenkins personally, but he 25 has been a sheriff a long time, as long as I've been in the

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 31 of 267 Pageid#: 1350

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 county. And I always thought he was a good sheriff. 2 THE COURT: Well, I just want to know -- you know, 3 you didn't know him personally or have any involvement with him as the -- as a sheriff? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. 7 Gilmore. 8 And ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask you all to 9 be -- to answer the question that's asked, because some of the 10 questions we may end up needing to ask each of you all 11 individually out of the presence of everyone. And so just try 12 to stick just to the question that's asked. 13 Anyone else over on the left side, before we move on 14 over to -- my left -- move over to the right? All right. I believe there was -- over here on the 15 16 front row. Yes, ma'am? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hi, I'm Amanda Long. I'm the 18 director of the Youth Network in Culpeper County, and 19 Mr. Jenkins and myself have served on several committees 20 together over the past five years, I would say. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And that's the past five years 22 from the present? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Are you all still on -- serving

25

together on anything?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 32 of 267 Pageid#: 1351

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Anybody else over on that side? Yes, ma'am? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Good morning. My name is 5 Kimberley McDaniel. I used to work at Social Services in Culpeper. And I am not close with Mr. Jenkins, but his aunt 6 7 did work there, Ms. Clatterbuck, and we've all worked very 8 closely together and have had Christmas parties together. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. McDaniel. 10 Anybody else, as we work back there? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Mary Jane Reed. And I don't 12 know Mr. Jenkins personally, but my late husband I know did 13 take concealed weapon training from him about 12 years ago. 14 husband has since passed away eight years ago. I also have probably met him in town at an event, shook hands. That was 15 16 the extent of it. 17 THE COURT: But you all are not personal friends? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not. 19 THE COURT: And may have run across him in some --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct. 21 THE COURT: -- electoral capacity? Okay. Thank you, 22 Ms. Reed. 23 Anybody else? 24 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, during the course 25 of the trial, you may hear certain names of certain people.

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

don't know whether they'll be witnesses or just names that are 2 part of the trial, but I'm going to read those names out. 3 if you -- let me get to the end, and then I'm going to ask you the question of whether you know any of these folks as well. 4 5 Carson Beard, Harry Carr, Thomas Cooper, Dale Durrer, Bernard Feaganes, Fredric Gumbinner, Philip Howell, David 6 7 Jones, Seppo Karkkainen, Valerie Lamb, Jennifer Locsin. Let me 8 stop right there. Anybody familiar with any of these names or 9 know any of these names? 10 Okay. So let's start over here. And you are Ana 11 Bowler? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Carson Beard is a close personal friend. 13 14 THE COURT: Do you all socialize together? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And in a six-month period, how frequently 17 would you all socialize with one another? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Several times a month. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bowler. 20 Anybody else on that side? There was another hand --21 was there another hand over there? Okay. I know there was a 22 hand over here on the front row. And you are? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Amanda Long. 24 THE COURT: Ms. Long, yes, ma'am? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Judge Durrer, I'm very close

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 1 friends with his wife. And Valerie Lamb is the finance 2 director for me as well, for the county. 3 THE COURT: Is she still the finance director of the 4 office where you are? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 7 Over here on the far end? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm Karen Ingram, and I'm 9 affiliated with Judge Durrer. 10 THE COURT: Judge Durrer? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 12 THE COURT: And do you know him personally? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not -- he's not in a social circle. 14 15 THE COURT: Not in a social circle? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 Anybody else on that side? Yes, ma'am? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Carolyn Powell. And Carson Beard 20 is the son of a dear friend of mine. 21 THE COURT: You describe him as a dear friend. Do 22 you all socialize frequently? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. His mother is a friend. 24 THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry. His mother is my

25

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 35 of 267 Pageid#: 1354

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 friend. 2 THE COURT: His mother is your friend. And do you 3 socialize with her? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 5 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Carson Beard. He --6 7 THE COURT: And you are -- if you could --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am Mary Jane Reed, I apologize. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Reed. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I met Carson Beard, and he helped 11 me when he was assistant county clerk with a will issue, my 12 late husband's will. We were made in Nevada, and getting it 13 all straightened out for Virginia. 14 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eight years ago. 16 THE COURT: All right. Have you had any involvement 17 with Mr. Beard since that time? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I have not. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Reed. 20 Anybody else? 21 All right. Other names that you may hear include, 22 Richard Mack, Maggie McDaniel, Jerry McKee, Chad McKnight, 23 Scott Medearis, James Metcalf, Don Miesle, David Myers, Travis 24 Owens, Clayton Phelps, Rick Rahim, Sylvia Renninger, Kevin 25 Rychlik, Peter Siebel, Jaime Travis, Jennifer Weakley.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 36 of 267 Pageid#: 1355

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

me ask that same question. Is anybody familiar with any of 2 those names? 3 All right. So like I said, you all have all filled 4 out your questionnaires, and we very much appreciate you doing 5 so. So as I go through these next questions, to the extent that you have answers to these questions that you have not 6 7 already provided on your questionnaires, I'll ask you to raise 8 your hand as well. 9 Have you ever served as a juror in a criminal or a 10 civil case, or as a member of the grand jury, either in the 11 federal or state courts? 12 Yes, sir? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was on a jury --THE COURT: 14 Hang on, let us get your name. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Robert Taylor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taylor? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was on a jury in a criminal

THE COURT: All right. How long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three years ago.

THE COURT: What type of case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Domestic.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case in Nelson County.

THE COURT: Domestic? And did you reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What was that verdict?

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 37 of 267
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                        Document 253
                         Pageid#: 1356
             USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Guilty.
          THE COURT: Guilty? And did you serve as the
foreperson?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                             No, sir.
          THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
affect your ability to sit today?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Taylor.
          Anybody else that has any other answers that they
didn't otherwise put on their questionnaire?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I put them on the questionnaire.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
          All right. And the same thing, to the extent not on
your questionnaire, have you or any member of your family
worked in law enforcement, including corrections at any level,
whether federal, state, or local?
          Have you or any member of your family or any close
friend been a victim -- I'm sorry. There was a hand.
          I'm sorry, please go ahead.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tessa Chisholm.

THE COURT: Hang on a second, Ms. Chisholm, if we can, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Are you hearing me?

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My stepfather is a retired D.C.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 38 of 267 Pageid#: 1357

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

```
police officer, and then he was a sergeant at the
 2
   Charlottesville-Albemarle Joint Security Complex.
 3
   mother worked for Offender Aid & Restoration here in
   Charlottesville, OAR.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. So as it relates to your
   stepfather, how long ago was it since he was in law
 6
 7
   enforcement?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, it's been a while. He's
 9
   passed away. Probably 20 years or so.
10
             THE COURT: 20 years ago? Okay. Was he in law --
11
   were you living with him while he was in law enforcement?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Well, when he was here in
13
   Charlottesville, not when he was in D.C.
14
             THE COURT: All right. And your mother, is she still
15
   with the offender restoration?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, she's retired.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. When did she retire?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About the same, about 20-some
19
   years.
20
             THE COURT: About 20-some years or so ago?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think, yes.
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         Anything about their experiences that
23
   would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in
24
   connection with this case?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so, no.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 39 of 267 Pageid#: 1358

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Chisholm. 2 Any other -- anything else? And again, we're looking 3 for things that are not already on your questionnaires. got one other hand that's not already on your questionnaire. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Charmaine Dill. 6 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Ms. Dill. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Brother-in-law and a 8 sister-in-law from Illinois, both in law enforcement, and my oldest son's father-in-law. 9 THE COURT: All right. What type of law enforcement 10 11 for your brother and sister-in-law? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Brother-in-law was local. 13 Sister, canine. She traveled extensively. 14 THE COURT: And your son's father-in-law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Really not that socially active. 15 16 I cannot tell you. I do not know. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about their experience as 18 law enforcement officers that would affect your ability to be a 19 fair and impartial juror in today's case? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, 22 Ms. Chisholm [sic]. 23 Anybody else with anything that's not already on 24 their questionnaire? One question all the way in the back.

And up front, two in the second row.

25

THE CLERK:

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 40 of 267 Pageid#: 1359

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Lisa Choi. I wasn't sure if a 1 2 brother-in-law was considered family. So --3 THE COURT: It may depend upon the family, but we'll 4 say yes. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So I didn't put that down on the questionnaire, but my brother-in-law was in the military 6 7 police. 8 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say ten years. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And what type of work 11 did he do with the military police; do you know? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know a lot. He was in 13 the Air Force. I don't really know that much about --14 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about his experience as a law enforcement officer that would affect your ability to be 15 16 fair and impartial in today's case? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You seem uncertain about that. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I mean --20 THE COURT: You will -- and this is true for the 21 entire panel. I give the instruction -- I give instructions to 22 the jury throughout the trial. One of the instructions that 23 you will always hear from me, probably more than you want to 24 hear it, but it's critically important, and that is, all 25 decisions that the jurors make have to be based upon the

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 41 of 267 Pageid#: 1360

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

```
evidence that comes before them during the course of the trial,
 2
   and the instructions that I give at the conclusion of the case.
 3
   That's what the decision has to be based upon, setting aside
 4
   any preconceived notions or prior experiences that you had.
 5
   Can you follow that instruction?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 7
             THE COURT:
                         That's my question, really. You can?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Choi.
10
             Anybody else? Anyone over on this side over here on
11
   the right side?
12
             All right. Very well. Have you or any member of
13
   your family or any close friend been a victim of a crime?
14
             Let's start over here on the front row.
15
   microphone is coming around to you, sir.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: James Belew.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Belew. And we spell our
18
   name differently. The question is, who gets it right?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My stepfather was murdered.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                                How long ago was that?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 25 years ago.
22
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Was there an investigation
23
   that led to an arrest in connection with that?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: Was that person put on trial?
```

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 42 of 267 Pageid#: 1361 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 2 Did they plead or did they go to trial? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They went to trial. 4 THE COURT: They went to trial? What was the outcome 5 of that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Six months. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So he was found guilty and 8 sentenced to six months? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (No verbal response). 10 THE COURT: That was, you said, 25 years ago? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 25 years ago. 12 THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience, 13 Mr. Belew, that would affect your ability to render a fair and 14 impartial verdict based solely upon the evidence that comes in 15 and the law as I instruct it? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 18 Anybody else over on the -- that side of the room? Let's come over to this side. Anyone over here? We'll start 19 20 right here in the corner. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Megan O'Donnell. I can't 22 remember if I put this on my questionnaire, but one of my good 23 friend's nieces was murdered.

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was about eight to ten years

24

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 43 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1362
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
   ago.
 2
              THE COURT: And was there an investigation that led
 3
   to an arrest?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Was that person --
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They pled.
 7
              THE COURT: They pled? All right. And where was
 8
   this?
          In -- go ahead, where was it?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This was in Illinois.
10
              THE COURT: Illinois? Okay. And how much time did
11
   they get?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember the exact
13
   amount.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that
15
   experience, Ms. O'Donnell, that would affect your ability to be
16
   a fair and impartial juror, deciding the case solely upon the
17
   evidence and the instructions that I give?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 No.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
              And Mr. Belew, if you can just speak up quickly,
21
   where was your father murdered, what jurisdiction?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Buckingham.
23
              THE COURT: Buckingham County? All right. Thank you
24
   very much.
```

All right. There was someone over -- someone else

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 44 of 267
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                        Document 253
                         Pageid#: 1363
             USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
here on the second row. Yes, ma'am?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's Molly Mitchell.
          THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, Ms. Mitchell?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                             I'm sorry, was the question has
any member of your family been the victim of any crime?
          THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. My sister had her car
stolen at gunpoint.
          THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About 15 years.
          THE COURT: Where was this?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In Jackson, Mississippi.
          THE COURT: Was there an investigation that led to an
arrest?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
          THE COURT: And did that person go to trial or did
they plead?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                             They pled.
          THE COURT: They pled? All right. Do you remember
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember.

experience that would affect your ability to be fair and

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.

All right. Is there anything about that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impartial?

how much time they got?

THE COURT:

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 45 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1364
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
 2
   Ms. Mitchell.
 3
              All right. Continuing on back, anyone else? Yes,
   sir?
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. Robert Taylor.
                                                             My
   sister was shot and killed in Greene County 25 years ago.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Same questions, Mr. Taylor. Was there an
 8
   investigation that led to an arrest?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
                         And that person, did they go to trial or
10
              THE COURT:
11
   did they plead?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Went to trial.
13
              THE COURT: And what was the outcome of the trial?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two life sentences plus 80 years.
              THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was this?
15
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 25 years.
17
              THE COURT: 25 years ago. Is there anything about
18
    that experience, Mr. Taylor, that would affect your ability to
19
   be fair and impartial, deciding the case solely upon the
20
   evidence and the law as I give it to you?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
22
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Thank you, Mr. Taylor.
23
              Anybody else? All right. Coming back around to the
24
   front row.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Elizabeth Bailey.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 46 of 267 Pageid#: 1365

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am, Ms. Bailey? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law died under 3 sheriff's custody 2017 -- 2016 in Georgia. 4 THE COURT: All right. Were there any criminal 5 charges that came out of that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil. 7 THE COURT: It was just a civil case? Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Wrongful death. 9 THE COURT: All right. And was that case settled? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 10 Yes. 11 THE COURT: All right. Were you a party to that, or 12 did he have separate family that were --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband and his brother and 14 mother were the parties to the case. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So indirectly, yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. Where in Georgia was this? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ben Hill County, I believe. 19 Fitzgerald, Georgia. 20 THE COURT: Is there anything in connection with that 21 experience, Ms. Bailey, that would affect your ability to be 22 fair and impartial, deciding the case solely on the evidence 23 that comes before you and the instructions I give? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 47 of 267 Pageid#: 1366

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

All right. Anybody else?

Again, to the extent not answered on your questionnaire, has any member of the panel at any time been involved in a criminal matter in any court that concerned yourself, any member of your family, or a close friend, either as a defendant, a witness, or as a victim? I think we may have covered the victims.

All right. Let's come over here. And again, to the extent not on your questionnaire.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hi, I'm Dawn Brunk.

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Brunk.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a witness about two and a half, three years ago. It was a case for my sister. She's a felon now. She was charged, and I was a witness in that case. And my father was also a felon, and he was convicted wrongly, and I'm not very impartial. I think this guy is guilty -
THE COURT: Hey, hey -- hang on a second, Ms. Brunk.

I just want to -- I just want to know the answers to your questions with respect to this. So let me ask you a couple of questions, Ms. Brunk.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: With respect to the times that you've testified, have you testified as character witnesses, or were you testifying regarding the facts of the underlying case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a witness in two of her

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 48 of 267 Pageid#: 1367

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 trials. She had two trials. 2 THE COURT: The facts of the underlying case, not as 3 a character witness for her; is that correct? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I was a character -- they 5 just wanted to know -- I was her sister, so they wanted to know everything about her. They were trying to send her to jail. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And where was this? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Winchester, Virginia. 9 THE COURT: Winchester? All right. Thank you very much. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I'm -- I don't see how I --12 THE COURT: Hang on. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- how I can be impartial. 14 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Brunk. Thank 15 you. 16 And again, ladies and gentlemen, please just answer 17 the questions that you're asked. Anybody else? 18 Yes, sir? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Michael Drotos. 20 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't remember any of the 22 details, it was so long ago, but I think -- I know I was a 23 witness once for a trial where somebody broke into the church,

and I was a witness to see -- they asked me if I locked the

24

25

doors and windows.

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 49 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1368
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't even remember what
 3
   happened.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Where was
 5
   that?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was here in Charlottesville.
 7
              THE COURT: In Charlottesville?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. And I think it was in this
 9
   court.
10
                         All right. Do you remember how -- do you
              THE COURT:
11
   remember what the outcome of the trial was?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was quilty. He kicked in the
13
   door.
              THE COURT: All right. Anything about that
14
15
   experience, Mr. Drotos, that would affect your ability to be a
16
   fair and impartial juror?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not at all.
18
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you very much, sir.
19
              Anybody else? Let's come over to this side of the
20
          Anybody else on that side?
21
              Again, I'm looking to the extent not on your
22
   questionnaire. Have you heard anything, read anything, or know
23
```

anything about this case at all, to the extent you haven't

already provided that on your questionnaire?

Yes, sir?

24

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 50 of 267 Pageid#: 1369

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My pastor is a retired -- Michael 2 Fulkerson. My pastor is a retired Orange County sheriff 3 deputy. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I --6 THE COURT: Hang on. Let me ask you a few questions, 7 Mr. Fulkerson. So your pastor is a retired deputy. And in connection with that, have you learned some facts about this 8 9 case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not facts. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. When was the last time 12 you had any discussions about this case with a -- with your 13 pastor? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 14 Yes. 15 THE COURT: All right. When was the last time you 16 had any discussions? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sunday. 18 THE COURT: Sunday? All right. Did you learn any 19 facts about the case that may affect your ability to sit --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He --21 THE COURT: Let me -- hang on a second, 22 Mr. Fulkerson. It really is important. Let me ask my question 23 and you answer, because it's going to be a yes or no. Were the 24 facts that you learned or anything in the discussion that would 25 affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 51 of 267 Pageid#: 1370

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

Anybody else?

In that regard, let me just make sure that I'm clear with respect to learning anything. Has anyone seen any press coverage in connection with this case that you haven't already put down on your questionnaire?

I may ask this question a couple of different times, but you will be instructed on your duty to decide the case solely on the facts presented and the instructions that are provided by me, and to put aside anything which you may learn or know outside of the courtroom. Is there any reason that anyone cannot follow those instructions and render a verdict based solely on the facts presented, and the law as I give it to you?

All right. Thank you.

Does anyone have any strong views, either positive or negative, about the Department of Justice or the FBI that you haven't already put on your questionnaire?

As I indicated, I will instruct you that Mr. Jenkins is presumed innocent of the charges brought by the government unless and until proven guilty by the government's evidence.

Do any of you have any hesitation or reservation in following this instruction?

In this case, as in all criminal cases, a defendant

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 52 of 267 Pageid#: 1371

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

has no obligation to testify or to present any proof of his innocence. Do you understand in this case that a defendant is not required to prove his innocence? If you all can answer yes in the affirmative.

ALL JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there anyone that doesn't understand that or can't follow that instruction?

Thank you. You may also not infer guilt from any decision that Mr. Jenkins may take to exercise his right not to testify or to offer evidence. If a defendant chooses to remain silent or to present no evidence, will each of you follow my instructions that you may not infer anything from those decisions?

ALL JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that a yes? Thank you.

I will instruct you that in order to find the defendant guilty, the government must present proof that convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt. Will each of you follow this instruction as to the burden of proof? And I'll give you further instructions as to what reasonable doubt means. Will each of you follow this instruction?

ALL JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If you're selected to sit on this case, would you be able to render a verdict solely on the evidence presented at

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 53 of 267 Pageid#: 1372

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 the trial and in the context of the law as I will give it to 2 you in my instructions, disregarding any other ideas, notions, 3 or belief that you might have about the law? ALL JURORS: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Is that a yes? Thank you. 6 Is there anyone -- and I'll tell you this: 7 starting today here on December the 11th. We're scheduled to 8 go through December the 20th. The lawyers and I have been 9 working diligently to be ready for this trial, and I feel very 10 convinced we're going to be done by the 20th without any 11 difficulty at all. 12 Is there anybody who has any special disability or 13 problem that would make it difficult or impossible to serve as 14 a member of the jury that you haven't already otherwise told us about in your questionnaires? 15 16 Yes, sir? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jeffrey Ford. My uncle recently 18 passed away and his funeral is on the 20th. 19 THE COURT: The funeral is on the 20th? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Where is that funeral? First of 22 all, I'm sorry very much, Mr. Ford. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Appreciate it. 24 THE COURT: Where is that funeral?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Purcellville, Virginia.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 54 of 267 Pageid#: 1373

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay. Are there things that are planned
 2
    leading up -- I'm sure family will be coming in and so forth?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Yes.
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 4
 5
             I saw another hand over here, come around to this
   side.
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Charmaine Dill.
 8
             THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Dill?
 9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have some serious night blind.
             THE COURT: Some serious what?
10
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's embarrassing. Night blind.
12
             THE COURT: Night blindness?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In terms of driving, negotiating
   out of Charlottesville after about 4:00.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. My typical schedule -- and I'll
16
   tell everyone this -- is that we're going to start promptly at
17
   9:00 every morning, and I break wherever there's a natural
18
   break, typically between 5 and 6, but I will go until 6.
19
   with it being December, it's dark by then. It's dark by 5 as
20
   well. So does that present a problem for you, as I understand
21
   it, Ms. Dill?
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir, it actually does,
   unless --
23
24
             THE COURT: And you're about an hour away, hour and a
25
   half?
```

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 55 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1374
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much,
 3
   Ms. Dill.
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I could stay, that would be
 5
   fine, if I were selected, but I just can't --
 6
             THE COURT: If you had --
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I had to.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. If hotel arrangements were made
 9
   for you?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
                                            Thank you.
12
             Over here on the front row -- or second row, excuse
13
   me.
        Yes, ma'am?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have night blindness also.
14
15
             THE COURT: Give me your name again.
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tessa Chisholm.
17
             THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Chisholm?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I did state that in the
19
   papers. So after 4:00 I'm no good for myself or anybody else
20
   if I'm on the road.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And you
22
   are about an hour away?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: An hour, yes. Well, yeah, it
24
   took an hour today.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, not really chamber of
```

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 56 of 267 Pageid#: 1375

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 commerce weather today to be driving in, that's for sure. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 Anybody else over on that side? How about the other 5 side? Yes, ma'am? I'm Jaclyn Patrizia. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 7 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't realize that it might be 9 over nine hours, and I would have to pick up my daughter before 10 nine hours because she's in day care. 11 THE COURT: Okay. If you are selected, Ms. Patrizia, 12 is there anybody that can get your daughter during this period? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not that I have arranged yet, 14 so I'd have to find out. 15 THE COURT: Could it be arranged if you're selected? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Possibly, but not 100 percent. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And the reason I ask -- and I'll 18 say this to everybody -- is that one of the reasons I began the 19 opening is that this is one of the highest responsibilities 20 that we have as citizens in serving our civic duty. I also 21 recognize that it is a tremendous burden, as well. For me to 22 do my job, I have to take you away from your job, and to take 23 you away from your families and your daily affairs. And that's 24 not lost on me as well, but it does require some personal

sacrifice. And that's the reason I asked, Ms. Patrizia, if you

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 57 of 267 Pageid#: 1376

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

are selected to serve and to fulfill your civic duty, could you make arrangements as well. So thank you.

Anybody else over on that side?

All right. Similar type question. I told you that the time frame -- we expect to go through up to December 20th, to get completed by then. Recognizing our civic responsibilities, is there anybody that has an issue with respect to this time frame? We understand Mr. Ford, I think it was -- yeah -- I know you've got a commitment on that particular day. Is there anybody else that has commitments or wouldn't be able to serve that can't otherwise be addressed?

Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Richard Harris.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Harris?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I run the mobile unit for All Blessings Flow out to outlying counties five days a week. And the shop will be closed for two weeks at the end of the year, but if I miss two weeks at the beginning of this month, people will not get their items for -- till next year.

THE COURT: All right. What do you all deliver again?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a nonprofit. We give out -- taking used medical equipment to the county.

THE COURT: Are you the only mobile unit driver?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Only member of the -- yeah.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 58 of 267 Pageid#: 1377

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

THE COURT: And can coverage be obtained if you're selected to be a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, there's no coverage for me if I'm selected as a juror. The mobile unit will cease to operate while I'm a juror.

THE COURT: There is no coverage?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Anybody else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. If you are selected as a juror in this case, you cannot discuss the case with your fellow jurors before you're permitted to do so at the conclusion of the trial. That means -- and you all are going to be together a long time -- that when you're back in the jury room, the only subject that's off limits is this case, even though it's the case is what brings you together. But talk about friends, talk about family, talk about sports, talk about your likes and dislikes, but nothing about the case. Nor can you have any discussion with anyone else about the case. You'll go home every night. You'll see family. You'll see friends. You cannot have any discussions at all about the case until a decision has been reached by the jury. And the reason for this is because it's very important that anyone who sits on the jury withhold beginning to make any decisions at all until all the evidence is in, until I've instructed you on what the law is

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 59 of 267 Pageid#: 1378

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

that governs the case, and until the final arguments are made. Then you can begin to discuss the case, then you can begin to make decisions as well. So you cannot talk about the case or have any communications about the case with anyone, including your fellow jurors, until I tell you that such discussions can take place.

In addition to that, in addition to not having face-to-face discussions with your fellow jurors, you can't communicate with anyone in any way, whether in writing, or through e-mail, text messaging, blogs, comments, or on social media, websites, or apps. You can't put on your favorite social media, hey, I'm sitting on a jury, as well. You can't do that as well.

Is there anyone who will not be able to comply with this restriction and the instructions that I give in that regard?

Yes, Ms. Brunk?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes --

THE COURT: Hang on a second, Ms. Brunk, let's get
the -- so the question is -- if you can stand up and have the
microphone -- so the question is: Will you not be able to
follow those instructions that you can't have any
communication --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't. I'm a big mouth.

People already know I'm here. I've already told people I feel

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

like he's guilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's just a yes or no, all right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I can't. I have a big mouth.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Likewise, you cannot conduct any independent or personal research or investigation regarding any matters related to this case. You cannot use your cell phones, iPads, computers, or any other device to do any research or investigation regarding the case, the matters in the case, the legal issues in the case, the individuals involved in the case, the lawyers involved in the case, the defendant, the case agents, anybody, or any other entities that are involved in the case. You must ignore any information about the case that you might see either accidentally while browsing the Internet or on your social media feeds. This is because, like I said, you must base your decisions that you will make in this case solely on what you hear and see in this courtroom. I will instruct you as well: Don't read any press coverage about this case as long as the case is pending and the trial is ongoing.

Is there anybody -- and Ms. Brunk, I know you've already answered this question -- is there anybody who will not be able to follow or to comply with this restriction?

All right. Having heard the questions put to you by me, does any other reason suggest itself to you as to why you could not sit on this jury, render a fair verdict based on the

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

evidence presented, and in the context of the Court's instructions to you on the law?

Okay. With that, Ms. Peng, any questions on behalf of the government?

MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So good morning again, everyone. My name MS. PENG: is Lina Peng. I'm one of the attorneys on behalf of the government in this case. And so as you've heard Judge Ballou told you, the purpose of voir dire here is to really find out if you all are appropriate jurors for this case. So as we've read your questionnaires very carefully, you all come with your own life experiences, your sense of, you know, different views about things, your common sense and reason. And that's exactly why we want you, the regular people, to be the decider of facts in this case. It's not going to be the government. It's not going to be the defendant. It's going to be you. But at the same time, you know, we want to also make sure that this is a fair trial for both sides. And so like I said, it's fine to have views and your experiences. That's exactly what we want. But some of you may have certain views that are so strong that you won't be able to set them aside for the purposes of this case, and to evaluate the evidence as presented to you in this courtroom, and to be an impartial arbiter of the facts, and then to follow Judge Ballou's jury instructions at the end of

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 62 of 267 Pageid#: 1381

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

the case.

So I'm going to ask you some questions as a group, and some of you individually as well. Some of them might feel a little uncomfortable. Please don't be offended if I pick on you or don't pick on you. This is just part of the process.

So -- and I thank you again for already being candid in your questionnaires. And this is really important that you be as truthful and honest as you can, because only you can really answer these questions.

So with that, I want to start with Mr. Blauvelt,

Jeffrey Blauvelt. Sir, so you've heard some of the issues in

this case and I just wanted to ask you: Are you able to, do

you think, given what you've heard about this case, evaluate

the evidence as presented fairly and impartially and keep an

open mind until the end of the case and then follow the jury

instructions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I put on my form that I've read some news articles already. So I can listen to the evidence and decide one way or the other.

MS. PENG: Yeah, I'm really glad you raised that, because I think many of you have read some news coverage about this case, and that is -- and you've heard what the case is about generally. But that's different than whether you're able to keep an open mind and listen to the evidence as it comes in this courtroom. And I think I hear you say that you can do

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 63 of 267 Pageid#: 1382

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

that, despite sort of knowing some stuff from the news about this case; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I mean, I have certain biases, but I think I can be open.

MS. PENG: That you'd be able to follow the judge's instructions at the end of the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MS. PENG: Thank you, sir.

Ms. Patrizia -- Patrizia, so it sounds like you've also read some stuff in the news about this case, and so I want to ask you the same question. You've read some stuff, maybe you have some views as you walk into the courtroom today. But will you be able to keep an open mind and still judge the evidence fairly and impartially, and then follow the judge's instructions at the end of the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MS. PENG: Thank you. And I want to open it up to, you know, everyone else. I know, again, a lot of you have read some news coverage about this case. I don't want to hear, you know, what you may have read necessarily, but the question really is, do any of you have an issue with still keeping an open mind, despite reading some stuff in the news, and listen to the evidence as it comes in, and still be able to deliberate fairly and impartially according to the judge's instructions, or does anybody have some hesitation still about that?

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know, Ms. Brunk, I've heard from you. I got you.

Anyone else?

So the next thing I want to ask about is a type of evidence that you'll hear. So you'll hear that there's going to be direct evidence and what's called circumstantial evidence. So circumstantial evidence is just a more complicated term for indirect evidence. So I'll give you an example of what this means. So let's say you have a toddler who really likes cookies, but who has been told they can't have There are some cookies on the counter, they're tall any. enough to reach it. And then you step outside of the room for five minutes. You come back in, your toddler -- the cookies are gone, the toddler has some crumbs on their face, they look a little guilty, they kind of run away. So that is circumstantial evidence, right, because you didn't actually see your toddler eat those cookies, but your common sense and reason tells you that based on other things you can see, you can draw an inference that your toddler, in fact, ate those cookies. So that's the only difference between direct and circumstantial evidence. Does anyone have an issue with, you know, the concept of circumstantial evidence? I expect that Judge Ballou at the end of the case will tell you that you ought to treat direct and circumstantial evidence exactly the same way. Does anyone have any issues or concerns about that particular instruction I expect you'll hear regarding

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 65 of 267 Pageid#: 1384

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 circumstantial evidence? 2 Okay. Let me call on Ms. Ingram, Ms. Karen Ingram. 3 So I think you stated in your questionnaire that you would want to see strong evidence in the case. Now, so I want to ask you 4 5 the question specifically, do you have an issue or concerns about the distinction between circumstantial and direct 6 7 evidence, or are you able to follow the instruction to treat those with the same weight? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm able to follow the 10 instructions, yes. 11 MS. PENG: And just while I have you, I think -- I'm 12 not sure you raised your hand on this, but did you talk about 13 your acquaintance with Judge Durrer? Did you already speak about that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just know him. 15 16 MS. PENG: And can you tell me a little bit more how you know him? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: His mother was a neighbor of mine 19 before she went into assisted living. 20 MS. PENG: And did you have personal interactions 21 with him at all, or --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not at all. 23 MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you. 24 And let me ask Mr. Byers, I think you answered in

your questionnaire that you had some certain expectations about

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 66 of 267 Pageid#: 1385

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

how perhaps an investigation would be done in a criminal case.

Do you have any additional thoughts about, you know, what I said about circumstantial or direct evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MS. PENG: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so the other topic I want to talk to you about in terms of types of evidence -- and some of you -- or all of you were asked this, right? So several government witnesses you're going to hear are going to be individuals who themselves have committed crimes. So they're going to be talking to you about the crimes that they've committed, and the crimes that they've committed with the defendant. And so -- and some of them will tell you that they hope to get credit for their cooperation in testifying with the government. I also expect that you will see evidence -- other evidence besides their testimony that will corroborate the testimony of these witnesses. And so the judge will give you instructions on how to evaluate the testimony of these types of witnesses at the end of the trial. He'll tell you that you should consider them carefully because of their interest in the case. But I want to ask you individually now about your views about hearing testimony from one of these witnesses.

So Mr. Robert Taylor, so I read from your questionnaire that I think you indicated that you were more likely to believe the testimony of somebody with a prior

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 67 of 267
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                             Pageid#: 1386
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
1
   criminal conviction. Do I have that right?
 2
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
 3
             MS. PENG: Okay. And can you tell me a little bit
4
   more about why you have that view?
5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no clue as to why. Why
   would I believe that?
6
7
             MS. PENG: Yeah, why -- I mean, if you have -- if you
8
   hear testimony from a witness and you heard also that they've
9
   committed crimes in the past, are you -- do you have any -- you
10
   know, are you more likely to believe them, less likely to
11
   believe them, or just neutral?
12
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just neutral.
13
             MS. PENG: Okay. But not less likely to believe?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
             MS. PENG: And do you have a reason as to why, or
15
16
   just sort of a hunch?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I mean, I would rather
18
   believe what they're saying is the truth, because they should
19
   have paid for their punishment the first time.
20
                        (Reporter clarification)
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
22
             MS. PENG: Sorry, go ahead. Can you repeat your
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, I would hope they would

tell the truth on the stand. Your question was if they're a

23

24

25

answer?

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

witness, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PENG: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. You know, I guess it all depends, you know, if they're on your side or their side, the way I see it.

MS. PENG: Can you say more about that? It's okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, we got all day. Well, as long as they -- I feel like I'm digging myself a hole.

MS. PENG: There are no wrong answers. We're just having a conversation.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's hard to explain. I'm sorry.

MS. PENG: Thank you. I appreciate the effort.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.

MS. PENG: All right. Let me pick on you, Mr. Ford. I think you said you had an answer to this question as well, as in evaluating the testimony of someone who has a prior criminal conviction. And I think you said something to the effect of you can't go on a person's past, and you need to hear all of the evidence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. Correct.

MS. PENG: Can you explain a little bit more about what you meant by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, because somebody was guilty before, that don't mean they're -- or maybe they didn't tell the truth before, that don't mean they're going to do it

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 69 of 267 Pageid#: 1388

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

again. You have to listen to everything, all the evidence, and then decide.

MS. PENG: Thank you. Does anyone have, you know, any thoughts or reactions to what Mr. Ford just said about how he might evaluate the testimony of someone like this?

Ms. Emily Walker, so if I read your questionnaire correctly, you actually expressed some skepticism regarding believing the testimony of these types of witnesses versus others; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MS. PENG: And can you explain a little bit more about what you meant by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If someone has been convicted of something in the past, or guilty, unless there's been a change of heart, then I would consider them to tend towards that dishonesty again.

MS. PENG: Yeah. And I think some of you in this room probably share Ms. Walker's view on that. But let me ask you this: If somebody -- you're listening to someone's testimony in that position, and there's additional evidence that corroborate what they're saying, would you still have a hard time believing what they're saying just because of a prior criminal conviction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I kind of go with Mr. Ford, I believe, that, you know, this needs to start at a level playing

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 70 of 267 Pageid#: 1389

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

field, and you know, I need to listen to the evidence that's presented and not let any prior -- anybody's past really affect my opinion of what's being presented.

MS. PENG: Thank you. Appreciate that answer.

I'm going to open it up to the group. Does anyone have a reaction to these questions or what's been said, such that they feel like because somebody has a prior criminal conviction they're going to not be starting at the same starting line as everybody else, despite what other evidence there might be corroborating what they're saying? Does anyone just sort of have a gut reaction, I'm not going to be able to trust somebody who has been convicted before, or somebody who has lied before, or been in some kind of -- you know, engaged in dishonesty? I want you to really think about that question. Does anyone have any sort of just nagging feeling that you're not going to be able to, you know, look at all the evidence and evaluate that person's testimony?

All right. So those are the main subjects I wanted to go over. Now I have some more individual questions based on your questionnaire that I want to ask. So Mr. -- I'm going to just go in alphabetical order so you all can relax while I work my way through.

Mr. Betz, hello, sir. So I think there's some answers in your questionnaire we're going to probably have a private discuss with you on. But I want to ask you this

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 71 of 267 Pageid#: 1390

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

```
1
   question. So you indicated in response to the question, do you
 2
   have discomfort regarding judging -- sitting in judgment of
 3
   someone. I think your answer was you'd rather not pass
 4
   judgment on someone; is that right?
 5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                Correct.
 6
             MS. PENG: Can you tell me a little bit more about
 7
   that perspective?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't really know how to put it
 9
   in words. I'd rather not set at home after being here and
10
   questioning whether or not I made the right decision. That's
11
   the best way I can explain it.
12
             MS. PENG: Right. So -- and that's a feeling you
13
   hold pretty strongly?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15
             MS. PENG: And so if you're seated as a juror and,
16
   you know, listening to the evidence, is that feeling going to
17
   stay with you, do you think?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Possibly. I can't say no.
19
             MS. PENG: So that might be a yes, then?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
21
             MS. PENG:
                       Okay. So do you think that -- you know,
22
    if -- would you be an appropriate juror for this case if you're
23
   going to have that feeling throughout the deliberations?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In that aspect, I would say no.
25
             MS. PENG: So you don't think you would be?
```

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 72 of 267 Pageid#: 1391
```

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am. 2 MS. PENG: Thank you, sir. 3 Ms. Christine Estes, hi. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hello. 4 5 MS. PENG: So I think you also indicated similarly that you may have certain views that you don't take lightly in 6 7 your questionnaire. And so I have like a similar question, 8 which is: Do you think that's going to impact your ability to 9 be a fair and impartial juror in this case and to render 10 judgment if you are seated as a juror? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think so. 12 MS. PENG: Thank you. 13 Ms. Meagan Forsht, hello. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hello. 15 So you are a true crime podcast fan. MS. PENG: 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am. 17 MS. PENG: As am I. I have very narrow interests. 18 And so my question to you is -- you know, I guess my first question is: What is it about these true crime podcasts 19 20 that really pique your interest? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I like to think about how the 22 brain works and why someone would do the things that they do. 23 MS. PENG: And how many of these podcasts do you 24 think you've listened to? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Several hundred.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 73 of 267 Pageid#: 1392

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 MS. PENG: Several hundred, wow. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't listen to music. I just 3 listen to podcasts and books while I drive. 4 MS. PENG: And so do you think that it's fair to say 5 that based on what you've listened to, you have views formed about crimes, justice, and things of that nature? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. 8 MS. PENG: Okay. And so my question is -- well, can 9 you tell me a little bit more about what those views might be? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The type of true crime that I 11 typically listen to is more serial killer type things. I don't 12 think that those would have any impact on this type of case. 13 MS. PENG: Are they typically, you know, trying to find serial killers, or like -- like that? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just discussing the historical --16 MS. PENG: I see. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- like Ted Bundy, John Wayne 18 Gacy. 19 MS. PENG: Got it. Did you also indicate that 20 because this case is about bribery and fraud that that created 21 some negative emotions in you because you have listened to some 22 podcasts about those types of matters? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It has. 24 MS. PENG: Can you tell me a little bit more about

25

what you were feeling?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Upon some of the rumors that I have heard in my workplace, it did create some negativity bias, but I do believe that I could put that aside and put my true crime brain to work and deliberate based on the evidence. MS. PENG: Got it. And that was going to be my, you know, question to you is -- you know, this is a courtroom. There's rules about evidence. It's not a true crime podcast obviously. And we have FBI agents here who conducted an investigation. Do you think that you're going to be able to hear their testimony, you know, fairly and impartially without the interference of your knowledge gained from the podcasts? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am. MS. PENG: And the last question -- sorry to stick with you for a little bit -- so you did indicate in your questionnaire also that you might have beliefs or morals that might be different from other people's. Can you tell me a little more about that? Did I get that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember what that was in regards to when I had answered that question. MS. PENG: But is that just on a -- you know, putting aside the questionnaire, is that true for you, that sometimes you might have beliefs or morals or whatever that you feel don't align with those of other people? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry, I don't remember what

my thought process was when answering that.

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                        Document 253
                                      Filed 12/11/24 Page 75 of 267
                         Pageid#: 1394
             USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
          MS. PENG: Okay. But is that -- that's not true,
then?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
          MS. PENG: Okay. Got it. And so there's nothing
else sort of that you feel might limit your ability to be a
fair and impartial juror in this case?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
          MS. PENG:
                    Thank you.
          Mr. Richard Harris. Hello, sir, how are you?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm doing fine.
          MS. PENG: So I think you indicated that you might
have some financial hardship -- or I'm sorry, that you might
have some difficulty sitting for long periods of time?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Did I write that on there?
          MS. PENG: I think you did, but --
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know about sitting long
periods of time. Just I know if I don't go to work I won't get
paid.
       And I do pay child support once a month.
          MS. PENG: So you will have no difficulty paying
attention, staying alert?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Paying attention, no.

-- you to tell me anything about what you saw, but did you

Okay. And again, I don't want to tell you

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I just Googled to see what

MS. PENG:

Google Mr. Jenkins?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Filed 12/11/24 Page 76 of 267 Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Pageid#: 1395 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 the case was about. MS. PENG: Okay. Was that after you completed the questionnaire or before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was before I completed the questionnaire. Is there anything -- I know you mentioned MS. PENG: that you're -- you know, you're the only person who can deliver the medical equipment. Anything else you think that would prevent you from being a juror in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only other thing I have is a mother at home that can't drive, so I have to take her to appointments and different things. MS. PENG: She needs to be driven to places, and you're the only person who can drive her around? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. MS. PENG: And is she going to be needing to be taken to places in the next few days? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no idea. They set appointments like two days in advance, so --MS. PENG: Okay. So it's possible? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

Okay. Thank you, sir.

Let me ask Ms. Powell, Carolyn Powell -- so it looks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PENG:

like your son is a deputy in Louisa County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                                          Filed 12/11/24 Page 77 of 267
                             Pageid#: 1396
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
1
             MS. PENG: Has he discussed with you the nature of
2
   his job at all?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Of course.
 4
             MS. PENG: And what types of things would you
5
   generally say he's told you about?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cases that he's worked.
 7
              THE COURT: Can you hold the microphone up a little
8
   bit?
         Thank you, Ms. Powell. Thank you.
9
             MS. PENG:
                         Interesting cases he's worked?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
10
                                 Uh-huh.
11
             MS. PENG: And you know, given the defendant is a law
12
   enforcement officer and that your son is a law enforcement
13
   officer, do you think you have concerns that you might -- you
14
   know, that might affect your ability to be a fair and impartial
   juror, since he's doing the same thing, basically?
15
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
17
             MS. PENG: I think you indicated in your
18
   questionnaire that you might have a hard time with media
19
   restrictions. Did I get that right?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: With what restrictions?
21
             MS. PENG: As in you don't look at the media or the
22
   news like during the pendency of this case that Judge Ballou
23
   just instructed on. I thought that you had said you might have
```

a hard time with that. So I just wanted to confirm whether

24

25

that's true.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 78 of 267 Pageid#: 1397

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't remember answering that question.

MS. PENG: Okay. Did you also indicate that you had some, like, religious -- you might have some religious beliefs that you might be concerned about, or do you think that you could be a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't have religious beliefs unless it's like the death penalty or something of that sort.

MS. PENG: Okay. You're Ms. Carolyn Powell, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

MS. PENG: I'm just double-checking my notes are correct. Thank you.

Mr. Stapler, sir, I think you might have indicated on your questionnaire that you would have some financial difficulties if you were to be seated. I just wanted to check in with you about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. My wife is unemployed, and I currently do not have a job, so I collect unemployment. So that's income for -- help with income for our home. So I would not collect my full benefits to help with finances for my home.

MS. PENG: So if you were to be seated for -- you know, until December 20th, that would present a difficulty for your household?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct. Yes, ma'am.

```
79
                                      Filed 12/11/24 Page 79 of 267
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                         Document 253
                          Pageid#: 1398
              USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
          MS. PENG:
                     Thank you.
          Judge, those are all the questions I have, except for
the ones that I think we should address in private.
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                             Thank you.
          Mr. Andonian?
          MR. ANDONIAN: Just one moment.
          THE COURT: Yes.
          MR. CALEB: Your Honor, if the Court is okay with it,
we're just going to split it up a little bit; is that okay?
          THE COURT:
                      Yeah.
          MR. CALEB: Good morning again, ladies and gentlemen.
So I just have a few questions I want to ask generally, and
then my colleague will follow up and ask some more specific
questions. So I just wanted to know: Is there anyone here
that knows or recognizes anyone else on the panel?
          Okay. Should we just start in the front, Judge?
```

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll go in an orderly way.

MR. CALEB: Okay. Ma'am?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Amanda Long and I have worked together before.

THE COURT: And give us your name, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kimberley McDaniel.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. McDaniel.

24 MR. CALEB: Okay. And just a follow-up, is there 25 anything about -- I'm sorry, who did you say you knew?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 80 of 267 Pageid#: 1399

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

```
1
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Kimberley McDaniel.
 2
             MR. CALEB: And who do you know?
 3
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Amanda Long.
 4
             MR. CALEB: Amanda Long.
 5
             Okay. And Ms. Long?
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, and I also know Patrick
 7
          I know Patrick as well.
 8
             MR. CALEB: Okay. So I don't think we need to go to
 9
   Patrick unless he knows someone else.
10
             THE COURT: And that's Patrick Betz, just so the
11
   record is clear.
12
             Okay. Anyone else? Ma'am?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know her, but I recognize
14
   a woman from my Pilates class that I take all the time.
15
   often work out next to each other. Her name is Joan, I think.
16
             MR. CALEB: Joan. Hi, Joan.
17
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hi.
18
             MR. CALEB: Okay. Anyone else?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Cody Moubray.
20
   recognize Amanda Long.
21
             MR. CALEB: Amanda didn't recognize you.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Sorry.
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I know. She's, I guess,
24
   indirect family member.
25
             MR. CALEB: Okay. All right. Next?
```

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 81 of 267 Pageid#: 1400

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Lisa Meade. 2 recognize Carolyn Powell. I used to work with her. 3 THE COURT: Can you say that a little bit louder? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Lisa Meade. 5 recognize Carolyn Powell. We used to work together. 6 MR. CALEB: Thank you, Ms. Meade. 7 And Ms. Powell, I take it you recognize Ms. Meade? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. 9 MR. CALEB: Is Ms. Meade the only one on the panel 10 that you recognize? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so, yes. 12 MR. CALEB: Okay. Thank you. 13 Is there anyone else? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Tyler Haislip. Cody Bryant was a childhood neighbor of mine, and then Ms. Carolyn 15 16 Powell's husband is a friend of my father's. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Kelly Bright, and I 18 recognize Amanda Long. Her and I went to school together. 19 MR. CALEB: Okay. Anyone else? 20 Okay. So just another general question: Is there 21 anything -- you know, for the people who mentioned knowing 22 someone else on the panel, anything about your knowledge of 23 your fellow jury member that would make it difficult for you to 24 sit on this jury? 25 Okay. Another question: Is there anyone here that

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 82 of 267 Pageid#: 1401

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

thinks that just because a person is sitting and charged as a defendant in a case means that they're either guilty or that they must have done something wrong?

All right. I think that's it for the general questions.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: If I could just have one moment, Your Honor. It might be that my questions are better --

THE COURT: Better reserved?

MR. ANDONIAN: -- for the back.

So I actually have just a couple of -- good morning, everybody, again. My name is Phil Andonian. Thank you for your patience. Thank you for bearing with all of us and for volunteering information. I know this is probably not the most comfortable thing that you would pick to do on a midweek morning.

One question I wanted to ask is, a lot of you -- and we might talk about this individually later -- but a lot of you talked about news coverage that you had been exposed to about the case, and opinions that you might have formed about the case based on the news coverage. What I want to -- I guess the first question I want to ask is: Since filling out your questionnaire, has anybody had exposure through the media to the facts or circumstances or the allegations in this case?

Yes, sir?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 83 of 267 Pageid#: 1402

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Hang on a second. Give us your name? 1 THE COURT: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jeff Blauvelt. 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I do subscribe to the 5 Washington Post, and just flipping through, you know, scrolling, I saw there was an article. And I tried not to read 6 7 the details, but there was an article in the Post recently. 8 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. You said you tried not to read 9 Did you pick up any information from it? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I mean, do you want me to 11 talk about it now, or private? 12 THE COURT: Don't talk about any of the facts. 13 MR. ANDONIAN: Yeah, just a yes or no question 14 whether or not you picked up any of the facts based on your 15 skimming of the article? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A couple key words, yes. 17 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Is there anything about -- and 18 again, this is just a yes or no question -- is there anything 19 about your kind of picking up of key words based on a quick 20 skimming over that article that you think would impact your 21 ability to be fair and impartial if you were selected as a 22 juror in this case? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I think I put on my form --24 I don't know how much I should say here. 25 THE COURT: Why don't we just reserve it for later?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 84 of 267 Pageid#: 1403

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

MR. ANDONIAN: That's fine. We can reserve it.
That's fine.

again.

Just to follow up on the question -- and again, this is a yes or no question. We're not trying to get into -- I don't want anybody to volunteer anything specific, but for those of you who have heard about or read about or had any exposure to the facts of this case or the allegations that are being made in this case through the press, does anybody feel that they would be -- they would have a very difficult time sitting as a juror and being impartial in this case?

Yes, Ms. Brunk, thank you for raising your hand

I think those are the only questions I have for right now. We reserve --

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, it's about 11:20. We've been going for approaching two hours. What I'm going to do -- we need to talk to some of you individually. And I need to get a list of exactly who those folks are going to be. So I'm going to release you all, but not from the courthouse, from here, let you be able to -- and we need to do this outside the presence of the entire venire. So I'm going to ask you all to leave the courtroom, not come back in the courtroom. We'll call you in as we need you. But you're welcome to mill around outside, mill around in the jury assembly area, but stay on this floor so when we need you --

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 85 of 267 Pageid#: 1404

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

and what we'll do is as we know who's going to be called, we'll say, you know, Mr. Smith is up next, and Ms. Jones is after that, and then Mr. So-and-so after that, so you all will know as well who's going to be coming.

I ask you this: Do not discuss anything about today's process thus far amongst yourself. It is very important that as we select a jury, we do so based upon the persons who walked in here, not upon any conversation that you had with each other. Don't talk to anybody about the case at all. Don't talk to anybody who is not part of the venire as well. I ask you not to have any conversations in that regard. We want you in your bubble, if you will, as we select our jury. And I promise you we're going to work our way through this as expeditiously as we can. If it looks like we're going to go past lunch, I'll let you know so that you can break and go get something to eat, if you want to brave the weather. But we'll keep you informed in that regard.

So with that, I'm going to ask the jury to be excused. And again, don't discuss anything, and we'll keep you advised.

(Jury out, 11:22 a.m.)

THE COURT: The jury has been excused, but the courtroom is not closed. And those of you all who have been sequestered on the back row, you can now move around freely as you like.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 86 of 267 Pageid#: 1405

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Here's what I'd like to do, in hopes that maybe this is an orderly process. Let's take five minutes, if you all have a list of those people we're going to have to talk to, hand them up to Ms. Brown, and then we'll put them in some type of order so that we can take them and we can kind of let those folks know, you know, who we're going to take first, and who's next, and so forth. And then let's take ten minutes for ourselves, take some comfort breaks. It's just a little after 11:20 now. You all will have to fight for the restrooms and so forth. If we come back -- it's closer to 11:25. If we come back at 11:40, is that enough time for you all to get the list, provide it to us, and then for you all to have a moment for a comfort break?

MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. CALEB: Your Honor, I did have a question.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. CALEB: If I may. So it didn't even dawn on me until the Court was reading its instructions about -- it was specifically the question that was being asked about whether -- when the Court was reading the names of the people that the jury may hear from -- and so realizing that we use pseudonyms for some of the -- for a couple of the agents, I'm just flagging that as an issue, because the names that were read to the jury, the jurors -- prospective jurors likely won't recognize those names.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 87 of 267 Pageid#: 1406

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 THE COURT: So I think -- and we took the names of 2 the -- I believe the two undercovers who have pseudonyms, those 3 are not out. And one of them, I only have a first name on. 4 And if need be, before we conduct any strikes, we can bring 5 them back in and we can give those two names. For the one 6 undercover, we have a first name. I think it's Mike. Anybody 7 know a Mike, right? That one may not -- but the other, which 8 did have a first and last name, we can read it out, if 9 necessary. But I think the jury is never going to know the names of those undercovers. 10 11 MR. CALEB: No, that's right. I think my concern was 12 more so I guess anticipating if there's an issue when the 13 witness takes the stand, and a juror may or may not know the 14 witness, and just trying to figure out how we -- how we deal with that, if that issue --15 16 THE COURT: Ms. Choy, the undercovers are not from 17 this area; is that correct? 18 MS. CHOY: That's correct. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Probably not likely. And since 20 we're going to sit three alternates, if we lose one, I would be 21 surprised. I'd be amazed if we lose more than one. I can't 22 read their actual names. 23 MR. CALEB: I know. I was just flagging the issue. 24 THE COURT: Right. Okay.

MS. PENG: Your Honor, we had marked one of the

25

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 88 of 267 Pageid#: 1407

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

jurors, one of the ones who had night blindness after 4 p.m., as someone to voir dire privately, but are we in agreement that she should be excused?

yourselves. If you all agree to that, then that's fine. But what I don't want to do is kind of deal with some of them and then come back. I mean, if you all agree that she can -- she has night blindness, I think she's also the person that put on there that she takes medication, and she's not -- she doesn't like to drive after she takes her medication -- or that may have been a different juror that we've already excused. But if you all agree that there are certain persons that should be struck for cause, and you want to give me that list when I come back, that's fine as well. I can think of one that probably ought to be struck for cause. But if there's not agreement, then we're going to go through the process, and I'll deal with the motions when they come.

MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ANDONIAN: I'm sorry, may I just raise one other --

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. ANDONIAN: It just occurred to me now that we're going to be commingling, using restrooms and such, I'm not sure if Your Honor said this initially --

THE COURT: I didn't give them that instruction yet.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 89 of 267 Pageid#: 1408

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

MR. ANDONIAN: Okay.

THE COURT: But if you want in the -- you know, for the short term, why don't you all go down to the second floor. The U.S. Attorney's office is here. They've got a place that they can go, if you all want to go down to the second floor. Yeah, you can just use the back walkway and go down to the second floor so you kind of stay out of everyone's way.

All right. Once you all get your lists, give them to Ms. Brown either before or after she takes her break and then we'll -- I'll come back around 11:40 or as soon as we can all gather back together. Thank you.

(Recess)

THE COURT: We are back on the record in the matter of *United States v. Jenkins*. The government is present by counsel, and the defendant likewise is present along with counsel.

So I've got an agreed list of who you all agreed to excuse. We just won't individually voir dire them, and then when we get to the strikes for cause, we'll just go through those.

Okay. Do these names, do they overlap at all? Do we know yet?

MS. PENG: Between the two parties?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PENG: I don't know that.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 90 of 267 Pageid#: 1409

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

THE COURT: On these lists? Okay. So let me -let's just do this. So what we'll do is -- why don't we start
with the government's list and I'll just go back and forth. Do
you all have copies of each other's lists? Okay. So let me
make copies of each other's lists so we can go back and forth.

And how long do you all anticipate with each one of

And how long do you all anticipate with each one of these folks, five minutes?

MS. PENG: Five minutes.

the defense's list.

MR. CALEB: Can we actually make two copies?

THE COURT: Yeah, once we get them scanned into our system, we can print out as many as we need. Okay. So we're going to get some others printed out. So what I propose that we do -- and Kelly, get a copy to Campbell as well. What I propose that we do is we just -- have we only printed one -
MR. ANDONIAN: I think we each just need one more of

THE COURT: Okay. So we've just got to print the defense out.

Is that we'll just go back and forth. We'll take -start with the government's, take Mr. Birkelund first, and then
we'll take Mr. Falls, then we'll take Mr. Blauvelt, and then
Ms. Meade, and just go straight down the list that way, back
and forth. We'll run out of defense -- I mean government folks
first, and then we'll get through everyone else. So as we are
getting these things printed out, let's go ahead and we'll call

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 91 of 267 Pageid#: 1410

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Donald Birkelund, and tell Timothy Falls and Jeffrey Blauvelt that they will be next. So Donald Birkelund. And I was just going to have the juror just be in the witness box.

Mr. Birkelund, come on up. We're going to have you sit right over here in the witness box. So I will remind you, you are under oath. As you advance through the questions, you'll remain that way. If there's anything -- because we are on the record, and we haven't excluded -- we've only excluded jurors, but not anyone else who wants to watch the case. If you ask any -- I have no idea the questions that the parties want to ask you, but if you're asked any personal questions that you want to take up outside the presence of anyone from the public, you just let us know. But you need to make that request.

All right. Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. PENG:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

- Q Mr. Birkelund, how are you?
- 20 All right. How are you?
- 21 Q So just -- I noticed that on your questionnaire you
- 22 | indicated that you don't trust the DOJ or the FBI. Did I read
- 23 that correctly?
- 24 A I believe so.
- 25 $\|Q\|$ Could you tell me a little bit more about why?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 92 of 267 Pageid#: 1411

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

- 1 A I think in pure -- in its purest form, I do trust it. But
- 2 I know there can be corruption and some other things behind the
- 3 scenes that could happen, so -- yeah.
- 4 Q Got it. So you just -- you're aware -- or you believe
- 5 that there could be general corruption at the FBI and the DOJ.
- 6 And do you mind telling me, you know, if you can, like what
- 7 gave rise to those views that -- of those views?
- 8 A Just what you see on the news, I guess.
- 9 Q Yeah. And so you're aware that this prosecution is going
- 10 to involve the DOJ and the FBI?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Do you think that those general views you have, you know,
- 13 of corruption is going to affect your ability to adjudicate
- 14 this case if you're seated?
- 15 ∥A I don't think so. I'm a pretty fair guy, so --
- 16 \parallel Q So -- yeah, so you understand that this is an individual
- 17 | case and it doesn't really --
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 \parallel Q -- potentially have to do with the larger issues here?
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q Okay. Anything else that we should know in terms of your
- 22 | ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
- 23 A No, ma'am.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian, any questions?
- MR. ANDONIAN: No questions.

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 93 of 267
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                        Document 253
                         Pageid#: 1412
             USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Birkelund.
Please do not discuss the matters that we discussed. Thank you
very much.
          All right. We'll next have Timothy Falls, and then
Lisa Meade, and then Jeffrey Blauvelt.
          Did you all get copies of the defendant's list?
          MS. SMITH: We did not.
          THE COURT: Yeah, we'll get that printed out.
          Mr. Falls, come on up, if you would, please, sir.
Just have a seat, if you would. Be careful stepping up,
Mr. Falls. Hope you're well today. I will remind you,
Mr. Falls, we put you under oath. You'll remain under oath as
long as you're answering these questions. And if there's
anything that's asked of you that is of a particularly personal
nature that you don't want to have the public to be able to
see, you can ask to take that up in private.
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
          THE COURT: We'll have still the counsel and the
defendant present.
          All right. Mr. Andonian?
          MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
                          EXAMINATION
```

22

23 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Good morning, Mr. Falls.

25 Good morning.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 94 of 267 Pageid#: 1413

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

1 Mr. Falls, I have just a few follow-up questions for you. 2 You mentioned that you had known Scott Jenkins for 30 to 35 3 years, and it went back to his time as a deputy in 4 Rappahannock; is that correct? 5 Yes, sir. 6 When you say you know him, did you have a personal -- and 7 I'm sorry if I missed this -- a personal relationship with him, 8 or you just knew of him? 9 I just knew of him. It wasn't a personal relationship with him. 10 11 Okay. Did you have any feelings about him, positive or 12 negative, based on that? It's a pretty long period of time. 13 I wouldn't say necessarily negative. I put on the sheet 14 that I just, you know -- I put on the questionnaire I feel that -- I thought he was a little arrogant at the time, but you 15 know, I always liked him. I never had any problem with him. 16 17 Okay. If I'm recalling correctly, on your questionnaire, 18 you -- I thought you had answered that you had some negative 19 opinions of Mr. Jenkins, and maybe had formed an opinion about 20 his guilt or innocence in this case; is that right -- am I 21 remembering that right? 22 No, I haven't formed an opinion on his guilt or innocence, 23 but I would rather not -- I would rather recuse myself from it, 24 you know, working in Culpeper with people that may know him and

his family and, you know, say I either sent him away or didn't

25

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 95 of 267 Pageid#: 1414

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

- send him away, have an opinion on it, when you have to, you know, live in the community.
- 3 Q Do you think that those concerns would make it difficult
- 4 for you to follow the Court's instructions and to apply the law
- 5 as the judge instructs you in this case?
- 6 A No, sir. I would still be unbiased.
- 7 Q Okay. And then I think my final question is, I believe
- 8 you noted that you had some medical issues that might pose a
- 9 hardship. If you could just talk to us a little bit about what
- 10 | those entail?
- 11 A I have a rod on each side of my spine, and rods going to
- 12 \parallel all my vertebrae, and rods going to my pelvis, and a cage up
- 13 the front of my spine too. That's not very comfortable,
- 14 especially sitting in the hard seats.
- 15 Q Is that something that you think -- and I'm sorry, that
- 16 | sounds like a lot.
- 17 A That's all right.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} Would that make it difficult for you to serve as a juror,
- 19 do you think?
- 20 A It's pretty uncomfortable, but we can work through it if
- 21 we have to.
- 22 \parallel Q Just to circle back -- I'm sorry, one last question, to
- 23 | circle back on your questionnaire. I believe you said that
- 24 you -- you would, quote, "I would say Scott Jenkins is guilty."
- 25 \blacksquare Do you remember writing that on your questionnaire?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 96 of 267 Pageid#: 1415

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

- A No, I don't remember writing that. I could have.
- 2 Q Okay. And so I guess I just want to -- want to make sure
- 3 I'm understanding clearly. I mean, if you could have written
- 4 that down -- I mean, I'll represent to you that's what's on
- 5 your questionnaire.
- 6 A Okay.

1

- 7 Q So there's no views that you have of Mr. Jenkins's guilt
- 8 or innocence, or is it possible that you do have some feelings?
- 9 A It's possible to have a feeling, but I wouldn't have a
- 10 | feeling -- would be unbiased with, you know, what the evidence
- 11 showed.
- 12 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. I think that's all I have, Your
- 13 Honor. Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: Hang on a second, Mr. Falls. Ms. Peng
- 15 may have a couple of questions.
- 16 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Falls, thank you very
- 18 | much. Please do not discuss what we've talked with you about
- 19 here today with the other jurors.
- 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 22 All right. We'll have Jeffrey Blauvelt, and then
- 23 Lisa Meade.
- 24 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: We have Lisa Meade on deck
- 25 ||right now.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 97 of 267 Pageid#: 1416

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

THE COURT: Okay. We'll take Ms. Meade right now. 1 2 Come on up if you would, Ms. Meade. Please be 3 careful as you step into the witness box there. And Ms. Meade, 4 I will remind you that the same oath you took this morning 5 still exists. And if you're asked any questions -- I don't know what counsel may ask you, but if you're asked any 6 7 questions that you believe are of a particularly personal 8 nature we need to take up in private, you can ask -- make that 9 request. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 12 Mr. Andonian? 13 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 16 Good morning, Ms. Meade. 17 Hello. 18 Ms. Meade, just a few questions. On your jury 19 questionnaire, you indicated that you had a very favorable 20 opinion of the DOJ or the FBI, and a somewhat unfavorable 21 opinion about criminal defense attorneys. Can you talk to us a 22 little bit about those views? 23 Well, as far as the Department of Justice, the law 24 enforcement, this sort of thing, when I was -- when I was --25 before I moved to this area, I lived in Beckley, West Virginia,

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 98 of 267 Pageid#: 1417

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

and I worked at a police department for 13 years. So I had a lot of involvement with officers, different things of that 2 3 nature. Now, and over the past 15 years, I handle insurance 4 claims, commercial liability insurance claims. So I have a lot 5 of dealings with plaintiff counsels, and I work a lot with defense counsels, be that negotiating settlements, mediations, 6 7 that basically sums up why I said it that way. 8 Okay. So this case obviously involves the Department of 9 Justice and the FBI on the one hand, and criminal defense 10 lawyers on the other hand? 11 Right. Right. 12 Do you think you would have any trouble being fair and 13 impartial, given your views of the Department of Justice and 14 the FBI on the one hand and criminal defense lawyers on the other? 15 16 I would like to think no. To say 100 percent, I can't 17 really say that, but I would like to think that I could. 18 know that's kind of a shady answer, and I don't mean to be that 19 way. 20 No, no --21 Just trying to be honest. 22 That's fine. That's all we can ask of you. 23 Do you think -- and this might be hard to answer because 24 I'm trying to probe into an intangible here -- but when you say

that you would like to think, do you have some way of -- or

25

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 99 of 267 Pageid#: 1418

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

- degree of certainty that you think you could be impartial, like
- 2 maybe as a percentage, or some other way to measure?
- 3 A No, not really. I don't really know how I could measure
- 4 lit. I mean, I feel like, yes, do I believe that everyone is
- 5 innocent until they're proven guilty? Yes, 100 percent on
- 6 that. In all of that side, I feel confident that I can be very
- 7 | impartial. I quess it's just -- I quess it's just my daily
- 8 life, my daily job dealing with -- certain plaintiff counsels
- 9 hasn't always been very nice to me, so -- over the last however
- 10 many years. That's why.
- 11 Q Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.
- 12 A You're welcome.
- 13 THE COURT: Hang on a second, Ms. --
- 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, I'm sorry.
- MS. PENG: If I could --
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. PENG:
- 18 Q So Ms. Meade, just so I'm clear, when you're saying
- 19 | plaintiff's counsel, that's in the context of civil insurance
- 20 claims?
- 21 A Yes. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 ||Q| So you understand that this is criminal?
- 23 A Yes -- this is criminal, yes.
- 24 Q These criminal attorneys are not --
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
         -- civil defense --
 2
         100 percent, yes.
 3
         So -- and then I think you said you would like to think,
   but you would try to follow the judge's instructions and
 4
 5
   consider the evidence to the best of your ability; is that
   right?
 6
 7
        Yes, ma'am. I mean, I would definitely want to do that,
 8
   yes.
         And I think that I can. I don't think there's a problem
 9
   there.
10
              MS. PENG:
                         Thank you.
11
              THE COURT: Let me ask you a couple questions,
12
   Ms. Meade.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes.
                          I used to do insurance defense work.
14
              THE COURT:
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You did? So you understand.
16
              THE COURT: Well, but it informs me that you sat
17
   through a number of jury selections as a claims adjustor, or
18
   have had reports back?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, reports --
20
              THE COURT: And you understand that the obligation
21
   that every juror has when they take the oath to sit as a juror,
22
    they swear to well and truly try a case based upon the facts
23
   that come before them and the judge's instructions, and to set
24
   aside any preconceived notions they may have; do you understand
```

25

that?

Pageid#: 1420

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: All right. Can you follow those 3 instructions? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And the reason I ask the question is to kind of go back to some of the questions that you were 6 7 previously asked, is that -- that means set aside any 8 preconceived notions that you may have about your like or 9 dislike of plaintiff's lawyers, civil defense lawyers, criminal 10 defense lawyers. And this is a case not about the lawyers. 11 This is a case about whether this defendant, who is accused of 12 crimes, has committed those crimes, and whether the government 13 can prove that based upon the evidence. Can you do that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Does that prompt any further 16 questions, Mr. Andonian? 17 MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Peng? 19 MS. PENG: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Please don't discuss your --21 what we talked about. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no, I won't. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 All right. Jeffrey Blauvelt will be next, and then 25 after that will be Kelly Rhoden.

Filed 12/11/24 Page 102 of 267 102 Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Pageid#: 1421

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

Come on up, Mr. Blauvelt. Am I pronouncing that correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, Blauvelt.

THE COURT: Blauvelt. Come on up, and we're going to have you sit right over here in the witness box. As you answer questions, you will remain under oath. And if you're asked any questions of a personal nature that you don't wish to discuss in public, you can ask to take those up in private, if you like.

All right. Ms. Peng.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. PENG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Blauvelt, I think you indicated that, you know, perhaps there were some things you saw in the news that you wanted to discuss out of the presence of the other jurors. So just an open-ended question regarding whether you wanted to say more about that Washington Post article you might have seen? Well, it's more when everybody kept asking, can you be fair and impartial and look at the evidence and ignore everything else. For being fair and impartial, I would have to admit I already have a bias against the defendant, because in the articles -- I've read the NBC29 before -- I've seen NBC29 reports before I got called for jury duty. And in those reports, it said that three people had already pleaded guilty to their charges, and that there were two FBI agents that were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

undercover in this case. And I'm presuming that's still happening. And then just scrolling past, you know -- like I say -- well, I read tons of news all the time in the Washington Post, and saw they -- just kind of as I scroll by, I'm not supposed to read that, but you know, kind of quickly confirmed those facts to me again. And again, to be fair and impartial, I also have to say that I'm more of a qun control proponent. I believe that existing gun laws should be really enforced, and so I'd have a bias against somebody who tried to circumvent some of those laws. And so, you know, I have to admit all of that in saying I can still keep an open mind, but I might not be that open-minded and so forth. Yeah, I appreciate your candor about that. That's really helpful. Just a couple of follow-up questions. You know, in terms of you're going to several a whole host of jury instructions at the end of the case that are going to address, for instance, how you should consider the guilty pleas of other people. I expect that they're going to say, don't consider that. And you're also going to receive instructions that basically say you're only to consider the issues presented before you, and so these other issues about gun control or things like that are not going to be a part of this case. I appreciate your candor in terms of telling us what you've read, and it sounds like you've read a couple of things. I guess my question to you is,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, the case, what it's about, has already been told to all of the jury. So the question isn't really have you had exposure, so to speak, to other information, but rather can you, in the context of the jury instructions, look at the evidence and consider the evidence that's presented in the trial, and only base your decision ultimately on that evidence? Yeah, it would have to be some really good evidence for the defendant that -- obvious, you know, he's -- I think the NBC article said that he was claiming everything he did was for supporting gun rights, and that that was his whole excuse for what was going on. And I'm opposed to that. So I feel I can't say -- can I be fair and impartial? I probably could, but I'm just being up front about it, you know. Yeah, I appreciate that. So probably could, does that mean -- I know it's hard to quantify. Is that --Yeah, they'd have to present something that every -- it was a big conspiracy, and all these FBI agents and everybody else were trying to frame them or something. You know, something like that, I could -- if I hear all that evidence, you know, then I go, whoa, maybe. But that's more like a TV thing, right? Just one last question. So the judge had previously asked all of the jurors that, you know, in this country we have a presumption of innocence, so the burden really is on the prosecution to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. And I

don't think you raised your hand in terms of having an issue 2 with that. Is that an instruction that you're able to follow 3 and that, you know, just because charges have been brought, 4 he's presumed innocent, and that he doesn't really have a 5 burden to produce any evidence to disprove his guilt, really the burden is on the prosecution to bring forth evidence to 6 7 prove his quilt beyond a reasonable doubt? 8 Yeah, well, if I hear -- if there's -- if I hear all the 9 prosecution evidence and I hear nothing from the defense, I'll 10 be able to weigh fairly? 11 Yeah, because he has a Fifth -- the defense has a Fifth 12 Amendment --13 Right, right. 14 -- right to be silent, and you'll be instructed that you can't construe that against him. 15 16 Honestly, I feel he's guilty, you know. 17 MS. PENG: Thank you. 18 Anything else, Ms. Peng? THE COURT: 19 MS. PENG: No. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian? 21 MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing from us. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Blauvelt, I think the question -- let 23 me just ask you a question real quick. The instructions that I 24 give to every criminal jury is that the defendant has zero 25 obligation to prove anything. The entire burden rests upon the

government to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you 1 2 understand that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. 4 THE COURT: Is that a yes? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I understand what you're 6 saying. 7 THE COURT: And so the point is -- this is the point 8 of Ms. Peng's question, is that a defendant has an absolute 9 right to put on no evidence, and the jury's burden then becomes 10 to weigh whether the government has proven its case beyond a 11 reasonable doubt, based upon its evidence alone. Do you understand that? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 14 THE COURT: You can't infer that because a defendant puts on no evidence that the defendant is guilty. You can't 15 16 consider that at all. Do you understand that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. So I would have to 18 hear from the FBI agents explicitly how they -- you know, 19 bribes were offered or exchanged to say, well, that's evidence 20 that I believe, yeah. But I have high respect for the FBI. I 21 don't think they'd be here if there wasn't a case. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Anything 23 further? 24 MS. PENG: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Blauvelt. Please do not

discuss what we've discussed here today with your fellow jurors out there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take Kelly Rhoden and then Cody Stanley after that.

All right. Ms. Rhoden, come on up if you would, please, ma'am. Thank you very much for being here. So Ms. Rhoden, I will just simply remind you you remain under the same oath that you took earlier this morning. If you're asked any questions -- I don't know what questions that counsel is going to ask you, but if you're asked any questions that are of a particularly personal nature that you want to discuss in private, you can make that request. All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thanks.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

25

- Q Good morning, Ms. Rhoden.
- 20 A Good morning.
- Q Ms. Rhoden, just a few questions. On your questionnaire, you answered that you had a very favorable opinion of the DOJ and the FBI, and that you found it -- and I think the word you used was slimy, when criminal defense attorneys know their

client is guilty, but point to others. Do you -- and that's

- 1 totally okay. That's totally okay. We're --
- 2 \blacksquare A There may have been wine involved as I wrote that. Sorry.
- 3 Q Totally understandable. I just want to I guess ask, given
- 4 those answers, very favorable view of the DOJ, FBI, find it --
- 5 you know, at least under certain circumstances -- slimy
- 6 behavior by criminal defense lawyers -- do you foresee those
- 7 views presenting a problem with you being fair and impartial in
- 8 this case?
- 9 A No. I think that's more of an anomaly. I think of people
- 10 who I think -- I don't know, past trials of people who are
- 11 generally looked at as being guilty, but kind of got off.
- 12 You've got to have an anomaly. There's just some -- you know
- 13 what I mean? But I don't think that's common. I think you
- 14 | have to treat your client as innocent. So I respect that as
- 15 | well.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. Do you have any views in this case about whether or
- 17 | not Mr. Jenkins is guilty or innocent?
- 18 A No. I know nothing about the case, honestly. The first I
- 19 heard about it was today -- or what it was about.
- 20 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. I think that's all I have.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Andonian.
- 23 Ms. Peng?
- 24 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you.
- 25 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Rhoden.

```
USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
```

1 Please do not discuss our conversation in here today. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. No problem. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 All right. Cody Stanley and then Karie Wilson. 5 Mr. Stanley, come on up to the witness box if you would, please, sir. Thank you again for being here, 6 7 Mr. Stanley, and you will remain under oath. If there is any 8 questions of a particularly personal nature that you wish to 9 take up outside of the presence of anyone else who is in the 10 courtroom, you can make that request. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 13 All right. Ms. Peng? 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. PENG: 16 Hi, sir, how are you? 17 I'm well. How about you? 18 Thank you. So I see that you said you're a Good. 19 certified fraud examiner; is that right? 20 Α No, ma'am. 21 What is it that you do for a job? 22 I work with IT. I work inside of a data center. 23 HVAC guy. 24 (Reporter clarification)

Data center -- I work -- I'm a HVAC technician for a data

- 1 center. I was a commissioning agent during the time of that.
- 2 I have recently switched jobs.
- 3 Q Does your father-in-law work for the Fairfax County
- 4 | Police?
- 5 A He is a retired police officer, yes.
- 6 Q And are you close to your father-in-law?
- 7 A We speak regularly, yes.
- 8 Q Have you learned about what it means to be a police
- 9 officer from him?
- 10 A We don't talk much about the job, other than just what he
- 11 is to -- or what he was now. Don't go into much detail on what
- 12 he was in the line of duty, so --
- 13 Q Understood. Have you formed any views about police work
- 14 | through your father-in-law?
- 15 A No, ma'am.
- 16 Q And I think you indicated that you voted for Scott
- 17 Jenkins?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q How many times did you vote for him?
- 20 A I lived in Culpeper County from 2018 to 2021. I voted for
- 21 him once.
- 22 Q And when was that?
- 23 \blacksquare A I believe it was the year 2019.
- 24 Q Okay. And then you also I think indicated that you know
- 25 about the possible allegations in this case, or you read about

1 it before you came in here today; is that right? 2 Correct. Being a citizen of Culpeper County, it was kind 3 of big news. 4 Okay. And so that was going to be my question. Where did 5 you learn about --Just being a citizen of the county. 6 7 So from news sources, or from people, word of mouth? 8 Just being a citizen of the county. I mean, they kind of 9 blasted it all over Culpeper County. I mean, it was a big thing for Culpeper County to have a sheriff, you know, with 10 11 these allegations. So that's -- I found out just being a part 12 of the county. At that time, I didn't have social media. 13 didn't have any of that. I didn't have TV, I didn't have 14 cable. So I found out strictly from being within the county. Got it. And you know, how did you feel about learning 15 those allegations, given that you voted for him? 16 17 Unfortunately, seeing as I did not know that at the time 18 of voting, it does put a damper on things. Unfortunately, when 19 I found out about the allegations, I was no longer a part of 20 the Culpeper County community. It does kind of make an 21 individual think twice about why -- or who he should be voting 22 for, for sure. Unfortunately, as I stated, the allegations did 23 not come out until after the fact. So it's not as if any of us 24 whom had voted for him knew about it during the time of voting

25

for him during that time, so --

Understood. And just, you know, in terms of the 1 2 allegations, can you tell me, like, if there were any specifics 3 that you learned, or were they just sort of general --Just the doing business outside of what his 4 5 responsibilities were as a sheriff, you know, doing things that could, you know, hurt yourself with the position that you were 6 7 voted in for to protect the community. It kind of does put a 8 damper on things. But I will allow, you know, the Court and, 9 you know, evidence to persuade me either way. I'm not 10 persuaded based off of what I hearsay -- or she say. 11 that's --12 Okay. I think that's helpful. Thank you. 13 Awesome. 14 Oh, I'm sorry, just one more question. 15 Yes, of course. 16 I think you had indicated on your questionnaire too that 17 you have an unfavorable view of the DOJ; is that right? 18 I think that there is a lot that goes on within our 19 government from top to bottom that is kind of suspicious in 20 senses. I think that with what's going on, I think that if 21 there is a guilty plea or a guilty verdict, that I think that 22 we should prosecute individuals not based off of Mr. Jenkins 23 himself, but I think anybody who doesn't take their job sincere 24 enough to uphold the respect that others have voted for, I 25 think that they need to be prosecuted in that nature, just as

- 1 any other civilian or citizen shall be prosecuted in an
- 2 incident of this nature, outside of being a public figure. I
- 3 think that anybody from top to bottom in our government needs
- 4 \parallel to be prosecuted and upheld for the laws that they break.
- 5 Q Understood. And so you understand that this prosecution
- 6 \parallel is being brought by the DOJ, and the FBI was involved in the
- 7 | investigation?
- 8 A Yes, I do believe that you guys also have individuals
- 9 within your precinct that probably aren't following the laws
- 10 and doing the same thing. Yes. I don't believe that any --
- 11 that 100 percent of our government are doing 100 percent of
- 12 what they're supposed to do based off of what they're supposed
- 13 to do in their guidelines of their law.
- 14 \parallel Q And so my question to you is, given all of those views you
- 15 have about the government, and that this prosecution is being
- 16 ∥brought by --
- 17 A Understood.
- 18 \parallel Q -- government actors, is that going to influence how you
- 19 | view --
- 20 \parallel A If you guys have the ability to prove that the individual
- 21 | is quilty with evidence, I will take that evidence and use it
- 22 \parallel to form my own opinion based on guilty or not.
- 23 Q Right. So you understand that --
- 24 A Yes, I'm -- I do.
- 25 \parallel Q -- in the context of the courtroom, this is one individual

1 case? 2 Yes, I understand. 3 Okay. And my other question is, so your description of 4 there are bad actors up and down the government, is that 5 specific to federal government, or do you believe that to be the case in all levels of government? 6 7 I think it falls within all jurisdiction of our 8 government, all of it. I think that the local government is 9 corrupt. I think that the governments that protect the citizens -- not all of them, per se -- but a good portion of 10 11 them probably do follow the law. There are a few bad apples 12 within our Constitution -- or within our government that 13 probably deserve to be put in the limelight, as the gentleman 14 to the left of you is. That's what I'm saying. I'm saying 15 that not everybody takes and upholds what they're supposed to 16 within our government the way they're supposed to. They took 17 an oath to uphold the same laws that us individuals as 18 citizens, who are prosecuted far more than what our government 19 individuals are, based off of their reputation and their 20 ability to have that litigation to say that, hey, I'm a 21 government official, this doesn't apply to me. You know, I 22 mean, it's kind of -- you know, let's just look at it as it is. 23 The President just pardoned his son for, you know, cocaine 24 habits. And, well, let's just be real. The only reason he was 25 pardoned was because it was his son. So I think that, you

know, if you are in a position where you have broken the law, I 2 think that you need to be prosecuted for it. I myself have 3 been in that situation twice. I have pled no contest to those 4 and did my time. And I believe that anybody of that nature, 5 whether you are a sheriff's officer, a police officer, an individual whom is standing around me with the blue coats on, 6 7 the individual whom is sitting behind me, if you have broken 8 the law, I believe that you need to be punished and take that 9 responsibility as an adult in regards to that. That's how I feel about the situation. 10 11 I appreciate your honesty about that. 12 Absolutely. 13 Just one last question from me, I think. So given all of 14 those views you just shared with us, you know, in terms of the jury instructions that this court is going to give you on what 15 16 the law is, do you have any hesitation about following those 17 instructions? 18 No, I do not. 19 Okay. 20 No, I do not. 21 MS. PENG: Thank you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Awesome. 23 Hang on a second, the other side gets THE COURT: to --24

Oh, yeah, not a problem.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Andonian. 2 MR. ANDONIAN: I don't think we have anything, Your 3 Honor. 4 THE COURT: Let me follow up on a couple of 5 questions. One thing you said, and I may have just misunderstood. You said -- it sounded like you've been charged 6 7 with crimes before? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. I have been charged --9 THE COURT: With a felony? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. These are misdemeanor 10 11 crimes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I don't need -- if 13 they want to follow up on it, they can. 14 Let me take some of the general views that you had and let me distill it down to this case. And that's this: 15 16 First of all, regardless of any views that you may have about 17 government actors, do you understand that Mr. Jenkins, as he 18 sits over there, is a man who is presumed innocent? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I made that clear, to this 20 individual. 21 THE COURT: Right. And that as a man presumed 22 innocent, he has zero burden of proof, the burden rests solely 23 on the defendant -- on the government to prove his guilt beyond 24 a reasonable doubt. Can you set aside your views of what you 25 may believe some actors do and don't do in government, and

1 decide the case solely on the evidence that comes in and the 2 instructions that I give to you, and that will be the tunnel 3 focus of your decision? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, absolutely. Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Peng, does that prompt any further questions? 6 7 MS. PENG: Just one question. 8 EXAMINATION BY MS. PENG: 9 You mentioned that you had dealings with the criminal 10 11 justice system yourself. Does that impact your, you know, view 12 of this particular case or prosecution at all? 13 No, ma'am, not at all. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian, did my questions prompt 15 anything further? 16 MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Stanley. You 18 may step down. Please do not discuss your -- what we've 19 discussed in --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No problem. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. We have Karie Wilson and then 22 Michael Fulkerson. 23 Ms. Wilson, come on up, if you would, please. 24 Ms. Wilson, I'll remind you, you remain under oath from earlier

this morning. And also, if anyone asks you any questions of a

particularly personal nature that you believe you need to bring up in private, you can make that request. Thank you.

All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Good afternoon, Ms. Wilson.
- A Hello.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 9 Q Ms. Wilson, I want to ask you a couple of questions about
 10 some answers that you gave on your jury questionnaire. And I
 11 want to start with what you wrote -- and I think I'm quoting
 12 you correctly -- that it sounds like Mr. Jenkins took bribes.
- Do you -- well, let me first ask: Is that, in fact, your opinion of this case as you sit here today?
- 15 I didn't know much about the case. I'm not really a 16 follower of politics or the news, but I see headlines and I 17 read it. And so if -- I assumed if he was investigated that 18 there was something to back it. Like I wasn't brought up on 19 charges, you know, in the courtroom. So there's -- you know, 20 there has to be some -- I'm in healthcare. There has to be 21 some -- on my part -- assumption that whoever is doing the 22 investigation has some information. That's not fact, what I 23 wrote, based -- because I don't know any -- much about more
- 25 Q Okay.

than the headline that I read.

```
A Basically.
```

- 2 Q Given your views -- and I'm paraphrasing -- but your views
- 3 that if somebody is being charged, there must be some --
- 4 A Something --
- 5 \mathbb{Q} -- some basis, something there, do you think that would
- 6 make it hard for you to be fair and impartial in this case?
- 7 A No, I think I just -- I didn't have facts. I was just
- 8 answering the questionnaire based on the very limited amount
- 9 that I read. But I don't think I'm an unreasonable person.
- 10 Like I think if the facts were there, I just -- I figured there
- 11 has to be some reason somewhere along the way that somebody did
- 12 their job.
- 13 Q Okay. And where -- when you say you read the facts, where
- 14 \parallel specifically did you get the facts from, if you remember?
- 15 A The facts for the --
- 16 \mathbb{Q} The small amount that you heard?
- 17 \blacksquare A Oh, just headlines and the article. I don't even read the
- 18 whole article. I skim my news, because I don't have time to
- 19 ∥read it. And quite frankly, none of it really interests me.
- 20 | don't follow it. I'm not a crime junkie. So basically that.
- 21 Q Okay. You also indicated on your questionnaire that
- 22 | serving on this jury would pose a hardship because of your -- I
- 23 believe because of your clinical patients would essentially
- 24 | not --
- 25 | A I would just need to know to cancel clinic. You know, I

1 went to the hospital this morning, but I had to leave to come 2 here without being able to tell them when I would be back. 3 whichever patients that I was seeing for the duration -- I just need to know, like because they have to be rescheduled. 4 5 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Thank you very much. 6 THE COURT: Hang on a second, Ms. Wilson. 7 Ms. Peng? 8 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Just real quick to make sure I 10 understand. I'm going to instruct the jury here, as I've said 11 a number of times, that you have to base your decisions solely 12 upon the evidence that's presented and the instructions that I 13 give, and set aside any prior beliefs that you have before you 14 come in. Can you do that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And you work as a 17 nurse practitioner in the hospital, or do you have a private 18 clinic? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In the hospital, but I do 20 inpatient and outpatient. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Is there coverage if you --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, the resident that I work 23 with inpatient is very unhappy today and will be very unhappy,

THE COURT: The resident becomes more busy if you're

24

25

but yes, I do have coverage.

Pageid#: 1440

not there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was whining pretty hard when I left this morning.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Please do not discuss what we discussed in here today.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Michael Fulkerson, and then after that, Karen Ingram.

Mr. Fulkerson, come on up, if you would, please. And I'll remind you, Mr. Fulkerson, you remain under oath. And if there's anything that's of a particularly personal nature that's asked of you, you can request that that be taken up in private. Thank you.

All right. Ms. Peng?

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. PENG:

- Q Hello, sir, how are you?
- 18 **A** Good.

was?

- 19 Q I just wanted to follow up on something that you mentioned 20 earlier, that you had learned some information about the case 21 from your pastor potentially. I was just curious what that
 - A He's a retired deputy from Orange County, and the questionnaire asked, do you know anybody in Culpeper sheriff's office. I was like, I don't think he did, so I called him up

and asked him, did you work for Culpeper? He said no. 2 said, why are you asking me? And I said, I got a jury 3 selection thing here, and it says something about Culpeper County. He goes, oh, you got the sheriff's case. 4 5 Is that the extent of that --6 That's the extent. I was like, stop. That's all I 7 needed to hear. Did you work for Culpeper? He said no. 8 Got it. So you didn't have any other discussions with him 9 about the case or anything like that? 10 No. 11 MS. PENG: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You're welcome. 13 THE COURT: Hang on a second, Mr. Fulkerson. 14 Mr. Andonian? 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 17 Good afternoon. Just very briefly. Based on that very 18 limited -- it sounds like limited conversation with the deputy, 19 do you have any opinions, or did it cause you to form any 20 opinions about --21 Α No. 22 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Nothing further. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Fulkerson. 24 Please do not discuss what we've discussed in here today with 25 your fellow jurors.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Karen Ingram and then Jo

3 Gilmore.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Ms. Ingram, come on up, if you would, please. We're going to have you come right over here -- right in there. All right. Ms. Ingram, I'll remind you you're under oath, and if anything is asked of you that's of a particularly personal nature you wish to take up in private, you can make that request.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- 17 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Ingram.
- 18 A Good afternoon.
- 19 Q Ms. Ingram, just maybe one or two quick questions. I
- 20 believe you noted on your questionnaire that you had read in
- 21 I the news about Mr. Jenkins being charged in this case; is that
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A I have not read a full story, no.
- 24 Q Have not read a full story?
- 25 A No. Just headlines, yes.

19 the questions are to you. 20

THE CLERK: This is Gilmore.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. PENG: This is Ms. Gilmore.

MR. ANDONIAN: Yeah, this is I believe the

25 government's --

21

22

23

THE COURT: Are you Ms. Gilmore?

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Because on my sheet it's

J-O-E for Jo. So you're -- okay. You're J-O Gilmore. All

right. Thank you, Ms. Gilmore. I apologize for calling you

the wrong name.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't even hear you. Sorry

about that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

EXAMINATION

10 ■ BY MS. PENG:

- Q Good morning, ma'am, how are you?
- 12 A I'm fine.
- 13 Q Just a couple of questions for you. So I think you wrote
- 14 | in your questionnaire that you were not sure which agents to
- 15 trust in response to the question about your views about the
- 16 DOJ and the FBI. Do you recall that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Can you tell me a little bit more about what you meant by
- 19 | that comment?
- 20 \parallel A Well, what I meant was that I don't particularly trust the
- 21 | FBI on certain different cases that you see in the news, why
- 22 they go into Catholic churches and spy on people, and school
- 23 board meetings, and things like that.
- 24 Q And in terms of what you've learned about the FBI in those
- 25 | contexts, can you give me a little more detail about the

- 1 Catholic church and the spying? What were those about?
- 2 A Yeah, they sent FBI agents into the Catholic church in
- 3 Richmond to spy on the traditional mass. I think maybe they
- 4 thought they were terrorists or something. I don't know.
- 5 Q And is that the incident that comes to mind, or were there
- 6 others that you learned of as well?
 - A That's the main one.
 - Q And when did that happen; do you know?
- 9 A It was in the last year.
- 10 Q And so that's the main basis for you not trusting agents
- 11 | with the FBI? Are there other reasons for that distrust you
- 12 have?

7

- 13 A Just in general, I'm not sure I trust them.
- 14 \parallel Q And does your distrust, is that specific to the FBI, or
- 15 all law enforcement agencies or police?
- 16 A Mainly the FBI.
- 17 Q Okay. And so you're aware that the FBI was involved in
- 18 the investigation in this case?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Do you think your views and your distrust of the FBI is
- 21 Igoing to influence how you view the evidence being presented
- 22 here?
- 23 A I think I can view the evidence, but I'm just -- we're
- 24 being honest, so going in, yeah --
- 25 Q Yeah, I appreciate your honesty. I mean, this

investigation was conducted by the FBI, so the evidence you're 2 viewing will have been gathered by the FBI. And so given what 3 you just discussed about distrust of them, are you going to 4 just sort of view that evidence with a little bit more 5 skepticism than you would view evidence --Probably, in honesty, probably. 6 7 Probably? 8 And so when you're looking at the evidence here knowing 9 that the FBI gathered it, do you think that in the back of your 10 mind you're just going to have that skepticism and distrust? 11 I can't tell you for certain that I wouldn't. I think that I could hear the evidence, but it's in the back of my mind. 13 14 Okay. I appreciate that. So you also indicated, I think, that you voted for Scott 15 16 Jenkins? 17 Yes, ma'am. 18 Can you tell me when -- how many times you voted for him? 19 Twice. I don't know what -- most recently, whenever he 20 ran this last time, but the time before that as well. 21 So that would have been about a year ago, maybe? Q 22 I think it was probably a little over a year. 23 And when you voted for him the second time, had you read 24 any news about the allegations against him in this case?

I heard some, yeah. I don't follow that much of the local

- news, but yeah, I had heard some stuff from some people that I 2 volunteer with downtown.
- 3 And do you recall what you had heard by the time you voted for him? 4
- 5 Just that there was something with campaign finances or something. I wasn't really 100 percent sure. 6
- 7 And then when you voted for him, did that -- those allegations, how did you -- you just didn't think about them?
- 9 Α (No verbal response).
- You didn't think about them? 10
- 11 No.

- 12 Okay. And you still consider Sheriff Jenkins a good 13 sheriff?
- Yes, I do. 14
- 15 And why do you think he's a good sheriff?
- 16 Because he kept the community safe for as long as -- you 17 know, I've been in the county for 18 years, and he always had a
- 18 presence at the high school, and games, and the parades and
- 19 everything. So he -- I thought he was a stand-up sheriff.
- 20 And has that view changed at all given what you learned 21 here today?
- 22 No.
- 23 And given that you're -- you voted for him twice, and then 24 you think he did a really great job, and he's a good sheriff,
- 25 do you think that's going to impact how you view this case,

```
because obviously, you know, Mr. Jenkins is on trial here in a
 2
   criminal matter?
 3
        I think that I could hear the evidence. But you asked me,
   I think he was a good sheriff, and I'm being honest with you.
 4
 5
   I voted for him. I can listen to evidence, but I think he's a
 6
   stand-up guy.
 7
        So it sounds like it's going to be pretty difficult to,
 8
   you know, persuade you that he's not a stand-up guy because
 9
   that's how you feel?
10
        I didn't say that.
11
        I'm sorry?
12
        I didn't say that. You said that. I'm just saying
13
   that --
14
        Oh, I'm sorry.
15
        -- I can listen to the case; however, I think he's a
16
   stand-up quy.
17
        So I guess my question is: After the evidence -- when
18
   you're listening to the evidence in this case, given your views
19
   of the FBI, and then your views of Mr. Jenkins, do you think
20
   that you could be a fair and impartial juror in this case, or
21
   do you think you come in with, you know, what we were
22
   discussing before, certain views that will make it difficult
23
   for you to have an open mind?
24
        It would probably make it difficult for me.
```

MS. PENG: I appreciate that. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Peng.

Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

Q Good afternoon. In this case, as the judge has already mentioned, the judge is going to instruct you that you have to follow the law as he reads it to you, and that you have to apply those legal principles to the evidence in the case. Do you think you're able to do that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you think that even if you had certain feelings about Mr. Jenkins or certain feelings about the FBI, that if you were instructed that you had to follow the law, again, as the judge tells it to you, and you have to consider only the evidence that came in, that you'd be able to do that?

A Yes, sir.

MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing further.

THE COURT: So I guess the question is, kind of following up on Mr. Andonian, is that given any views that you may already have, if you -- in looking at the evidence that's presented here, and following the instructions that I give, if you believe that the government has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, can you find someone such as Mr. Jenkins guilty?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I heard the evidence and I 2 believed that they presented it, yes -- and if I believed that 3 they presented it -- all the facts, and --If they carried their burden? 4 THE COURT: 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 7 May I follow up with one more question? MS. PENG: 8 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 9 EXAMINATION BY MS. PENG: 10 11 And again, I really appreciate you being candid here, 12 Ms. Gilmore. So I want you to imagine if you were sitting here 13 at counsel table on the government's side, do you think you 14 would want someone like you judging this case? 15 No, I don't think so. 16 And you don't think so? 17 Not -- no. No, I don't think you would want me, no. 18 Why is that? 19 Because I think you think that I don't trust the FBI. 20 Fair enough. But do you think that's a valid concern? 21 Α Probably. 22 MS. PENG: I appreciate that. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. Anything -- my questions 24 prompt anything further, Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, 2 Ms. Gilmore. Please do not discuss what we've discussed in 3 here today. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: All right. Now we'll take Meagan Forsht and then Frank Krick. 6 7 All right. Ms. Forsht -- so Ms. Forsht, I will 8 remind you that you remain under oath. And then if anything is 9 asked of you today that's of a particularly personal nature that you wish to take up in private, you can make that request. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 13 All right. Mr. Andonian? 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 16 Thank you. 17 Good afternoon, Ms. Forsht. 18 Hello. 19 Ms. Forsht, just a few questions. I think you mentioned 20 earlier when you were being asked questions by the government 21 that you had heard some rumors about the case at work; is that 22 correct? 23 Yes, sir. 24 Can you tell us what those rumors are that you heard?

Not in a specific nature. I don't listen to things like

- 1 that, but I know that there's been conversations around me via
- 2 some other people that have -- that used to work in the
- 3 Culpeper County sheriff's department.
- 4 Q Okay. And those are the individuals that you heard the
- 5 || rumors from?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Were the rumors overall positive or negative about
- 8 Mr. Jenkins?
- 9 A I would say neutral or negative.
- 10 Q Neutral or negative. Did any of those rumors that you
- 11 heard that were neutral or negative impact your view of
- 12 Mr. Jenkins as you sit here today?
- 13 A No, sir.
- 14 ∥Q I think you also indicated in your questionnaire that you
- 15 had some -- and I think the phrase you used were negative
- 16 \parallel emotions about the case based on news reports that you had
- 17 | read; is that right?
- 18 A I believe based on the way they were written, I was
- 19 \parallel feeling negative emotions at the time that I was reading, but I
- 20 would be able to put those aside, if need be.
- 22 \parallel all of this, do you have any concerns about your ability to be
- 23 | fair and impartial?
- 24 A No, sir.
- 25 MR. ANDONIAN: Brief indulgence?

Understood. And so would that influence your ability to

I think you also indicated that you might have worked with

evaluate the evidence in this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No.

some former deputies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

23

24

25

make that request.

18 19 20 All right. Frank Krick, and then Carolyn Powell. 21 Mr. Krick, I will remind you you remain under oath. 22 And if anything is asked of you that's of a particularly

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right. Thank you.

personal nature that you wish to take up in private, you can

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything. But what was happening, I would get real lightheaded and stay real tired during the day. It just so happens I was retired so it didn't -- you know, didn't affect my job or anything. But usually I have to sleep during the day sometime, but you know, I thought maybe I could, you know, try to do it because I wanted to do it, you know, but -- you know, I don't know if that's going to cause a problem or not. But that's mainly what it was. I see. So you have -- you normally would sleep during the

day because your virus condition makes you --

- 1 A Right. It just makes me real tired.
- 2 Q I see. And so how many hours would you normally sleep?
- 3 A Like during the day?
- 4 0 Yeah.
- 5 A It just depends. Say I got up at 7. A lot of times I'd
- 6 have to go back at 9 or 10 and sleep until 2, something like
- 7 | that.
- 8 Q So 9 to 2. And --
- 9 A That's not every day, though. You know, it just depends
- 10 on how it works out.
- 11 | Q Got it. And how long has this been going on?
- 12 A It's been going ever since last fall, which would be
- 13 almost -- well, a little over a year.
- 14 \parallel Q And have you since last fall have had to, you know, go
- 15 | back to a job full-time or engage in any activities?
- 16 \blacksquare A No, I've been retired for a couple of years. So like I
- 17 say, it didn't affect that.
- 18 Q I see. So did you have some concerns that, you know --
- 19 \parallel that this court is going to be in session from 9 to 6?
- 20 A Right. That's correct.
- 21 Q And so you've never actually done -- well, you haven't sat
- 22 through something like that?
- 23 A That's correct, yes.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} Okay. And so what is your level of concern about your
- 25 condition and how that might impact --

- 1 A Well, I mean, as long as I, you know, can focus and carry
- 2 on, you know, during the day, because -- you know what I'm
- 3 ∥saying -- I just get real tired. And you know, it's just -- I
- 4 don't know how to explain. It's just like I'm not -- you know
- 5 what I mean -- like you're just, you know, ready to go to
- 6 sleep. So I didn't know if I could take everything in, you
- 7 know what I'm saying, that -- you know, that I should be doing.
- 8 Q Okay. And then what about the driving long distances; is
- 9 | that a concern?
- 10 A Well, that's kind of what it is too, like getting tired.
- 11 | You know how you get tired, like mostly at nighttime, like you
- 12 were sleeping or whatever, then you're driving, you -- you know
- 13 what I'm saying, you --
- 14 | Q Yeah.
- 15 A -- make sure you're aware. But you know, I could probably
- 16 do it, but I just -- you know, just concerned.
- 17 Q Yeah. Thank you for sharing that.
- 18 A No problem.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ And so if the Court were to adjourn at 6, you would have
- 20 | to drive how long to go back home?
- 21 A Well, it's 40 -- 45 miles.
- 22 Q Let me ask about some other things that you mentioned on
- 23 your questionnaire.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 Q So I think you indicated also that you might have some

- 1 religious beliefs that would prevent you from sitting in
- 2 judgment of people?
- 3 A I don't think so.
- 4 0 No? That's not true?
- 5 A Unless I marked it wrong, no, that's not true.
- 6 Q Okay. I could have read it wrong.
- 7 What about your views in terms of the FBI and the DOJ?
 - A That's mainly -- that's mainly more or less watching court
- 9 cases on TV. Just some things -- it's just a personal type
- 10 thing. I don't think that would have any, you know, bearing on
- 11 me, you know, being here, but it's just something I have a
- 12 little problem with.

- 13 Q Yeah, can you tell me more about that? What did you see,
- 14 what did you watch that --
- 15 A It's just different things that happened, not just for the
- 16 ||Trump case. It's others. But that's mainly, you know, a lot
- 17 I to do with that, the things that went on there. The DOJ, you
- 18 know, to me, didn't do what they should be doing and stuff like
- 19 | that, so --
- 20 Q Yeah. And so you're talking about those types of cases
- 21 that you read on the news?
- 22 A Uh-huh. Mostly on TV, you know, as you're watching court
- 23 | cases. You know, they're real court cases, but it's on like
- 24 YouTube or whatever.
- 25 Q So you watch YouTube?

A Yes.

- 2 Q And what other sources of news do you watch?
- 3 A That's about it.
- 4 Q Okay. So you understand this case is being brought by the
- 5 DOJ and the FBI -- are you aware of that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Why are you laughing?
- 8 A I know what you're saying. I mean, I understand, but --
- 9 Q Yeah, so I guess my question is, you know, given your
- 10 views that are unfavorable about the DOJ and the FBI overall,
- 11 is that going to impact your ability to --
- 12 A I'm saying it shouldn't, because I think I would have my
- 13 own views, you know, about the case itself, if that makes any
- 14 | sense. I mean, I don't know -- that's why I wanted to mention
- 15 lit to you, though.
- 16 0 Yeah.
- 17 A You know, just make sure it was okay to be here, you know.
- 18 Q Yeah, I mean, I guess the real question is, you know,
- 19 Igiven those views you have maybe about other cases, are you
- 20 ||going to hold that against --
- 21 | A No, I don't think so. That's what I'm saying.
- 22 ||Q| -- the government in this case?
- 23 A I don't think so, no.
- 24 MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you, sir.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: No questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Krick, just a couple of questions.

So going back to your health issues, I take a mid-morning break and I take a mid-afternoon break for 15 minutes. And I also tell the jurors that if your attention begins to wane, flag me down, we can take another break. I let you bring in drinks with you, and we have coffee in the back. No promises as to how good it is, however, so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's no problem.

THE COURT: But if we are able to accommodate you in that regard, would that be able to help to address some of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, like I say, some days I'm fine, but most of the time when it happens, I have to -- you know what I mean, I mean, I'm just like dead tired.

THE COURT: You've got to go down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have to go down, yeah. But I mean, like I say, I wanted to come here to try -- you know, if I could try, but then I don't want to get here and cause a problem.

THE COURT: How many days a week does that happen to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It just depends. Two or three times, you know, and sometimes all week, but it just depends.

THE COURT: So if we're likely to go to next Friday, are you likely to have a day that --

Pageid#: 1461

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably. 2 THE COURT: -- you're going to ask to be --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I don't want to do that if --4 you know what I mean -- if I can't be here. 5 THE COURT: You don't want to do it from a cot either, do you? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Right. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 9 My questions prompt anything further? 10 MS. PENG: No, thank you. 11 THE COURT: Anything further? 12 Thank you very much, Mr. Krick. Please don't discuss 13 what we discussed. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right. Thank you very much. 15 THE COURT: Before we bring in the next person, I 16 want to ask counsel something. So it's about 1:00. We've 17 gotten through it looks like maybe 12 or so people in about an 18 Just looking at the numbers, we probably have another hour. 19 hour, maybe a little bit longer than that. That would put us 20 around 2:30. These folks haven't eaten lunch. Given what I 21 just heard, I'm not sure anyone wants to go get lunch, if that 22 was rain. But I want to be efficient with our time, but I want 23 to be respectful because we're going to get some hangry people 24 if we don't give them a chance to do lunch. What are you all's 25 thoughts? I mean, we can take a short lunch and we can ask

1 some of the folks to come back early. We can take an hour and 2 come back at 2, get through these, and I think we'll be able to 3 get our jury selected and probably get our openings in this afternoon if we take an hour at this point. 4 5 MR. ANDONIAN: I think it makes sense. I'm always in 6 favor of not starving jurors. 7 THE COURT: I agree. So let me -- Ms. Peng, 8 Ms. Choy, Ms. Smith, any objection to that, coming back around 2:15? 9 That's fine, Your Honor. 10 MS. PENG: 11 THE COURT: Okay. Let's do this: We'll come back at 12 2:15. We can tell everyone that they can take lunch for an 13 hour, but that we need to have -- and make sure these folks are 14 going to be back. I'm going to ask about six to make sure that they are especially on time: Ms. Powell, Mr. McCarthy, 15 16 Ms. Moubray, Ms. Long, Mr. Roelofs, and Ms. McDaniel, that 17 we'll start with them, but for everyone to be back at 2:15. 18 All right. Anything we need to address before we come back? 19 20 Why don't we try to be back up here at 2:10, so in 21 case there's anything to take up, we can take up and we can 22 promptly get started. All right. We'll stand in recess. 23 (Recess) 24 THE COURT: We are back on the record in the matter

of United States v. Jenkins. The government is present by

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 1 counsel, the defendant is present with the benefit of counsel 2 as well. 3 Before we continue with our individual voir dires, is 4 there anything we need to address on behalf of the government? 5 MS. PENG: Not from the government. Anything, Mr. Andonian? 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. So I've got -- I had my list 9 and I was checking it twice. What did I do with it. 10 Going to start with Ms. Powell and then Amanda Long 11 next. 12 All right. Ms. Powell, thank you very much for being You remain under oath. Counsel is going to ask you some 13 here. questions. If at any point in time you're asked some questions 14 of a particularly personal nature and you wish to discuss those 15 16 in private, you're welcome to ask to do so, all right? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Go

19 ahead, Mr. Andonian.

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon, Ms. Powell. Ms. Powell, just a few questions about some answers that you put down on your questionnaire. You indicated that you had some beliefs that

might make it difficult for you to serve on a jury, and I was wondering if you could just tell us about those.

- A I -- I must have had like the death penalty on my brain with that question.
- Oh, okay. So you don't otherwise have any issue sitting on a jury and considering the evidence and rendering a verdict at the end of the case?
- 8 A Correct.

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q Okay. I think you also indicated on your questionnaire that you might have some difficulty not reading about the matter in the press, or if you did read about it, it might have an impact on you. I don't know if I'm recalling that correctly, but is that the case?
- A I mean, I read the news. And so if it -- I read the news more than I watch the news, but if the news is on, then I may -- if they're talking about the case, then I may hear about it.
- Q Okay. In terms of the -- if the Court were to order that you not listen to anything that you hear on the news or read anything about it in the news, is that something that you think -- an order you think you'd be able to comply with?
- 22 A Yes.
 - Q I think you had mentioned also in your questionnaire that you might have a potential hardship due to the fact that you're a caregiver for your grandchild; is that correct?

A Right.

- 2 Q Is that -- can you just tell us a little bit more about
- 3 the nature of that arrangement?
- 4 A Yeah, because my son is a deputy, and the mother is the
- 5 dispatcher. So they work the crazy shift. So, yeah, that's --
- 6 their shift work impacts me because I take care of the baby
- 7 while they work.
- 8 Q And are you the sole caregiver while they're working?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And would that -- would that -- I guess given that you're
- 11 the sole caregiver, are their shifts regular? Like do they
- 12 work the same shifts every day such that during the day you're
- 13 the person that's on call for child care?
- 14 A Yeah, they're -- they're -- like I babysit three days one
- 15 week, two days the next week, depending on their shift. And
- 16 | that changes monthly, so --
- 17 Q Okay. Given that this trial is supposed to go until
- 18 through potentially next Friday, do you foresee that -- that
- 19 **|** your caregiving arrangement being a problem -- or I should
- 20 phrase it better -- that this trial would be a problem for --
- 21 A Absolutely, yeah.
- 22 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you,
- 23 | ma'am.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Andonian.
- 25 Ms. Peng?

1 EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. PENG:
- 3 Q Just one question, Ms. Powell. I think you mentioned that
- 4 your -- Carson Beard is the son of a dear friend. Can you tell
- 5 me whether you've had interactions -- how often do you interact
- 6 with this friend?
- 7 A So Nancy, we -- well, we don't live that close together,
- 8 so we get together when we can. So I haven't seen her
- 9 recently, but we try to get together quarterly.
- 10 Q Quarterly? Do you go over to her house, or does she come
- 11 over to your house?
- 12 A We go to her house, we meet in between. It just depends
- 13 on whose birthday it is and where we can all get together.
- 14 $\|Q\|$ And when you get together, is Mr. Beard there at all?
- 15 A No. Carson?
- 16 0 Carson?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q So how would you describe your interaction with Mr. Carson
- 19 Beard?
- 20 A I don't really have any interaction with him, just his
- 21 | mom.
- 22 | Q Okay. And when you hang out together with his mom, does
- 23 \parallel she discuss him at all? Do you know what he does for a living,
- 24 | for example?
- 25 A Yeah, we discuss -- yeah, we discuss our children, yeah.

1 Yes, I know he's the Clerk of the Court in Culpeper. 2 So if he were to testify here as a witness, would that 3 pose some kind of issue for you because you know his mom, for 4 example? 5 No. 6 Okay. Thank you. MS. PENG: 7 THE COURT: Ms. Powell, I've got just a couple of 8 questions. 9 With respect to any news coverage -- and I'll break it into broadcast and also print journalism -- if you're in the 10 11 room and a story comes on, would you be willing to just leave 12 the room so you don't hear that story, or ask that the -- ask 13 the TV be turned off? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Because -- yeah, my husband has the TV on a lot -- or you know, the news on a lot, 15 so yeah. 16 17 THE COURT: And if something comes on, you would just 18 leave the room? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. And with respect to 21 news articles, I don't ask people to become hermits when they 22 serve as jurors, but if you see an article in the paper, not 23 read it. You know, you can't unsee a headline, but not read 24 the newspaper?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

1 THE COURT: And you could do that as well? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. Because what I read is 3 not local. It's 1440 -- whatever that news e-mail is that I 4 get, it's not local news, it's U.S. news. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Fair enough. 6 As it relates to Carson Beard, you know his mom. 7 sounds like she and you are part of a group of friends that get 8 together periodically; is that right? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Friends before he was 10 born, yeah. 11 THE COURT: Are there any planned get-togethers 12 between now and the end of next week? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And if his -- Mr. Beard's mom called you for whatever reason just to catch up, could you 15 16 agree not to have any conversations with her until after this trial is over? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, we would not -- yeah, we 19 wouldn't discuss this. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And then as it relates to your 21 role as grandma, as a caregiver, if you are selected as a 22 juror, can you find coverage for the -- any days you would have 23 this week and through next week? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, they -- I mean, they would 25 have to do what they need to do, I guess.

20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

All right. Ms. Peng?

23 | EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. PENG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

Q Thank you. Hello, how are you?

- A Good. How are you?
- 2 Q You're very popular, lots of people know you. So let me
- 3 start by asking you some of the people that you know and just
- 4 kind of give a little more detail if you could about how you
- 5 know them.

- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q So Valerie Lamb, how do you know Ms. Lamb?
- 8 A I am the director of the Youth Network in Culpeper County,
- 9 and Valerie Lamb is the finance director for Culpeper County,
- 10 so she oversees my entire budget.
- 11 | Q Got it. And so how frequently would you say you have to
- 12 | interact with Ms. Lamb?
- 13 A At least weekly, at minimum weekly.
- 14 $\|Q\|$ And how would you characterize your relationship to her?
- 15 A It's a professional relationship. I did attend her
- 16 | mother's funeral, but it's because she's a co-worker.
- 17 \parallel Q Got it. And then you said you're close friends with the
- 18 wife of Judge Durrer?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 \mathbb{Q} Could you tell me a little bit more about that
- 21 | relationship, please?
- 22 \blacksquare A Holly Durrer also worked for the county. So when I came
- 23 \parallel on to -- was hired at Culpeper County five years ago, we became
- 24 friends, and we've just -- she's no longer an employee of the
- 25 | county. We've remained friends.

- 1 | Q And how often do you socialize with Ms. Durrer?
- 2 A Weekly. Sometimes daily, but weekly.
- 3 Q And how about in those interactions, is Judge Durrer also
- 4 there sometimes?
- 5 A Occasionally. We've gone on trips, and Judge Durrer has
- 6 taken us to the airport or transported or picked us up from the
- 7 airport and transported us home.
- 8 Q So he's been around when you've socialized with Holly?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And when you and Holly socialized, do you discuss her
- 11 husband at all and what he does for a living, things of that
- 12 | nature?
- 13 A No, we do not.
- 14 ∥Q And so you're also friends with a couple of current
- 15 employees, it sounds like, at Culpeper County sheriff's office?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 \parallel Q So let me just go down the list. Do you know Dodson --
- 18 how do you know Ms. Dodson?
- 19 A So Dana and I became acquainted because her husband and a
- 20 | friend of mine are very good friends. So I attend her --
- 21 | normally around Christmas Eve I go to her house every year for
- 22 Christmas Eve. We've gone on a mini vacation together. And we
- 23 also have a professional relationship. She investigates cases
- 24 that -- for children that I receive services for.
- 25 \parallel Q So you have a pretty close relationship with her, would

- 1 you say, or --
- 2 A I would say so, yes.
- 3 Q Okay. Jeff Seation, or Seation --
- 4 A Uh-huh, Seation.
 - Q Seation.

- 6 A Jeff and I met each other, gosh, probably 30 years. He
- 7 worked at the jail. He's now in dispatch. I interact with
- 8 Jeff. We go to baseball games together, and we go through
- 9 months without speaking, but we do go to baseball games
- 10 together.
- 11 | Q Would you say that Jeff is a good friend of yours?
- 12 A Yes, I would.
- 13 Q And how about David Jenkins, how do you know David
- 14 || Jenkins?
- 15 \parallel A Dave and I graduated together. So I've known David my
- 16 entire life.
- 17 | Q And so how often do you see him now?
- 18 A Recently not very often because he had surgery, but prior
- 19 **∥**to that, he was a deputy at the clerk -- at the clerk's office
- 20 \parallel of the court. So I'm in court three times a week. So I would
- 21 see him often.
- 22 $\|Q\|$ And you still keep in touch regularly, would you say?
- 23 A Not outside of work, no.
- 24 Q And do you know what the relationship of Mr. David Jenkins
- 25 is to Scott Jenkins?

1 I do not. I do not. 2 And how about Ana Ortiz? Ana and I also attend baseball games together. Ana and I 3 4 met when I first came onto the Culpeper County, and we talk 5 occasionally. I probably haven't spoken with Ana in -somewhere Black Friday was the first time I've seen her in 7 months, but we are friends. We do -- we do chat on the phone 8 and we used to text each other quite often. 9 Thank you. And in your questionnaire, you indicated that you have information or knowledge about Scott Jenkins outside 10 11 of what you might have heard today. Can you tell me about what 12 that information is? 13 So initially when all of this started coming about, News Channel 4 was always out front constantly. I do not watch the news. So there was a lot of chatter and what they were here 15 for, a lot of speculation. But that was just it, speculation. 16 17 So -- and that was quite some time ago. Since then, I haven't been part of any of the news. I don't watch the news. 18 19 listen. I don't read the newspaper. I don't -- and in my 20 office, it's a locked office, so it's only four of us, and none 21 of us really talk about it. So I don't go outside my confines 22 of my office any longer. So I haven't heard anything in quite 23 some time. 24 So when you said knowledge, you meant initially the news?

25

Correct.

- Q Okay. And did you have -- with any of these individuals
 who were formerly at Culpeper or may now be in the same kind of
 circles, did you guys ever discuss the case at all?
- 4 A I have not.
- 5 Q Have you personally worked with Scott Jenkins?
- 6 A So we were on a committee together, CJB committee, I
- 7 believe, is what we were on together.
- 8 Q And what kind of committee was that?
- 9 A It was more of a community -- oh, gosh, I don't even know
- 10 what it stands for, isn't that terrible? I'm on so many
- 11 committees. But it was -- we would meet quarterly, kind of
- 12 talk about court improvement, talk about the jail improvements,
- 13 things such as that.
- 14 Q And so what do you recall of Mr. Jenkins's role in that
- 15 committee when you were working on it with him?
- 16 A So oftentimes it would be a representative from his
- 17 office. I think he attended once or twice while I was there.
- 18 We were all just -- we were assigned by the Board of
- 19 **|**Supervisors to be present. We would normally just receive
- 20 | information, and then it was a very short meeting most of the
- 21 Itime, held at the Commonwealth Attorney's office and the adult
- 22 | probation office.
- 23 Q And what was your impression of working with Scott Jenkins
- 24 as it related to that committee?
- 25 A Very positive.

- 1 Q Let's see. So I guess my question to you is, based on all
- 2 ∥of your friendships and social interactions with people who are
- 3 at least working at the sheriff's office now and your
- 4 interactions with Scott Jenkins, does that give you pause at
- 5 all in terms of being a juror on this case?
- 6 \parallel A It does. I feel like I could absolutely be unbiased. I
- 7 have no -- I have no bias whatsoever, but it does make me feel
- 8 uncomfortable to know so many -- inner circle.
- 9 Q Yeah, and do you think that discomfort might, you know, be
- 10 \parallel with you as you deliberate, and just sort of in the back of
- 11 your mind because you know so many people who are --
- 12 A It would not influence my decision, no, I mean, based upon
- 13 evidence presented. I would be fair.
- 14 | Q Let me ask you this: Were you part of a DUI trial a while
- 15 ago in the state courts?
- 16 A Yes, I was.
- 17 | Q And can you tell me what occurred in that trial?
- 18 A So the gentleman was arrested for DUI. He -- I'm trying
- 19 \parallel to think of all -- this was like six years ago. So he was
- 20 Marrested for DUI. He took the keys out of the ignition, threw
- 21 | them, and they found him a half a mile from the accident. And
- 22 ||it was -- he was found not guilty.
- 23 \blacksquare Q Was that a positive experience for you?
- 24 A It was. I worked at the clerk's office, became a deputy
- 25 ||clerk after that. So, yes.

Pageid#: 1476

```
Oh, so very positive. That's good to hear. Was there
 1
 2
   anything in particular about that experience that you think
 3
   will impact your ability to serve on this jury?
 4
        I do not.
 5
             MS. PENG:
                        Okay. Thank you.
 6
                         Thank you, Ms. Peng.
             THE COURT:
 7
             Mr. Andonian, Mr. Caleb?
 8
             MR. CALEB:
                          Indulgence, please.
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Yes, sir.
10
             MR. ANDONIAN: No questions.
11
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
12
   Ms. Long, thank you very much for being in. Please do not
13
   discuss what we've discussed in here today with your fellow
   jurors.
14
            Thank you.
15
                          Brian McCarthy and then Karl Roelofs.
             All right.
16
             All right. Mr. McCarthy, come on up, if you would,
17
   please, sir. Mr. McCarthy, thank you very much for being here.
18
   I'll remind you you remain under oath. If any of the questions
19
   that are asked of you are particularly personal in nature,
20
   you're free to ask that they be taken up in private outside the
21
   presence of the public.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Understood.
23
             THE COURT:
                          Thank you very much.
24
             All right. Mr. Andonian?
25
             MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Good afternoon, Mr. McCarthy.
- A Hello.

3

- 5 Q I just had a couple of questions based on answers in your
- 6 questionnaire. And I'll just start at random with the hardship
- 7 question. It sounds like you had indicated serving on the jury
- 8 at this time would pose a hardship given your sales. Could you
- 9 tell us a little bit more about the impact?
- 10 A When I wrote that, I thought this was going to go longer.
- 11 If it's to the 20th, I can make it work. I own a small floral
- 12 shop and retail shop. So this is a very busy time leading up
- 13 to the holidays. And it's a small business with a limited
- 14 | staff. So it would take some creative scheduling, calling in
- 15 some favors, but I could probably make it work.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. You had also indicated on your questionnaire that
- 17 you held a very favorable view of the DOJ and the FBI, and a
- 18 somewhat favorable view of criminal defense attorneys. And I
- 19 was wondering if you could just talk a little bit about that,
- 20 | and more specifically, whether those views would pose a
- 21 difficulty to you in being fair and impartial in a case where
- 22 | obviously you have the DOJ and criminal defense attorneys?
- 23 A Sure. Sure. I think it's a response to current climate,
- 24 that the DOJ and those organizations have come under fire in
- 25 | certain ways, and I think unfairly so. So it's not -- I would

say it's not a mandate of mine or a pursuit of mine, it's just 2 a feeling. So I don't think -- or I know it's not a prejudice 3 that would make its way into influencing how I'm interpreting evidence or testimony. 4 5 Okay. And then I guess on the other side of that coin, your somewhat unfavorable -- I'm sorry, somewhat favorable 6 7 views of criminal defense attorneys; do you see that as posing 8 any issue? 9 I don't. I think it's a lack of exposure. So no, I don't. 10 11 And when you say a lack of exposure, just your personal --12 Exactly, yeah. 13 Okay. You also -- on your questionnaire you indicated 14 that you think some elected officials lack proper experience or integrity. Can you just tell us a little bit about what you 15 mean by that? 16 17 Yeah. I think that is probably situational from watching 18 the news, and I think it's just that folks who have acted in an 19 improper way get a lot of coverage in the news, and so it 20 becomes out of line, I think. I know there's a lot of people 21 who are in those fields that very quietly do a great job. So I 22 think it's just a situational sort of political climate 23 response. 24 Okay. Given that this case involves a former elected 25 official, do you think those views would pose any problems for

1 you in being fair and impartial? 2 I would say they're not -- would not be prejudicial. 3 don't think they pose an issue. MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Andonian. 6 Ms. Peng? 7 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: So I'll just -- just to make sure I'm 9 clear, Mr. McCarthy, when jurors are impaneled in this case, they make a decision solely upon the evidence that's presented 10 11 in this case, and the instructions that I give, set aside any 12 preconceived notions or views that you have coming in. Can you do that? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 15 Please 16 do not discuss what we've discussed here today. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. 18 THE COURT: All right. Karl Roelofs, and then we'll 19 have Cody Moubray. 20 Mr. Roelofs, come on up, if you would, please, sir. 21 All right. Please have a seat, Mr. Roelofs. I will just 22 remind you you remain under oath from earlier this morning. 23 And if any questions are asked of you are of a particularly 24 personal nature that you wish to take up in private, you can 25 make that request.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Ms. Peng?

EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. PENG:

2

3

4

- Q Hello, sir, how are you?
- 7 A Hi. Okay.
- 8 Q I just have a couple of questions based on the responses
- 9 you gave in your questionnaire. I think in response to your
- 10 | views about the DOJ, I think you indicated that you thought it
- 11 was politicized. Could you just tell me a little bit more
- 12 about what you meant when you said that?
- 13 A Well, you know, given the way things are nowadays, I just
- 14 \parallel feel that everything can be politicized, you know. So it's
- 15 just kind of a broad view that I have, really.
- 16 \parallel Q And if you can, because I know it can be hard, what -- are
- 17 Ithere things that specifically gave rise to you holding that
- 18 particular view, like news stories, or you know, friends,
- 19 things of that nature?
- 20 A Well, you know, I grew up in Chicagoland area, and they
- 21 say during election if you don't like the way the vote went,
- 22 | you count again. So the political corruption around there, you
- 23 know, just -- I see that, you know, we saw that growing up, and
- 24 you know, don't see much different nowadays.
- 25 \parallel Q Gotcha. That's helpful. And so you know that this case

- 1 is about -- involves bribery, which is corruption. Do you
- 2 think that those views are going to carry over to how you view
- 3 this case in certain ways?
- 4 A I don't really believe so.
- 5 Q Let me ask you this: I think you also indicated that you
- 6 disliked prosecutions of law enforcement officials if they're
- 7 just doing their jobs. Can you explain to me more what you
- 8 meant by that?
- 9 A I was speaking more of the rank and file.
- 10 Q I see.
- 11 A Them doing their jobs.
- 12 Q Is it kind of a use of force situation, is that what
- 13 you're referring to, or do you have any --
- 14 | A I don't have any specifics, you know, just whatever is
- 15 \parallel going on. It seems like there's -- you know, there has been a
- 16 ||lot of that.
- 17 Q And again, same question, do you think those views are
- 18 going to influence how you view the evidence if you're seated
- 19 as a juror in this case?
- 20 A I don't think so.
- 21 | Q And I'm just going to ask you about this as well: You
- 22 | know, you were -- you said that the defense side may be looking
- 23 for loopholes. Can you explain a little bit more what you mean
- 24 by that?
- 25 A No, I don't remember.

1 Okay. I think it was in the context of whether you had a 2 favorable or unfavorable view of defense counsel. But if you 3 don't hold that view, then... 4 It was probably a comment I made because I was grumpy or 5 something. I don't know. 6 Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. MS. PENG: 7 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian? 8 EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDONIAN: 9 10 Good afternoon, sir. 11 Hello. 12 Just a follow-up on the last question that Ms. Peng asked 13 you. I think the comment you made in your questionnaire 14 regarding criminal defense attorneys was that they're looking for, quote, any loopholes to get criminals off. I don't know 15

19 A That's a strong blanket statement that I wouldn't say I 20 would hold for every case.

that a view that you hold of criminal defense attorneys

if that jogs your memory or not, but I guess I'll just ask: Is

Q Okay. Do you think that those views, to the extent you hold them in any circumstances, would pose a problem for you fairly sitting as a juror and giving the defense a chance, you know, and being as fair -- being fair and impartial?

25 A I don't think that would impact it.

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

generally?

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024 1 Okay. You also indicated that you had read some headlines 2 regarding the allegations? 3 It was just a headline. 4 Okay. 5 The question was -- I was trying to be specific. It was just kind of like the headline. 6 7 Okay. So you haven't formed any opinions about the case 8 based on that? 9 I have not. 10 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, sir. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Roelofs, just real quick question 12 just to follow up on a couple of questions you had. 13 Setting aside any views that you have regarding 14 criminal defense lawyers or prosecutors or the DOJ, do you 15 understand that in this particular case the government carries 16 the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; the 17 defendant has no obligation either to present any evidence, and 18 they have no burdens at all in this case? Do you understand 19 that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And is that an instruction you can 22 follow? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I think I can, yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And the other instruction that you

can follow is that you'll make the decision, if you're selected

```
as a juror in this case, based solely upon the evidence that
 2
   comes in, and the instructions of law that I give to you. This
 3
   is not a general case about the DOJ or FBI or criminal defense.
   This is a specific case involving a specific defendant and a
 4
 5
   specific set of facts. And you can make the decision on that
   specific set of facts?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
 9
             Does that prompt anything further, Ms. Peng?
10
             MS. PENG:
                       No, thank you.
             THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?
11
12
             MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
14
             And thank you very much, Mr. Roelofs. And please do
   not discuss what we've discussed here today.
15
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right. Fair enough.
                                                            Thank
17
   you.
18
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Cody Moubray and then
19
   Kimberley McDaniel.
20
             Mr. Moubray, come on up, if you would, please, sir.
21
   As you sit down, Mr. Moubray, I'll remind you you remain under
22
   oath earlier this morning. And if any questions are asked of
23
   you of a particularly personal nature that you wish to take up
24
   in private, you're welcome to make that request. Thank you
25
   very much.
```

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. This case involves an elected official. It involves allegations of bribery. Do you think your views, which sounds like you hold pretty firmly -- do you think they would impact your ability to be fair and impartial in making, you know -- in evaluating the evidence and rendering a verdict?

A I do not. I filled out that questionnaire before I ever knew about, you know, what the case was about. So I do not.

MR. ANDONIAN: Court's brief indulgence?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Hello, how are you?
- 21 Doing well, thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

15

16

18

20

25

those?

22 So let me ask you first, it seems like from your 23 questionnaire that you might have experienced some health 24 issues lately. Could you tell me a little bit more about

I had a change of job. So it was a lot of anxiety and 1 2 nerves and stuff going on, weakened immune system. But I seem 3 to be doing better. 4 And how long ago did you start that new job? 5 I started -- my health started going back in March, and I started my new position in July. And things are starting to 6 7 look up and look better. 8 Okay. And so those symptoms like anxiety, do you think 9 that's going to prevent you from paying attention --10 No. 11 -- during the trial? 12 No? Okay. I think you also indicated that you might have 13 some personal views or religious views that made you hesitate 14 in answering whether you could be a juror; is that right? When it came to the judgment part, yes, because I felt 15 16 like I don't really have the right to judge, you know, an 17 outcome that may affect other people's lives in a negative way. 18 But I guess every day that we're living we have to make 19 judgment at some point to do what's best for us and overall 20 everyone else. 21 Yeah, understood. Thanks for sharing that. 22 I guess, you know, this will be a little bit different, 23 right? It's -- you're making a judgment on somebody else, or 24 -- somebody else in a criminal case. Does that -- is that

different -- does that feel different to you, making that kind

- 1 of judgment versus a decision you might make in your personal
- 2 life, let's say?
- 3 A It would be different, but it would be based on facts.
- 4 You know, and that's something that you can't argue with.
- 5 Right is right. Wrong is wrong. But the facts that are
- 6 presented to you, we have to go off that regardless of what the
- 7 situation is.
- 8 Q And so let's say you're seated as a juror in this case,
- 9 and you're asked to render a verdict with the other jurors, and
- 10 you've seen all the evidence. Are you going to feel when
- 11 | you're in that jury room any kind of discomfort about having to
- 12 render a verdict that may have an impact on someone else's
- 13 | life?
- 14 \parallel A Absolutely not. I'm confident in the decisions that I
- 15 make as far as something like that goes.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. Thank you. Now, I want to ask you about a
- 17 Ms. Doris Clatterbuck?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Who is she to you?
- 20 \blacksquare A She was a former co-worker. She has -- both of us were
- 21 working at Culpeper Social Services, and that's how I became
- 22 | acquainted with her. In working with her down through the
- 23 years at Social Services in Culpeper, I learned that
- 24 Mr. Jenkins was I believe her nephew.
- 25 | Q And when you were working together with her -- how long

- $1 \parallel$ did you work together with her?
- 2 A From 2017 up to '21, August of '21.
- 3 Q And how closely did you work with her on a daily basis?
- 4 A Day-to-day interactions, but you know, mostly
- 5 professional. You know, when personal things would happen or
- 6 whatever, Doris is very verbal.
- 7 Q Is very what?
- 8 A She's a very verbal person.
- 9 Q Okay. So did you have -- did your professional
- 10 relationship become like a friendship? Did you socialize
- 11 | outside of work?
- 12 A Not intentionally. I mean, when I would go somewhere, if
- 13 she was there, of course we would speak, but we never made
- 14 | plans to have lunch together or anything like that.
- 15 Q And do you remember at what point she mentioned to you
- 16 | that Scott Jenkins is her nephew?
- 17 A Yeah, I mean, election time was coming around for
- 18 Mr. Jenkins's election for sheriff, and -- oh, yeah, she was
- 19 | quite the advocate for him.
- 20 Q And --
- 21 A He's family. She should have, I suppose.
- 22 Q I see. So when she was advocating for him, what kind of
- 23 things would -- did she tell you?
- 24 A Oh, that she's going to work the polls, just things like
- 25 that, her involvement. She wasn't asking me to vote for him or

- 1 \parallel support him or anything. I don't live in the county.
- 2 Q I see. But I mean, I guess through that interaction, you
- 3 learned a little bit more about Mr. Jenkins; is that fair to
- 4 say?
- 5 ∥A No more than just he was running for sheriff of Culpeper
- 6 and he was already the sheriff at the time.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And so this was the last election, 2023?
- 8 A I don't recall what the date was, to be quite honest with
- 9 you, because -- it couldn't have been '23, because -- it was
- 10 before then.
- 11 0 It was before then?
- 12 A Before then.
- 13 Q Okay. And have you kept in touch with
- 14 Ms. Clatterbuck since you guys were --
- 15 A No.
- 16 \parallel Q So when was the last time you had contact with her?
- 17 \parallel A Probably a year ago at Wood Grill. I was walking by a
- 18 table and somebody grabbed my arm, and it was her.
- 19 Q Okay. And then you had a conversation?
- 20 A Yeah. But --
- 21 Q Have you ever had any personal interactions with Mr. Scott
- 22 Jenkins?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q And you also mentioned in your questionnaire that you
- 25 I thought this prosecution, or Mr. Jenkins, is being used as a,

quote, political pawn; do you remember writing that? 2 I made a comment about that, but I'm not sure if he's 3 being used as that or not. A lot of times in the political 4 systems, we've seen here a lot lately that when people express 5 political views, it appears that they're targeted to some degree. I don't know the depth of what has happened in this 6 7 situation, and I've steered away from conversations that 8 involved it. I felt like if something hasn't affected me 9 directly, then I really am not -- but yeah, I did express that. 10 But when you expressed that, what did you have in mind, I 11 quess, specific to Mr. Jenkins? Like who was he going to be a 12 pawn for if -- again, you know, off the cuff comment? 13 I'm not sure. But it seemed like once that Mr. Jenkins 14 started making -- and this is my opinion -- it seemed that when Mr. Jenkins was really starting to make more of a name for 15 16 himself in support of, you know, taking care of illegals in the 17 community, and people who were coming in with guns and drugs 18 and stuff, that he was becoming more verbal about his stance 19 against things. And then his interviews with Fox, you know, on 20 TV, it just felt like he was just kind of -- I just felt like 21 at the time as a down home country boy he was being targeted 22 for some of his stances he was taking. 23 And so you saw his interviews on -- you saw him being 24 interviewed on Fox News? 25 I heard about it. I didn't watch it.

1 And do you remember how you came to know that, you know, Mr. Jenkins was becoming more verbal, maybe speaking out about 2 3 some of these issues? Do you remember how you became aware of 4 that? 5 Hearsay, a lot -- co-workers, people -- you know, I mean, it's a local thing that's turned into something that -- that 6 7 was bigger than what I think people expected. But a lot of co-workers have, you know, chitchatted, talked about it, kept 8 up with it. But like I said, I didn't become involved in it. 9 10 I just heard about it. 11 Yeah, and so then you felt like once that kind of stuff 12 came about, he was just, you know, a sheriff, and then he 13 became -- he was targeted because of the more prominence he was gaining; is that --14 I kind of feel that way, yeah. I felt like he was taking 15 16 such a strong stance on that -- and that, you know, and him 17 being more, you know, in the media, it kind of, you know, attracted that, I suppose. 18 Do you still feel like he's been targeted, sitting here 19 20 today? 21 I can't say anything about that, yes or no. I don't know 22 the facts on that. I don't -- I can't really say whether he's 23 being targeted or not, or -- I can't say. I don't know the 24 facts of the situation. I don't know the depth and all the 25 details.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I guess let me ask you this guestion, and it may be a hard question to answer: What does it mean to you when someone is targeted? Well, I guess it depends on the situation. Sometimes we can set our own selves up, you know, for that attention, you know, just by -- you know, we can bring that attention to ourselves in some ways. But if I'm being quite honest with you about everything, some of the information that I put on my questionnaire, I put it on there because I really didn't want to be here today, if I'm going to be honest with you. You know, I'm like, well maybe if I'm -- you know, show like that I'm biased or unbiased or something, they'll just blow me off and I'll just go home. You know, that's my honest opinion -that's the honest thing I did. So I just filled this out quickly at work. I just got this summons yesterday morning. There was a yellow envelope on my counter, kitchen counter. asked my husband about it. He said, oh, yeah, by the way, you have a message from the court in Charlottesville. And I looked at the corner of the packet, and I'm like, oh my gosh, it's a summons from Charlottesville. So I opened it up and immediately I'm like, oh, no, this is tomorrow. So I went to work and got in touch with the person that had the contact information in there, and called her, and she went ahead and emailed me the questionnaire, and I just filled it out as quickly as I could while I was at work, and sent it back in, in

1 hopes that, you know, yeah, maybe I could get out of doing

- 2 this. I didn't want to be here.
- 3 Q You don't want to be here? Understandably so. You still
- 4 don't want to be here?
- 5 A I mean, if I'm needed, I will do what I'm supposed to do
- 6 ∥and I will be truthful about it. But I'm just telling you the
- 7 honesty from my perspective of why I answered some of the
- 8 questions the way that I did.
- 9 MS. PENG: Thank you very much.
- 10 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?
- MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. ANDONIAN:
- 14 Q Good morning -- or no, afternoon.
- 15 A Good afternoon.
- 16 Q It all blends together at some point.
- 17 A It does.
- 18 \parallel Q Just a couple questions. Do you have, as you sit here,
- 19 \parallel any doubt in your ability to be fair and impartial if you were
- 20 | called to be on this jury?
- 21 A No, I have no doubt. I would be honest and truthful about
- 22 lit.
- 23 Q And do you have any doubt that you would be able to follow
- 24 the instructions that the Court gives you about what you're
- 25 | supposed to do as a juror if you were selected for this case?

1 Absolutely not. 2 MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing further. 3 THE COURT: Let me just ask you a couple of questions, two things you put on your questionnaire. You may 4 5 have answered one of them already. Your last question was, is there anything else the Court should know about you that would 6 7 impact your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror, and 8 you said, I'd like to be dismissed from this case because of 9 personal reasons. Is there anything else other than what 10 you've already told us? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 12 THE COURT: As part of those personal reasons? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir. There's also one other thing, just 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 to follow up on Mr. Andonian, question 52 was: Have you formed 16 any opinions about Mr. Jenkins's guilt or innocence. You said, 17 I believe he's innocent of any severe charges. What did you 18 mean by that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was one of the things I made 20 up. 21 THE COURT: You just made it up? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So the question becomes this, 24 Ms. McDaniel. I will instruct the jury over and over and over

in this case that you can make a decision only upon the

evidence that comes before the jury here in this courtroom and 2 the instructions of law that I provide, setting aside any 3 personal beliefs that you may otherwise bring into the courtroom. Can you follow that instruction and abide by that 4 5 throughout this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 Ms. Peng --9 MS. PENG: Sorry, can I just ask one more follow-up 10 question? 11 BY MS. PENG: 12 I think in your questionnaire, you also said that your 13 relationship with Ms. Doris Clatterbuck might make it possible 14 for you not to be fair and impartial. Is that one of the questions that you answered honestly, or were you -- it was 15 16 part of what you made up? 17 I know Doris. We're not close. We used to work together. 18 I thought that by adding Doris and Calvert, people that I've 19 worked with at Social Services and the sheriff's department, 20 would deter me from having to be here. So yes, I know them. Yes, I've worked with them. But I don't associate with them, 21 22 nor do I associate with Mr. Jenkins. 23 MS. PENG: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please do not 25 discuss what we've discussed in here today with your fellow

1 jurors. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 4 All right. Karina Monroy, followed by David Cohen. 5 Ms. Monroy, thank you very much for being here. You 6 do remain under oath from this morning. And if any questions 7 are asked of you that are of a particularly personal nature 8 that you wish to answer in private, you can make that request. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 11 All right. Mr. Andonian? 12 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDONIAN: 14 15 Good afternoon, ma'am. 16 Afternoon. 17 Just a few questions about some answers you gave on your 18 questionnaire. And I want to start with the hardship around --19 I believe you noted that you have finals plus work; is that 20 right? Can you just tell us a little bit about that? 21 Yeah, I'm a full-time graduate student at George 22 Washington University, and I also work 30 hours a week at a 23 nonprofit here. It's a very busy time for -- and finals are 24 this week. So definitely feeling the stress of that. 25 Okay. Would serving on this jury -- maybe this is a

1 self-evident question -- would serving on this jury pose a

- 2 hardship to you given your finals and work schedule?
- 3 A Yes, absolutely.
- 4 Q You also indicated on your questionnaire that you had read
- 5 some information online about Mr. Jenkins or about this case;
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 Q And can you just tell us what that information was and
- 9 where you got it from?
- 10 A Yeah. I can't remember the news source exactly, but just
- 11 online read about like alleged bribery, misuse of power, those
- 12 type of things. So I kind of just got broad strokes from --
- 13 yeah, from the news.
- 14 Q Okay. I believe you indicated on your questionnaire --
- 15 \parallel and correct me if I'm wrong -- that based on what you learned
- 16 #through whatever news outlet or medium it was that it would be
- 17 difficult for you to be fair and impartial with having gained
- 18 | that knowledge; is that correct?
- 19 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q Can you just tell us a little bit more about that, like
- 21 | what, you know, opinions or views you've developed, and you
- 22 \parallel know, why you think that you wouldn't be able to, you know,
- 23 Vovercome that?
- 24 A Sure. Yeah. I mean, I'm just acknowledging my personal
- 25 | bias. I have, like, a bit of a personal bias about law

enforcement in general, particularly like around police brutality and things like that. So I just wanted to make it 2 3 like -- that comes up for me emotionally when I'm reading about 4 this case, or just about law enforcement in general. 5 Okay. Do you think those feelings would ultimately make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial if you were 6 7 selected to be a juror in this case? 8 I think it would make it internally difficult for me, like 9 emotionally difficult, yeah. Uh-huh. 10 Would you be able to follow court instructions -- you 11 know, the judge would instruct you, for example, on the law to 12 follow, the law to apply, and would instruct you that you have 13 to consider only the facts and the evidence that comes out in 14 this case. Would you have trouble following those instructions; do you think? 15 16 I don't think so. I'm a therapist, so I have to often 17 separate the facts from the personal. So I think I'd be able to do that. 18 19 MR. ANDONIAN: I think that's all I have. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 21 Ms. Peng? 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. PENG:

What are you studying in grad school?

24

25

Yes.

I'm studying art therapy.

1 And you mentioned that you're having finals come up. Can 2 you tell me a little bit more? Is it like exams? Is it like a 3 final paper? What kind of --4 Multiple final papers, and like final art projects that 5 accompany, like writing. So those are all due this week. And in terms of your work at the nonprofit, you said you 6 7 work 30 hours a week approximately? 8 Uh-huh. 9 Is there -- if you had to be seated on this jury, is there somebody who could cover for you for just, you know, the 10 11 couple -- until the 20th? Would you be able to find coverage? 12 Yeah, I'd be able to have a conversation with my 13 supervisor about offloading some of my responsibilities. 14 MS. PENG: Great. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, 16 Ms. Monroy. Please do not discuss what we've discussed here 17 today. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: All right. So David Cohen followed by 20 Tyler Haislip. 21 Mr. Haislip, thank you very much for being here. I 22 will remind you you're under oath from this morning. 23 any questions are asked that are of a particularly personal 24 nature that you'd like to take up in private, you can ask to do 25 so. All right. Thank you very much.

Q Gotcha. And so that was the familiarity you were referencing in the questionnaire?

21 | A Uh-huh.

- 22 \mathbb{Q} And does that -- do you think that impacts your ability to
- 23 be a fair impartial juror in this trial?
- 24 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25 Q You hesitated there a little bit. What --

MR. ANDONIAN: No, no, not a problem at all.

23

24

25

Α

Okay. That's fine.

Sorry.

That's all I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cohen. Please do not discuss what we've discussed here with your fellow potential jurors. Thank you very much.

All right. Tyler Haislip, followed by Robert Taylor.

Come on up if you would, please, Ms. Haislip.

Ms. Haislip, thank you very much for being here today. I'll remind you you're under oath from this morning. If there are any questions that are of a particularly personal nature and you wish to take up in private, you can make that request. Thank you very much.

All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you.

14 EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Good afternoon, Ms. Haislip.
- 17 A Hey.
- 18 Q Just a few questions about some answers you provided on your questionnaire. I want to start with the hardship. It
- 20 sounds like you might have some concerns regarding your job --
- 21 **|** A Yes.
- Q -- around sales? Can you just tell us a little bit more about that?
- A I'm a dental sales rep. So being out in the field selling things is how I make my income.

1 Okay. And if you're on -- if you were to be seated on 2 this jury in this case, which we're projecting to go 3 potentially through next Friday, the 20th, would that pose a financial hardship? 4 5 I worry so, with it being the end of the year, office getting ready to close for the holiday, they're doing some last 6 7 minute spending for their own tax purposes. So I do -- I would 8 miss out on some of that. So that does worry me a little bit. 9 Would your concern or your worry about missing out on that 10 impact your ability to focus here if you were to be selected? 11 I would hope not. 12 Do you want to explain that a little bit more? It sounds 13 like maybe you've got some reservation. 14 I have a reservation on another end than that, like for another reason than that, than just the job, than just work. 15 16 Okay. What reservations do you have? 17 I have attention deficit disorder, so I'm more worried 18 about the sitting, paying attention, actually listening to what 19 is being said. That more so worries me about being able --20 that worries me more than the stress at work. 21 Okay. Do you think -- and understanding this is a 22 sensitive area, do you feel that your -- the ADHD that you have 23 as you experience it, it would make it difficult to pay 24 attention in a setting like this?

25

Α

Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. You also indicated that you had either read or
- 2 | heard about some of the allegations in this case; is that
- 3 ||correct?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Can you just tell us what exactly you read or heard?
- 6 A I saw an article online, and then in the news, but this --
- 7 lit's been a while.
- 8 Q Okay. Based on whatever -- well, let me ask: The news
- 9 that you read or heard, was -- did you form an opinion based on
- 10 reading that, positive or negative, about --
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 Q Okay. Do you think there would be any issue with you
- 13 being fair or impartial based on whatever it is that you read
- 14 Nor --
- 15 A No, sir.
- 16 Q And then finally, you indicated I believe that you had
- 17 | some concerns about life experience with respect to kind of
- 18 understanding some of the concepts or some of the information.
- 19 | Can you just tell us a little bit more about that?
- 20 A Yeah. And that tracked to the ADHD, just sitting,
- 21 absorbing information isn't my strong suit.
- 22 **Q** Got it.
- 23 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. I think that's all I have.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Understood. And so with being on the medication in your

If I'm staying active, moving, it's better.

And so the trial will be, you know, 9 to 6. And there

I think breaks will definitely be helpful, but extended

So I guess the question is: Do you think you would be

day-to-day life as a dental sales rep, are you able to focus

concentrate better, and I'm also able to take my medicine at

will be breaks in between. Does that help at all, or do you

think that the period of time that you'll be sitting, like a

periods of time sitting, I will start to zone out, tune out.

Since I was early in high school.

-- kind of in that line of work?

the proper times during the day.

couple of hours at a time, would be --

That happens to me too, frankly.

I think it happens to a lot of people.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and pay attention --

Yes.

1 able to -- you know, what we're trying to figure out, are you 2 going to be able to pay attention to the evidence? 3 I can try my best. 4 MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Haislip, just a couple of questions. 6 as it relates to your ADHD -- and I appreciate your candor in 7 that regard. First of all, are you a college graduate? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Are there tools or tricks that you use to kind of keep your concentration --10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 12 THE COURT: -- that you used during school? 13 Tell me about those. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like I said, I was medicated. never scheduled classes back to back if I could avoid it, and 15 16 just staying active too outside of like my job. I stay active 17 doing things, which helps also. 18 THE COURT: Like actively taking notes? We allow 19 jurors to actively take notes. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. 21 THE COURT: I don't hold jurors' feet to the fire. 22 You don't have to sit in your chair. If standing up and 23 stretching is something that helps you, I'm perfectly fine with 24 that.

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

```
1
             THE COURT: And if you let us know what your
2
   medication schedule is, we would schedule breaks in a way to be
 3
   able to accommodate that. You can also bring drinks and so
   forth into --
 4
5
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
 6
             THE COURT: Would -- are those accommodations the
7
   types of things that would allow you to be able to focus if you
   are indeed selected?
8
9
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
                         Okay. Mr. Andonian, any other questions?
10
             THE COURT:
11
             MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Ms. Peng?
13
             MS. PENG:
                        No.
                              Thank you.
                          Thank you very much, Ms. Haislip. Please
14
             THE COURT:
15
   do not discuss what we've discussed here today.
16
             All right. Robert Taylor, followed by Martha Weiss.
17
             Come on up if you would, please, Mr. Taylor.
18
             All right. Mr. Taylor, I remind you you remain under
19
   oath from this morning. And if you're asked any questions of a
20
   personal nature that you would rather take up in private,
21
   you're welcome to make that request.
22
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 Yes, sir.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Peng?
24
                              EXAMINATION
25
    BY MS. PENG:
```

```
Q Hello, sir.
```

1

- A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q So I have asked you some questions earlier, and I'm just 4 going to ask you some follow-up questions.
- So the question I asked you earlier is about, you know,
 were you more likely to believe the testimony of a convicted
 criminal?
- 8 A What was my answer in the --
- 9 Q Oh, so I asked you about that.
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 Q Do you remember?
- 12 A No, ma'am, I don't remember. I remember you asking me,
- 13 but I don't remember what I answered in the questionnaire. But
- 14 I was thinking about it. You know, if a criminal from the past
- 15 is sitting here testifying against somebody else, I don't feel
- 16 | that he has a reason to lie, because unless he's gaining
- 17 something or losing something. And if he's not losing
- 18 something, why would he lie?
- 19 Q That's helpful. Thanks for thinking about it and 20 clarifying.
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q I think when we were talking earlier, you had said
- 23 something to the effect of, you know, whether you believe them
- 24 or not depends on which side they're on. What did --
- 25 A I was just rambling.

Q Okay.

- 2 A Like I was on the spot.
- Q I'm sorry to put you on the spot. Hopefully this is a
- 4 little bit more comfortable.
- 5 Okay. And I think also one question I had was you had
- 6 indicated that you have a hard time trusting the news these
- 7 days; do you remember that?
- 8 A Political.
- 9 Q Okay. Can you tell me a little more about why that is?
- 10 A Just seems like everything was pushed to one side, that
- 11 everybody over here is doing bad and all these people over here
- 12 are doing good. So I stopped watching the news.
- 13 Q So you haven't seen any news about this case?
- 14 \parallel A Oh, no. Nelson County, we ship in sunshine.
- 15 \parallel Q Okay. Let me just ask you one more question. So if
- 16 someone was a convicted criminal, and then they were testifying
- 17 for the government, and they were doing it in the hopes of
- 18 Igetting a more lenient sentence, how would you view the
- 19 testimony of that particular witness?
- 20 A I would have to believe him, yes.
- 21 | Q You would have to believe him?
- 22 **|** A Uh-huh.
- 23 Q Why is that? I'm sorry to put you on the spot again. If
- 24 you don't have an answer, that's fine.
- 25 \parallel A I reckon it depends if it was offered to him as a reduced

sentence, let's say. I'm going to offer you 30 days off of 2 your cell if you speak -- tell the truth, or -- I've just seen 3 so many movies with jailhouse snitches. And it's hard to really understand how I feel about that, is what I'm saying, I 4 5 reckon. 6 So when you're watching the movies about jailhouse 7 snitches, for example, do you have a positive feeling towards 8 snitches, or do you --9 Kind of neutral. 10 Neutral? 11 Yes. 12 Okay. Any other thoughts you want to share on that 13 subject? 14 No, ma'am. 15 MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian? 17 MR. ANDONIAN: No questions. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taylor, thank you very 19 much for being in. Please do not discuss our conversation here 20 with your fellow potential jurors. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: All right. So Martha Weiss followed by 23 Kristin Southard. 24 Ms. Weiss, good afternoon. Thank you very much for

being here. I'll remind you you remain under oath from this

morning. And also, if you're asked any potentially -- or 2 particularly personal questions you'd rather take up in 3 private, you're welcome to ask me to do so. Thank you very 4 much. 5 All right. Mr. Andonian? 6 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 9 Good afternoon, ma'am. How are you? 10 Hi. Fine, thank you. 11 I just had a question about an answer you gave on your 12 questionnaire. You indicated that you believed anybody who 13 accepts campaign donations has to be influenced whether they're 14 being honest or not. Can you just elaborate on that a little bit more and tell us what you meant by that? 15 16 I mean, I think if anybody accepts a donation, Yeah. 17 whether or not they're honest, it's in the back of their mind. 18 And I think it can maybe subconsciously influence any decisions 19 they might make. 20 Okay. Given that the allegations in this case involve 21 contributions to campaigns and that involve -- of that nature,

do you think your views about people who accept campaign

hearing the facts of the case?

I don't think so.

donations would make it hard for you to be fair and impartial

22

23

24

1 When you say you don't think so, do you -- I know this is 2 hard to quantify, right? I mean, are you -- are you fairly 3 certain? Are you very certain? Are you somewhat certain that 4 you'd be able to be fair and impartial? 5 I'm very certain I could be fair. MR. ANDONIAN: That's all I have. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 8 Ms. Peng? 9 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Weiss, thank you very 11 much for being here. I'll ask you not to discuss your -- what 12 we've discussed here today with your fellow potential jurors. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: All right. Robert -- or I'm sorry, Kristin Southard. And I believe, Ms. Peng, that will bring us 15 16 to the end of your list, the government's list. 17 MS. PENG: That's correct. 18 Ms. Southard, come on up, if you would, THE COURT: 19 please. And Mr. Galleo, we'll just go straight down the 20 defendant's list from there. 21 Ms. Southard, good afternoon. Thank you very much 22 for being here. Thank you very much for your patience today. 23 I'll remind you you remain under oath from this morning. If 24 there are any questions that are particularly personal that you'd rather take up in private, you can make that request if 25

```
Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 195 of 267 195
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                             Pageid#: 1514
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
   we get to that point.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you very much.
 4
              All right. Ms. Peng?
 5
                              EXAMINATION
 6
    BY MS. PENG:
 7
        Hello, how are you?
 8
        Good. How are you?
 9
        Good.
        So let me ask you this. You live in Culpeper?
10
11
        I live in Madison County.
12
        And that's --
13
        It's right on the line. Culpeper is right like -- Madison
   is right after Culpeper.
15
        Got it. Okay. And so have you heard any news or coverage
16
   about this particular trial?
17
         I probably read an article way back when it first came
18
   out. But recently, no, I haven't heard much at all.
19
        Okay. And on your questionnaire, I believe you indicated
20
   that you had a slightly unfavorable view of the DOJ/FBI; is
   that true?
21
22
        I don't remember saying -- I mean, I don't remember that,
23
   honestly.
24
        Okay. Is that true, though?
25
        No, it's not true. No.
```

honestly, but I just wanted to document it on the

conversations with her about her experiences?

Sure. No, we appreciate that. So just to make sure I'm

closing the loop on the questions, though, have you had any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

21

22

23

24

25

questionnaire.

1

2

3

4

5

8

14

16

24

25

18 19 20 21 Ms. Patrizia, come on up, if you would, please, 22 ma'am. 23

All right. Ms. Patrizia, thank you very much for being here. I'll remind you you're under oath from this morning. If any question is particularly personal and you wish

1 to take it up in private, you can make that request at the appropriate time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Good afternoon, ma'am.
- 9 A Hi.

3

4

5

7

- 10 Q I had just a couple questions about an answer you gave
- 11 earlier this morning, and then a couple of answers from your
- 12 | questionnaire. You mentioned -- I'll just start with hardship.
- 13 You mentioned in your questionnaire that you had -- and I'm
- 14 sorry, you unfortunately lost your car recently?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q That makes it difficult to get to and from court. Is that
- 17 ||still the case?
- 18 A No. I purchased a car Monday in preparation for this.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} Oh, okay. Congratulations.
- 20 A Thank you.
- 21 Q Earlier this morning you mentioned another possible
- 22 | hardship, and that was that you had to pick your daughter up,
- 23 and that if we were running nine-hour days, that that would be
- 24 difficult?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
        Can you just tell us a little bit more about that?
 2
   mean, I guess specifically, would you be able to find coverage,
 3
   or are you the person that has to be there to get your
 4
   daughter?
 5
        I'm the primary person that can consistently pick her up.
   And she can only stay there for nine hours. And because she is
 7
   3, she needs a car seat. So even if I was able to find someone
   like a couple days a week, it would be difficult to manage
 9
   getting a car seat to or from that person.
10
        Okay. So would you say that given your schedule in
11
   picking up your daughter and the schedule the Court would be
12
   likely to follow, that it would be difficult for you to sit on
13
   a jury that would go through next -- potentially next Friday?
14
        It would be very difficult.
15
        Would that -- apart from the difficulty in and of itself,
16
   would you be -- find yourself preoccupied worrying about timing
17
   such that you would have a hard time focusing?
18
        Yeah, today I was very worried about it. So I had to run
19
   to my car and try to figure out how to get her picked up today.
20
        You also indicated -- and this is my last question -- that
21
   you had some exposure to news coverage about the case, and you
22
   had formed an opinion about Mr. Jenkins's quilt based on that;
23
   is that correct?
24
        That's correct.
```

Can you just tell us a little bit about that, I guess what

1 the news exposure was, and what your feelings are now?

2 A Yeah. I think my father-in-law is the one who told me

about it. And I'm sorry, the way he was talking about it, he

was kind of in a joking way, like oh, man, can you believe

5 this, in the sense that like he was guilty.

6 Q And do you hold that view based on that conversation with

your father-in-law that Mr. Jenkins is guilty?

8 A Well, I respect his views, especially because he was a

9 \parallel practicing attorney. But I know that I can look at the case --

the evidence that's presented and just make a decision based on

11 | that.

3

7

10

13

12 Q Okay. So you don't think that your feelings based on what

you heard about the case would make it hard for you to be fair

14 ∥and impartial?

15 \parallel A I don't think so. I mean, I think we all have biases that

16 we try to suppress, but I think I could do it.

17 MR. ANDONIAN: Brief indulgence.

18 BY MR. ANDONIAN:

19 Q Sorry, just one follow-up to that. You mentioned because

20 | you respect your father-in-law because he's an attorney. Was

21 he making the comments that he made about this case and your

22 I takeaway that, you know, he was implying that he was guilty,

23 was that because your father-in-law had some specific knowledge

24 either of the case or, you know, as a criminal lawyer himself,

25 \parallel such that he had some analysis that was --

A Yeah, my husband was a criminal defense attorney, and he was an attorney. And we were out to dinner one night, and they were talking about it together kind of in a joking way.

MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: Sorry, I have --

THE COURT: Hey, not quite so fast, Ms. Patrizia.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. PENG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

- 11 Q No worries. So I know that it's like a hard -- it's a

 12 huge imposition to serve on a jury. I thought I heard you say

 13 earlier that there might be some arrangements and you would

 14 have to like figure them out. Is that true?
 - A I mean, really honestly thinking about it, there's really no one else that can consistently pick her up. I answered in a way that like I would want that to be a fact. I would hope that I could do that. But thinking about it more, I can't think of anybody that can pick her up consistently for eight days.
- Q Got it. And your husband works, and with his work schedule he can't potentially do a couple of days?
- A Right. He has meetings that have already been scheduled that can't be canceled.
- MS. PENG: Thank you.

```
1
             THE COURT: Ms. Patrizia, let me just ask you a
 2
    couple questions. You said your father-in-law was an attorney.
 3
   Is he retired?
 4
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's retired now.
 5
             THE COURT: Does he have a practice here in
   Charlottesville?
 6
 7
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. He practiced in Washington
 8
   D.C. mostly, but --
 9
             THE COURT: What type of practice did he have?
10
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was a managing partner at Paul
11
   Hastings.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. So primarily commercial work?
13
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think.
14
             THE COURT: And your husband is still a practicing
15
   attorney?
16
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He is, yes.
17
             THE COURT: And where does he practice?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In Charlottesville.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. What type of practice does he
20
   have?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He mostly does estate planning
22
   now, some business formation.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. So he's not a trial attorney?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. His partners are.
25
             THE COURT: All right. If you are selected as a
```

juror, as much as your lawyer father-in-law and husband may 2 want to ask, you know, you can't have any discussions with them 3 about the nature of the case and the evidence as long as the 4 case is pending. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 6 And you can follow that? THE COURT: 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I understand that. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Thank you very much. 9 My questions prompt anything further, counsel? 10 MS. PENG: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ma'am, please do 12 not discuss what we've discussed here today with your fellow 13 potential jurors. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Kira Memery. 16 All right. Ms. Memery, thank you, ma'am, for being 17 I'll remind you you're under oath. If there are any 18 questions that are particularly personal in nature and you wish 19 to take up in private, you can make that request at the 20 appropriate time. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 23 All right. Mr. Andonian? 24 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

```
1 BY MR. ANDONIAN:
```

- Q Good afternoon, Ms. Memery.
- 3 A Hi.

- Q I just had a few questions based on your responses on the questionnaire. You indicated that you had been exposed to some news coverage about this case; is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, very minimal, though.
- 8 Q Can you just tell us a little bit about what that coverage 9 was?
- 10 A I think that when I got the letter for jury duty and was
 11 reading about it, like I remembered seeing just like some
- 12 headlines about it. I don't know that I ever read an article.
- 13 I just knew -- like it was familiar to me when I saw the case,
- 14 that I had heard about it or seen something, but --
- 15 Q Okay. I think you also answered on your questionnaire
- 16 that -- and I'm paraphrasing, not purporting to quote -- but
- 17 that it was a -- you know, a shame that an elected official,
- 18 you know, kind of engaged in the conduct that was alleged and
- 19 that you might have formed an opinion that he was probably
- 20 guilty?
- 21 A I -- yes. So -- well, first of all, I did -- I filled out
- 22 | my form, e-mailed it, mailed it, but then this morning they
- 23 didn't have it, so I was like rushing through. So I might have
- 24 to elaborate on things. So yeah, I think -- I think I've just
- 25 | been in a general state of like of about lots of things about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

humanity lately. And so like when I see stuff like that, I think -- if I'm being very honest -- my first impression is like, darn, like, you know, we've got these people that are leaders, and you know, and this is another thing coming up where somebody didn't act in integrity. So yes, I mean, if I'm being very honest, that is my first impression when I see a headline like that, is like, shoot, here we are again with some other bad news about somebody that was supposed to be in a position to do good things, you know, so -- for what it's worth, yeah. That's helpful. I appreciate that. Do you think that your views or your feelings that you just described would make it hard for you to be fair and impartial if you were selected? I mean, I think not necessarily just that. I don't know. I thought about that a lot. I do think that it's hard for me to get to a place of being like very neutral, especially just the very little bit I know about the case. I feel pretty strongly about like safe gun ownership and, you know, the need for some reform there. So just knowing that that's like a small part from what I do know, I do think that that -- you know, I have formed opinions and probably feel strongly about those things where it would be challenging for me to like put all of that aside and be completely open-minded. Okay. And you think it would be -- it sounds like it might be challenging to put that aside, even if you were

1 instructed by the judge that you were to consider only the 2 evidence, or follow the law as he provides it to you; is that 3 fair to say? I mean, I would do my best, and I understand the 4 5 importance of doing that. So yeah, I would do my very best to do that. 6 7 Do you -- so -- and I know this is -- I'm kind of prying 8 into, you know, deep feelings, and it's maybe hard to answer, 9 but you sound somewhat hesitant. Do you think it would be 10 likely that you could put those feelings aside and be fair and 11 impartial? Do you think it's somewhat likely? Do you --12 Yeah, I think it's likely, but you know, there are certain 13 things that I feel pretty strongly about that I would like 14 worry that I wouldn't be able to completely abandon those things. So yes, I think it's likely. I don't know that I'm 15 the best, like, option. I don't know that -- yeah, I'm not --16 17 Okay. Do you -- and I guess just to fully close the loop, 18 as you sit here today, do you think Mr. Jenkins, in fact, did 19 what the government is alleging that he did? Have you formed 20 an opinion, kind of that ultimate opinion? 21 Oh, no. I mean, I have no idea. 22 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. I think that's all I have. 23 Thank you very much. 24 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

25

Ms. Peng?

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. PENG: 3 Hi, how are you doing? Good, thanks. 4 5 So just to follow up on the last thread, so you don't have any opinion, sitting here today, about Mr. Jenkins's quilt or 6 7 innocence; is that what you said? 8 No. 9 Okay. And so you understand the judge will instruct you that all defendants are presumed innocent until the government 10 11 can prove with evidence in the courtroom that he's quilty 12 beyond a reasonable doubt. And is that something you can follow? 13 Yes. 14 15 And so -- and I also -- I think you -- I heard you say 16 that -- you know, I appreciate your candor about all of the 17 things that you are thinking about, but you are likely able to 18 at least keep an open mind with respect to evidence presented 19 in this courtroom, you know, irregardless of your opinions 20 regarding other issues that may not be at issue in this case? 21 Α Yes. 22 MS. PENG: Okay. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Let me ask you a couple questions, 24 Ms. Memery. First of all, I appreciate you being here and 25 staying with us throughout the day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The way I sometimes will put the question for those that are sworn in to be jurors to well and truly try the case based upon the evidence that comes in and the instructions is that -- can you set aside some of your views regarding larger -- larger issues, gun ownership, or gun reform -- one way or the other, and make a decision based solely upon the law and the evidence in this particular case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I -- I think so. THE COURT: And one way to look at that is this: that as I indicated to you, the defendant has no burden of proof in this case. And you can't consider if the defendant chooses to remain silent or not. The government has the burden of proof in the case. Do you understand that? Yes, sir. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE COURT: And so the question that would be put to you is that if the government doesn't prove its case, can you return a verdict in favor of the defendant, even if you don't like the actions that you believe the defendant engaged in? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: You can? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. Mr. Andonian, any further questions? MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Peng?

Pageid#: 1528

1 MS. PENG: No. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And Ms. Memery, 3 please don't discuss what we've discussed here today with your fellow potential jurors. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 6 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 7 All right. Marsha Peterson. 8 MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, I actually think we can 9 skip Ms. Peterson. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Peterson? All right. Mr. Galleo, 11 let's bring in Brandon Bilyard. 12 Mr. Bilyard, come on up, if you would, please, sir. 13 All right. Mr. Bilyard, first of all, thank you very 14 much for being here. I will remind you you're under oath from 15 this morning, and that if there are any particularly personal 16 questions that are asked of you that you may wish to take up in 17 private, you can make that request at the appropriate time. 18 All right. Mr. Andonian? 19 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you. 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDONIAN: 21 22 Good afternoon, Mr. Bilyard. I just had a question. 23 understand after filling out your questionnaire there was some 24 information that you wanted to supplement regarding a new job 25 and caretaking responsibilities that you have. If you could

- I \parallel just talk to us about those?
- 2 A I help take care of my special needs brother, and I just
- 3 started a new security job -- well, I started training for it,
- 4 but I officially start it next week.
- 5 Q Okay. Would sitting -- if you were to be selected for
- 6 ∥this jury that's -- for the trial that's expected to go up
- 7 until potentially next Friday, would either your -- the
- 8 caregiving you provide to your brother or the new job, would
- 9 that -- would the trial pose a problem for you?
- 10 A It would create a hardship for me, yeah.
- 11 Q Okay. And would that be on both fronts?
- 12 A More so the job than the caretaking part, because I help
- 13 my dad take care of him.
- 14 \parallel Q Okay. And the job would be -- would the trial interfere
- 15 with like your new work hours, or would it just be -- you know,
- 16 I guess I'll just ask that question?
- 17 A Yeah, because I work during the day.
- 18 Q And in terms of helping your dad take care of your
- 19 | brother, is that something that, if you -- putting the job
- 20 Maside for a second, if you were to be seated on this jury,
- 21 | would there be a hardship, given that you would be unavailable
- 22 | for, you know, a big chunk of the day? Would that
- 23 independently cause a hardship?
- 24 A Taking care of him?
- 25 Q Yeah, in terms of taking care -- I guess it would leave

Oh, I'm sorry. You have to say yes or no.

24

25

BY MS. PENG:

```
1
        I'm sorry. No.
 2
             MS. PENG: No?
 3
             Okay. Thank you.
                         Mr. Bilyard, let me just ask you a real
 4
             THE COURT:
 5
   quick question here. You're starting a new job. You
   indicated you -- do you have to go through a training program
 6
 7
   for that?
 8
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Is that -- and the training
10
   program starts next week?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I've already started it.
12
   I'm missing today for this.
13
             THE COURT: Okay. So is it just one day of training?
14
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a week.
15
             THE COURT: A week-long training? All right.
                                                             If you
16
   don't go through the training program, are you pushed back for
17
   when you can start the job at another time, or would you be
18
   able to pick up and go forward?
19
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I have to finish training
20
   before I start the actual job.
21
             THE COURT: Is the training only offered at certain
22
   times, or --
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I think they only do it
24
   during certain times of the month.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. When would be the next training
```

that's available? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Monday, because I'm on this 3 today, so they're making an exception for Monday. THE COURT: Okay. But if you're on the jury, you'll 4 5 be here next week. When would be the next training available after that? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have to look it up. I 8 don't -- I'm not sure. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Likely after Christmas, I presume? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably. 11 THE COURT: Maybe after the first of the year? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 14 Prompt any further questions, Mr. Andonian, Ms. Peng? MR. ANDONIAN: 15 No. 16 MS. PENG: No. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 Thank you, Mr. Bilyard. Please do not discuss our 19 conversation here today with your potential fellow jurors. 20 All right. Karson Byers. 21 Counsel, my thought is that we take a break after we 22 get through this group of three, and make sure we've finished 23 all of our individual voir dires, and then we'll figure out 24 where we are.

Mr. Byers, come on up, if you would, please.

All right. Mr. Byers, as you have a seat, first of all, thank you very much for being here. I will remind you you're under oath from earlier this morning. If you're asked any potentially personal questions -- or particularly personal questions that you want to take up in private, you can make that request at the appropriate time. Thank you.

Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Good afternoon, Mr. Byers. I had a question about an answer you gave on your questionnaire. And if I have this wrong, please feel free to correct me. But I believe you indicated that you would be more likely to credit a witness who had been convicted previously of a crime. Can you just tell us a little bit about that answer?
- A I feel like most people more likely would learn from I guess mistakes than most, but obviously there's still some that don't. But I feel like more people are going to learn and be more honest.
- Q Okay. So the fact that they had been convicted of something makes it more likely that they would have learned from their mistakes and that this time around they're being truthful?
- 25 A Yeah, in my opinion.

1 MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: Just one question.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. PENG:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I think you indicated on your questionnaire that you have no issues with a law enforcement officer being prosecuted if there's a proper and thorough investigation. Do I have that right?

- A That's correct.
- 11 Q Can you just tell me what you mean by -- in your mind -- a thorough and proper investigation?
 - A Just treating them like anyone else really, just properly go through -- just pretend like they're not even a cop, they're just someone normal.
 - MS. PENG: Understood. Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
- 18 Mr. Byers, you may step down. Please do not discuss your 19 conversation here with your potential fellow jurors.

Mary Reed.

All right. Ms. Reed, thank you very much for being here. I'll remind you you're under oath from earlier this morning. And if you're asked any questions that are particularly personal that you'd like to take up in private, you can make that request at the appropriate time. Thank you

```
Filed 12/11/24 Page 216 of 267 216
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 253
                              Pageid#: 1535
                 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
 1
    very much.
 2
              Mr. Andonian?
 3
              MR. ANDONIAN:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
                              EXAMINATION
 5
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
 6
        Good afternoon, Ms. Reed.
 7
        Good afternoon.
 8
        Ms. Reed, I have a few questions for you about the answers
 9
   you gave on your questionnaire.
10
        Sure.
11
        Let me just start with the one -- you indicated that you
12
   voted for the new Culpeper County sheriff because you felt that
13
   there needed to be some change. Can you just talk to us a
14
   little bit about that, specifically what?
15
         Sure. Well, very honestly, I believe in term limits,
16
   period. I'd love to see them all over the country. So
17
   frankly, I feel when someone is in office for an extended
18
   period of time -- and I happen to know one of the people
19
   Sheriff Jenkins was running against from his work in the town,
20
   and I had a lot of respect for, and I figured this might be a
21
   good change.
22
         Okay. Did you have any negative feelings --
23
        No.
```

24

25

Α

No.

-- about Mr. Jenkins?

EXAMINATION

I think you indicated in your questionnaire that you have

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Peng?

Yes. Hi. How are you?

BY MS. PENG:

1 been a juror on a prior case back in the '90s? 2 Uh-huh. 3 Can you tell us a little bit about what that experience was like? 4 5 I think it was the '90s. 6 Okay. 7 I was living in Montgomery County. I've been on two --8 well, I never was selected on the second one for lots of 9

well, I never was selected on the second one for lots of reasons. But I was living in Montgomery County, Maryland. I was summoned and selected to be on a jury to hear a charge -- and I don't know if it was civil or criminal, I have to -- it's not a detail I recall -- of a young man accused of stabbing another young man outside of a restaurant, bar, etc. And it was essentially two gangs who got into a feud, and this one young man was accused of stabbing another young man. He was injured, but it was not fatal. And it seemed to be, based on all the evidence that came out, it seemed to be a brawl. And it was not clear to me or the other jurors who stabbed who.

And he was acquitted based on that, because it was truly a gang, two gangs. And when you're in the middle of a gang riot, it is hard to know who threw the first punch.

Q That's remarkable memory for a case --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Well, I nicknamed it the thugs versus the hooligans or something in my own personal --
- 25 Q Understood. Would you say that serving on that jury was a

```
positive or a negative experience?
 2
        It was generally positive. I learned a lot about the jury
 3
   process. Learned it requires a great deal of patience.
 4
        Well, thank you for that in advance.
 5
             THE COURT: As you have learned today.
 6
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
                                 I also was asked to be on a jury
 7
   in Las Vegas, Nevada where I was -- when I was there working.
 8
   I don't think I mentioned this because I was not selected, but
 9
   it was going to be a very long, involved federal case. And it
10
   was the middle of a merger of two power companies, and I
11
   happened to be the merger chairman -- or person. And my CEO
12
   asked for permission for me not to be there. So I was excused
13
   based on my job duties at the time.
    BY MS. PENG:
14
15
        And those are your two experiences?
16
        Yes.
17
                       Okay. Thanks very much.
             MS. PENG:
18
                         All right. Thank you very much,
             THE COURT:
19
   Ms. Reed. Please do not discuss what we've discussed here
20
   today with your fellow potential jurors.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
21
22
             THE COURT: All right. Susan Thomas.
23
             Come on up, Ms. Thomas.
24
             Thank you very much for being here, Ms. Thomas.
25
   remind you you're under oath. If there are any particular
```

15 16 17 18 19 county, and so it was -- it didn't particularly interest me, so 20 I haven't read anything. 21 Okay. And so you don't have any views of Mr. Jenkins?

MR. ANDONIAN: Okay. Thank you. That was all I had.

THE COURT: Ms. Peng?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

25

No, sir.

MS. PENG: No. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Thomas. Please do not discuss what we discussed here today with your potential fellow jurors.

And Elizabeth Bailey.

Ms. Bailey, thank you very much for being here and for your patience today. I will remind you you are under oath. And if you are asked any questions that are particularly personal in nature that you wish to take up in private, you can make that request at the appropriate time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ANDONIAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Good afternoon, Ms. Bailey.
- 15 A Good afternoon.
 - Q Just a couple of questions based on answers that you gave either earlier this morning or from your questionnaire. And I'll just start with your brother-in-law, who died under sheriff's custody a handful of years ago. And I'm sorry to hear about that.
 - Was that experience -- did that experience in any way shape or form opinions that you have about sheriff's offices generally? I guess I'll start there, and then I'll work my way more specific.
- 25 A No, I wouldn't say generally. Specifically for in

```
Fitzgerald, Georgia, yes.
```

- 2 Q Okay. Does that experience in any way influence how you
- 3 | feel about the Culpeper County sheriff's office here in
- 4 Virginia?
- 5 A Honestly, it's isolated specifically to the locality that
- 6 he was in.
- 7 Q Okay. You also indicated on your questionnaire that you
- 8 had a very favorable view of the Department of Justice and the
- 9 FBI, and a somewhat favorable view of criminal defense
- 10 attorneys. Can you just talk us through that a little bit?
- 11 A Aren't I like everyone else? Come on.
- 12 Q Right. Right.
- 13 A No, I mean, I -- well, I'm a retired federal employee. I
- 14 | have a high esteem for federal employees in general. I tend to
- 15 feel like most federal employees strive hard to do a good job.
- 16 ∥And I think just in general, I think it's -- you know, I'm not
- 17 unique in thinking that there are a lot of lawyers who are out
- 18 ∥to make a lot of money. And, you know, they -- generally
- 19 ∥speaking -- I mean, not just from my perspective, but I mean,
- 20 | it's just a joke that, you know, lawyers, you know, are
- 21 | considered not trustworthy people. I mean, if you're -- you
- 22 know, they're right there with used car salesmen. No offense
- 23 to all of you all.
- MS. PENG: None taken.
- 25 MR. ANDONIAN: None taken.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You know what I'm saying. 2 it's hard to not generalize. Do I know, you know, esteemed 3 lawyers who are, you know, very upstanding citizens? Most certainly. Anyone can be. You can have slimy lawyers too. 4 5 $oxed{\mathsf{I}}$ mean, there's just -- there's the gamut. $oxed{\mathsf{I}}$ $oxed{\mathsf{I}}$ t's just kind --6 it's more of a generalization than it is I have a specific 7 angst against some particular type of attorney or attorneys in 8 general, if that makes sense. BY MR. ANDONIAN: 9 10 Appreciate that. That does. And I guess just to close 11 the loop on that, is there anything about your views about the 12 DOJ and the FBI on the one hand, and lawyers I guess more 13 broadly speaking on the other, that would make it hard for you to be fair and impartial in this case, given that you've got 14 15 the Department of Justice on the one hand, and you've got a 16 couple of lawyers on the other --17 Slimy lawyers -- just kidding. 18 Right. 19 No. No. Certainly not, no. 20 21 MR. ANDONIAN: That's all I have. Thank you very 22 much, ma'am. 23 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Andonian. 24 Ms. Peng? 25 MS. PENG: No questions. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Bailey. You may step down. Please do not discuss what we discussed here with your potential fellow jurors. Mr. Andonian, does that now exhaust your list? MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor. I think we've exhausted everybody. THE COURT: first of all, I know Ms. Dill was one of the people that you all had agreed could be excused. We are hitting her witching hour, which was 4 o'clock. I'd like the CSOs to be able to pull her quietly aside and allow her to leave. The reason I ask her to be pulled quietly aside is if it looks like I excused one person in front of everybody else, they're going to want to know what else has happened. So any objection to that, Ms. Peng? Mr. Andonian? MS. PENG: No objection, Your Honor. And there is another juror I think who falls into that night vision category as well, Ms. Chisholm. So perhaps she could be included. THE COURT: And Ms. Chisholm was on you all's agreed list as well? MS. PENG: Yes. THE COURT: So Ms. Dill and Ms. Chisholm, Mr. Galleo, if you all can just pull them quietly aside and tell them that they would be free to go. So what my thought is -- I know we have to take up

strikes for cause -- you all need to collect your thoughts.

You may be able to agree upon which ones you have or not. I do want to get the jury back in here as quickly as possible so we can do peremptory. I don't think we're going to get openings done today. It would be my guess by the time we bring the jury -- by the time we go through strikes for cause -- they can just come right on through.

(Prospective jurors enter the courtroom.)

on up, if you would, please. If I could get you all both just to stand there. First of all, thank you all both for being here. I know it's been a long day for you and I appreciate your patience. We also understand that we're hitting the witching hour for you all with respect to driving. Just so that you all will know and you can complete the circle, you've been excused from jury service. So you're not going to be selected for this particular jury. We're going to get our jury selected today, but I didn't want to make that announcement in front of everyone else because they're going to want to know why they can't go. We brought you in here because we know you have to get on the road before the weather and the light gets to be too bad for you. But thank you very much. You've served an incredibly important role. Thank you very much.

(Prospective jurors left the courtroom.)

Do you all need any more than ten minutes? Can we come back at 4:10.

1 For for-cause challenges, Your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Four our for-cause challenges and then 3 we're going to go straight into peremptories. We'll bring the 4 jury back in for the peremptories, because once we have the 5 peremptories I'm going to select them, release everyone else. And then I'll swear the jury, give them their preliminary 6 7 instructions. We'll see where that time is, but by the time 8 they take a break or whatever -- how long would you anticipate 9 your opening being? My opening is 20 minutes, Your Honor. 10 MS. SMITH: 11 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian, Mr. Caleb? 12 MR. CALEB: Shorter than that. 13 THE COURT: We'll see where we are. I mean, if we 14 can do an opening and still be done by 5:30, fantastic. not, this may let you be able to get to your meeting or at 15 16 least come in late. 17 MR. CALEB: I canceled it. 18 THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that. Let's take a 19 break until 4:10 and then we'll go through the for-cause 20 challenges. 21 (Recess.) 22 THE COURT: All right. So let me -- first of all, 23 we're back on the record in the matter of United States versus 24 Jenkins. The government is present by its counsel. The 25 defendant likewise is present, along with the benefit of

counsel.

Prior to the individual questioning of the proposed jurors, the parties agreed to the for-cause strikes of the following, and so I will grant those motions: Charmaine Dill, Tessa Chisholm, Ana Bowler, Dawn Brunk, Patrick Betz, Jeffrey Ford and Richard Harris. So we will strike those as well, and they will come off of our random list which I've got here somewhere.

Ms. Peng, any other for-cause challenges as it relates to the potential venire?

MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor. We have three additional ones that both parties have agreed upon.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PENG: So that's Powell, Bilyard and Jeffrey Blauvelt.

THE COURT: So Jeffrey Blauvelt and then Brandon
Bilyard and Carolyn Powell. So I will grant those as well. So
they will come off as well.

Any other for-cause challenges?

MS. PENG: Yes. For the government, Frank Krick.

This is the gentleman who indicated that he would have to take long naps during the day, and that during the course of the trial he foresees having to do that. So I think for that reason he should be a hardship excuse.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: No objection.

THE COURT: No objection. All right. I'll grant Frank Krick.

MS. PENG: We have a for-cause challenge on behalf of Jo Gilmore on the basis she can't be fair and impartial. This is the individual who voted for Jenkins after allegations of him came out. Upon questioning, she indicated that she would have a difficult time setting her beliefs aside. In fact, she did not believe that she should sit on the jury if she was the government. She indicated she wasn't sure which agents could be trusted. Although ultimately when pressed she did say she could be fair and impartial, I think her level of distrust of both the FBI and the prosecution team warrants a for-cause dismissal.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, I think there was certainly some back and forth with her about her views about the FBI, but both I and Your Honor asked her if she could be fair, if she could follow -- most importantly, follow the Court's instructions, which would include considering only the evidence in the case and not, you know, injecting personal views about the matter. And so although she certainly has opinions, there are plenty of other people that have opinions that we haven't struck for cause. And the most important metric that the Court has been going off of has been: Can they

be fair and impartial and follow the Court's instructions?

Again, she answered multiple times yes to those questions. So
we would oppose.

THE COURT: All right. So as it relates to

Ms. Gilmore, first of all, just so that we have the standard on
the record -- 1 won't repeat it each time -- the general rule
is that actual bias or disqualification must be demonstrated
before removing a juror for cause. Relevant inquiry is whether
the juror can be fair and impartial in deciding the case based
upon the facts and the law presented. I'll refer to Person
versus Miller, which is 854 F.2d 656, and then United States
versus Cabrera-Beltran, 660 F.3d 742, which is a Fourth Circuit
2011 case. The other is a Fourth Circuit 1998 case.

Ms. Gilmore indicated that she did not trust the FBI, believed that the FBI had spied on the Catholic church in Richmond. She voted for Mr. Jenkins. The fact that she did that to me is one way or the other. Believed he would be a good sheriff. You know, she was -- it is close with respect to her because she clearly likes Mr. Jenkins, but I put the question to her if the government proves its case, can she find him guilty, and she said that she could, indicating that I think that she can make a decision based upon the law presented and the facts in this case. So I'm going to deny the government's motion as it relates to Ms. Gilmore.

MS. PENG: The next one for the government is Amanda

Long. This is the individual who has substantial connections and personal relationships with multiple individuals who are current or former employees of Culpeper County, which is the entity that's very much going to be at issue in this case. You know, several individuals were interviewed in connection with the investigation. One -- two people were on the government -- well, she's friends with Judge Durrer's wife; Valerie Lamb is involved in this case; David Jenkins and Ortiz was, in fact -- THE COURT: She's like the mayor of Culpeper. She knows everybody.

MS. PENG: And again, it's a matter of degree I think in these situations. She did indicate she could try to put all that aside, but her level of connection with all of these involved parties the government believes is -- does warrant her to be excused from sitting in this particular case.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, I think it's the same concept. Yes, she knows a lot of people. She's the mayor of Culpeper. But she was very clear that even despite some personal discomfort with being involved in this case given the people that she knows, that she could still be fair and impartial. She could follow the Court's instructions. We're talking about a small town. It's going to be hard to eradicate familiarity with people, even if it's a lot of people. Again, the metric that matters is: Can she be fair and impartial?

Can she decide the case on the facts that are presented here?

And she said yes.

THE COURT: Well, one of the risks that you always have with anyone who has been an elected official, been in the news, is that many people know them. Someone who works in the government is going to know many different people. And certainly Ms. Long fits into that category. But she was -- you know, she was quite candid. She could be unbiased and it would not influence her decision, the fact that she knows all these folks. And so I think she was rehabilitated, and I'm going to overrule the government's motion as it relates to Ms. Long.

MS. PENG: Our next person is Kimberley McDaniel. I think our concern is, you know, she openly admitted that she made misrepresentations on her jury questionnaire in order to get out of jury service. So we have serious concerns that she's going to be able to follow the Court's instructions.

Just the very fact that she gave untruthful information, as she admitted to you, I think warrants her to be excused from this jury.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: I mean, Your Honor, I understand that position. She was forthcoming about it. She -- I mean, not everybody -- I mean, I think many people would like to not be on jury duty and many people potentially come up with reasons to try to get out. She at least owned up to it. But she

otherwise answered questions about -- she said the case is based on the facts. What's right is right and wrong is wrong. She certainly could follow the Court's instructions. You know, I guess I wouldn't reward her efforts to shirk jury duty and instead credit her answers to the questions -- again, the guestions matter.

THE COURT: Well, as it relates to Ms. McDaniel. I read her questionnaire, and I know Ms. Peng made a motion -- or mentioned her earlier today. I came in inclined to think that she probably is a for-cause strike. As I listened to her, I thought maybe not. And then she was candid that despite the fact that she provided answers on her questionnaire under penalty of perjury, that she admittedly said things that are not true and that are completely contrary to what she said here today. And so I've got questions as to whether she is truly impartial in that regard. And so I'm going to grant the government's motion as it relates to Ms. McDaniel and I'll strike her for cause.

MS. PENG: The next one is Timothy Falls. This is the gentleman who had I think a significant series of health issues. He mentioned on his questionnaire that he would need frequent bathroom breaks, and he has had a long history of association with Mr. Jenkins.

THE COURT: He has the bionic back.

MS. PENG: Right. So I think based on the -- and,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PENG:

Thank you.

you know, I think we were able to observe that he has some difficulty with movement even in the courtroom today. So we would excuse him on the basis of hardship. THE COURT: Mr. Andonian? MR. CALEB: Court's indulgence. I'm sorry, Mr. Caleb. THE COURT: MR. ANDONIAN: I quess we have the same position, just not to sound like a broken record. I mean, he -- you know, he also did say it would be uncomfortable, but he would make it work. The Court can accommodate his need to take breaks. And he ultimately said that, you know, he would listen to the evidence and be fair and impartial. And so --THE COURT: Well, I think that Mr. Falls -- I thought that the parties may actually agree as a hardship. But as to cause, I don't think there's grounds to strike him for cause. he does have a long relationship in which he knew Mr. Jenkins, but that's not our standard. Our standard is can he be fair and impartial, and I believe he answered those questions forthrightly in that regard. And so I will -- I will overrule the government's motion as it relates to Mr. Falls. MS. PENG: Just to clarify, Your Honor is overruling the motion on the hardship grounds also, because that's the basis of our --THE COURT: Yes. Yes.

1 The last person we have is Chris Stapler. This is 2 the gentlemen who we questioned during the open voir dire. He 3 said he was unemployed, his spouse is unemployed, and that it would pose a significant hardship for him to serve on the jury. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Any objection to Mr. Stapler? 6 MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: So I'll grant Mr. Stapler on hardship, 8 agreement of the parties. 9 No others for the government, Ms. Peng? 10 MS. PENG: That's right. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Andonian? 12 MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor. Our first one that 13 we have not already covered is Karina Monroy -- I'm sorry. I'm 14 just flipping --THE COURT: Kira Memery? 15 16 MR. ANDONIAN: No. Karina Monroy. 17 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. She is -- she's the student. 18 MR. ANDONIAN: Correct. So our primary argument is 19 that she's a full-time graduate student at George Washington, 20 she works 30-plus hours a week, and she's in the middle of 21 finals. She has she said multiple final papers and art 22 projects that are due this week. She said the trial pushing 23 into next week would be problematic as well. So that's reason 24 number one. 25 Reason number 2 is she also talked about how it would

be -- her bias about law enforcement would come up. She read some -- or had some exposure to the facts of the case online. She said in her questionnaire she doesn't like Mr. Jenkins's politics. She's very critical elected officials. I mean, again, it would be hard for her to be fair and impartial. But to be clear, it's both that and the fact that she's a full-time student.

THE COURT: Right. Ms. Peng, if she had sent in a request to be excused, I would have granted it on the student basis, but she didn't, because I think I excused some other students who were in the middle of finals.

MS. PENG: Fair enough, Your Honor, although I would point out that she did answer that she could find coverage for her work. So if that's the case, it's essentially she's substituting the 30 hours that she would have worked for jury duty. So the rest of the time could be made up with the papers and so forth that she's in. She's not actively in the middle of classes. So I don't think she qualifies for a hardship excuse. And on the other basis, she said she had no trouble being fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Well, again, on the for cause -- and she didn't seek a hardship basis in many respects. So on the for cause, she indicated that she can be fair, can be impartial. So on that basis I'm going to overrule the defendant's objection.

Anything else, Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor. Sorry. Brief indulgence.

The next we had was Tyler Haislip.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ANDONIAN: You know, she did -- this is the individual with ADHD. I know she talked about ways that she could cope with it, but when I asked her if it would be difficult given the length of time that we're going to be spending each day and the length of the case, she seemed pretty resolute that it was going to be very challenging for her. If the solution is we take lots of breaks, she's able to get up and move around, that starts working to the detriment of our timing, other jurors potentially. And so on that basis, we would ask that she be excused for cause.

THE COURT: Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: Your Honor, you know, she's been dealing with this condition for a number of years. She has a fairly demanding job, it seems like, or she's gone through college, as Your Honor asked her. She's perfectly able to function in her daily life when she's controlled by medication, and certainly we are going to be taking breaks regardless. And when questioned by Your Honor about whether those particular accommodations would allow her to serve, she answered unequivocally yes. And so I think she's the best person who is

the judge of how she's able to serve.

THE COURT: So I think, Ms. Haislip indicated that she does have some tools or tricks that she uses to manage her ADHD with respect to medication. Certainly she can take it on the appropriate schedule while serving on jury duty. I believe there's accommodations that the Court frankly is obligated to make available to somebody with a medical condition. So I will overrule the motion as it relates to Ms. Haislip.

MR. ANDONIAN: Very well, Your Honor.

The next person we had was Jaclyn Patrizia.

Ms. Patrizia was the one who had mentioned this morning --

THE COURT: She was looking for coverage for her child.

MR. ANDONIAN: For her daughter, and was more certain this afternoon that she was really the only viable option. So on that basis we would ask she be excused for cause.

THE COURT: Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: You know, I think this is a close call.

At the same time, her husband is an attorney. This is not someone who is on a single-household income. Initially she said she could find out about arrangements. I'm not sure she took steps to find that out. I would just submit to the Court that, you know, there is impositions made on everyone when they have to serve jury duty. So I think under all the circumstances, it sounds like she could find alternative

arrangements from her family members.

THE COURT: All right. As it relates to Patrizia

Ms. Patrizia, I think it falls in -- I think she did send in,

if I remember correctly, a hardship request that I denied. I'm

not positive. But as I stated, and the reason that I start

jury selection the way I do, is that it is a civic duty. And

that duty sometimes comes with sacrifices that folks have to

make. So I'm going to overrule the motion as it relates to

Ms. Patrizia.

MR. ANDONIAN: The final one we had was Kira Memery.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, this is just -- she indicated that she had been exposed to news coverage. She felt it was another person in power who had done something to violate the public trust, thought Mr. Jenkins was probably guilty. And despite the questioning that was put to her by the government and Your Honor, it sounded like she was just not going to be able to put her biases aside. So for that basis, we would challenge her for cause.

THE COURT: Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: I disagree with that, actually. I think under questioning Ms. Memery indicated that she very much could keep an open mind. And she stated on repeated occasions, I believe, that she had no opinion with respect to Mr. Jenkins's innocence or guilt as of this moment, which is precisely what

we want jurors to come in having the mindset of. And her exposure to whatever news sources was quite minimal. So I don't think she qualifies for for cause.

THE COURT: My notes indicate she said it's hard to

get to a place where she can be fair. I think, given her hesitation and my recollection of her answers, I'm going to grant the motion and strike Ms. Memery.

Anything further, Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Ms. Brown is going to put together our strike list.

Counsel, you all have the same random list I do. If I look through the strikes that have been granted and then go to the first 28 that have not been granted, we should get down through juror number 35. See if you all agree with that.

Maybe the easier way to do it is this way: As I go through the random list, I have strikes of juror number 2, juror number 4, juror number 10, juror number 18, juror number 22, 25, and 34.

MS. PENG: 34 was denied, Your Honor. It was overruled.

THE COURT: That's right, it was denied.

So we'll go through. It should be the first 28. It will then take us through juror 34, if you all are in agreement with that.

MS. PENG: The government agrees with that.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I then take -- for three alternates, I then take the next seven. I've got strikes for juror number 41, juror number 42, and we should go through juror number 43 for our strikes.

Are we in agreement, Ms. Peng?

MS. PENG: We agree.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the way it's going to work is I believe we're going to have different colored pencils. You already have them. We have a red for the government and blue for the defendant. Make your strikes -- G1, D1, so forth and so on. The government gets six. The defendant gets ten. And we've given you the strike order, I believe, that you all should have that.

Once you've gotten through the strikes of 12 -- and I'm going to draw a line down through 34 -- you all come up so that we can confirm who the 12 are. And we'll just do that with the white noise up here. I am going to bring the jury back in. I want you all to be able to see the jurors as we're making our peremptory strikes. And then after that, unless you have objections while you're up here, we'll do the last four

strikes so that we have our alternates. And we'll just do that here at the bench, okay? So.

Are we ready for the jury? Are we ready for the venire?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the venire in.

They should be coming back in in alphabetical order.

(Jury in, 4:41 p.m.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please be seated.

You can go ahead and let them start striking.

So ladies and gentlemen, first of all, let me thank you for your patience for being here. I kept you out of the rain all day, right?

Let me indicate a little bit of what we've been doing and what's going on now. Since we were last together, we had to take up a number of matters by asking some questions of each of you individually. I want to thank you all for your patience as you waited for us to do that, but actually it made things much more efficient for us to be able to do it that way. And please don't feel offended if you were brought in, and don't feel offended if you were not brought in. It's a way for the lawyers to make sure that they do their job on behalf of their clients to understand who each of you are so that they can make what are called peremptory strikes. The peremptory strikes — and that's what they're doing now — you will notice that we

have more of you than we need. We could not select a jury without bringing in more people than we absolutely need. And that means necessarily that I've had to take each of you away from your work, your family, your daily activities, those things that are near and dear to your heart. I thank you for letting me do that because the service that you provide by being here and being willing to show up and being willing to serve allows us to be able to pick a jury that's going to be able to hear this case over the course of the next seven to eight days. And I want to thank you in that regard.

The lawyers are now going through what are called peremptory strikes where they can strike folks from the jury list for no cause given. It lets us be able to narrow it down to the number of jurors that we need in this particular case. If you're not selected, don't either jump for joy or hang your head low. What I mean by that is this: I know all of you -- or many of you -- thought golly, I've got to spend a day in federal court in Charlottesville, and that's a burden. But I hope that even though you've spent more time sitting on your hands probably than you have sitting in here, I hope that you will find the process to be something that you understand the importance from a civic duty standpoint, because since I became a judge 13 years or so ago -- and I tried cases for 20 years before I became a judge involving jurors. And I never fully appreciated until I became a judge the true embrace that our

citizens give once they step into the box and they raise their hand and they swear to well and truly try the case, and the true embrace that each of you have given as you've answered the questions. You've answered the questionnaires that we sent to you. You've been here on time and you've shown up and answered the questions that have been put to you, and I thank you very much in that regard. So each of you, whether you're selected or not, should walk out of here with your heads held high, and I hope each of you will walk out of here with a little bit of a positive experience understanding the importance of the job that jurors have in that regard.

So as the lawyers go back and forth making their strikes, let me give you a little bit of the history of the Western District of Virginia. Going way, way back actually, what we know as Virginia was one big district. It's now two districts, the Eastern District and the Western District. The Eastern District starts actually just east here of Charlottesville and goes up to DC and all the way down to Tidewater. The Western District is really very long. It goes all the way up to Harrisonburg all the way up to Greene County, all the way up to Shenandoah County. So it almost is touching — almost is touching Maryland — it may actually be touching Maryland, certainly touching West Virginia up there, and it stretches all the way down to Lee County. And if you pull a map out, if you're really curious when you get home,

pull a map out and start looking at the longitudinal lines, you realize that from maybe 45 minutes outside of DC all the way to Lee County is west of Cincinnati. That's how big our district is. And the Western District used to be what is now West Virginia, and there was only one judge that sat in all of what is now the Western District at that time. Ultimately there was another judgeship that was created, and that's the way it was primarily through the 1900s into 1970 when there were two additional judgeships that were created. So now we have four active judgeships.

And so a federal judge is typically nominated by a president, confirmed by the Senate, and they will sit until they decide either to retire or take senior status or not.

Those that choose to take senior status can still sit on a case and hold a full and active docket. So the judges that we have in our district now, our most senior judge is the first woman judge that we had here, most senior active judge, and that's Elizabeth Dillon. She was nominated and confirmed in 2014, and she became our chief judge this summer at the July 4 naturalization ceremony over in Monticello. And Judge Dillon is the first woman to serve as a district judge here in the Western District of Virginia, and obviously then the first chief judge that she has served here in the Western District of Virginia.

Our next most senior district judge is a fellow by

the name of Thomas Cullen. Judge Cullen was appointed in 2018. He was then the sitting United States attorney as well, and he sits in Roanoke, as does Judge Dillon, and where I sit primarily as well.

And then I became a district judge in March of last year. Before that I was a magistrate judge for 11 years, sitting primarily in Roanoke.

And our newest judge sits right here in

Charlottesville. But since this case was already assigned to

me when Judge Yoon came on -- Judge Yoon was confirmed by the

Senate back in February, but she had to wait until Judge

Urbanski took senior status in July, and then she was sworn in.

And she sits just across the hall as well. Judge Yoon is the

first Korean American judge here in the Western District of

Virginia, the first minority judge in the Western District of

Virginia.

Our senior judges are our most senior judge is Judge Moon, who sits over here. He hears criminal cases, and he sits in Lynchburg. He hears all the cases in Lynchburg. Judge Moon has been a judge since before most of us were born, I think. My father was a circuit court judge, and he and Judge Moon served together back in -- I think Judge Moon was appointed a circuit court judge in the early '70s, and they became friends at that time. I knew Judge Moon. He then went on to the state Court of Appeals in the '80s. And then he was confirmed as a

district judge in the 1990 time frame or so, and has been a district judge and became a senior judge in 2010. So he's been a judge for most of his life, and he sits in Lynchburg and here.

Judge Jones sits down primarily in Abingdon. Judge

Jones was a long, long-time member of the Virginia General

Assembly, served on the state School Board, and became a

district judge in 1998 until he became -- until he went on

senior status in 2022, and I was appointed to fill his seat.

Judge Jones still handles a full civil docket over in Abingdon.

He's moved down to Durham where his wife is from, but he comes

up to Abingdon probably three or four times a month and sits

full time up there.

And then our most recent senior judge is Mike

Urbanski. And Judge Urbanski was initially a magistrate judge.

He was appointed as a magistrate judge in 2004, I believe it

was, and served as a magistrate judge until he was appointed as

a district judge in 2011, and I took his spot as a magistrate

judge. But he served as a district judge from 2011 until July

of this year, and at that point in time he took senior status.

He was our chief judge for seven years as well, and ably

managed us through all that COVID gave to us during that time

as well.

We also have three magistrate judges. The magistrate judges, a lot of people don't know what they do, but really if

you ever meet a magistrate judge in federal court, you should hug them because they're the ones that do all the work. They really are. They handle all the initial criminal appearances for folks shortly after they're arrested. They handle all the discovery disputes in civil cases. So if people haven't produced all the documents that somebody wants or answered all the questions in a deposition or whatever, they run to the magistrate judge, and they manage all of that as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And most importantly, what the magistrate judges do is they handle a lot of mediations or settlement conferences. That was one of the things that I enjoyed the most. So in a civil lawsuit, say someone sued someone from another state from an automobile accident, most cases are resolved rather than tried. And most cases go through a mediation process. of the things that our court offers -- and most federal courts offer -- is a free mediation process. It's typically run by the magistrate judge. So the parties and their lawyers will come in and sit down and they'll spend a day mediating back and That's one of the most enjoyable things that a magistrate judge does, because it gives them an opportunity to meet folks just like you all who may find themselves in the middle of a lawsuit, and then they have an opportunity to find a way to resolve the case short of going to trial. I've always said magistrate judges do 90 percent of the work and get about two percent of the credit for the work that gets handled here

in federal court. So if you ever have an opportunity to meet a magistrate judge, thank them for the service that they provide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're probably wondering how in the world -- where are all of our courthouses -- or maybe you're wondering, but I'm going to tell you anyway as we fill our time.

So until -- I guess was it last year, Kelly? until last year we had seven courthouses spread throughout the Western District of Virginia. They were here in Charlottesville, up in Harrisonburg, over in Lynchburg and Danville, Roanoke, Abingdon and Big Stone Gap. How many of you know where Big Stone Gap is? Just a few of you. So basically, go west. You go all the way down to Abingdon and then you turn right on 58 and you go and you'll run into Big Stone Gap. From Roanoke where I sit, it takes almost four hours to get there. And it was an older courthouse that didn't have nearly the foot traffic through it as our other courthouses do. And the courthouse needed a lot of upgrading, and it was difficult to hold certain types of trials in that courthouse. And so we made the decision to close that division and combine it with Abingdon, which is not without some heartache. I know some of you all have driven for an hour and-a-half or so to get here, but some of the folks that sit in Abingdon come from as far away as two to two and-a-half hours to come sit as well. So that can be quite a challenge for some of those folks. But the Abingdon division basically then holds court for all the

counties from Wytheville west. If you look at the map of
Virginia, Wytheville west is a big, big geographical area. The
Roanoke division basically has everything from Wytheville up to
Roanoke and up to Covington as well. The Danville division has
Martinsville across the south side. And then Lynchburg goes
over -- I can't remember the county that's just -- I guess
Lynchburg goes over to Amherst, because Nelson is here in
Charlottesville division. Charlottesville takes us over to the
Eastern District, and then Harrisonburg really stretches way up
into northern Virginia and into Greene County as well.

Since we only have seven judges, we drive a lot. And
so until Judge Yoon came on, I would hear cases in Roanoke,
Charlottesville and Abingdon. And now I hear half the criminal
docket over in Abingdon and in Roanoke, and I have a few cases
still left up here. But I love coming to Charlottesville.

still left up here. But I love coming to Charlottesville.

It's where I went to law school. It's where I went to

undergraduate. My parents went here. When I was a first-year

undergrad, all my brothers and sisters were here. So

Charlottesville is a little bit like a second home for me. I really do enjoy coming up here.

I'll age myself a little bit. Those of you all that know Charlottesville, you know, from Hydraulic Road north, when I was here, the only thing between Hydraulic Road and Greenbrier was the post office. That was it. There was nothing and now it's completely -- completely filled up as

well. Really not much went past the shopping center out at Rio Road. And so it has changed a lot. I don't recognize a lot of it when I come back up here. We spend a fair amount of time on the road, but frankly, I enjoy coming to other courthouses. I enjoy going to other places as well.

So let me -- the only portraits we have here -- so sitting up there is Harry Michael. Harry Michael, for those of you who are old enough, will remember that Harry Michael was a member of the General Assembly. I think he ran for lieutenant governor in the mid '70s unsuccessfully, and then he was appointed as a district judge by President Carter, and sat here in Charlottesville until he took senior status in 1998.

The interesting story is that Judge Jones -- who I told you is one of our senior status judges -- when Judge Michael was confirmed, there were only two federal district judgeships. They were held by Judge Dalton and Judge Turk, and then two additional judgeships were created in 1978 and 1979. And Judge Michael and Judge Jones at that time were nominated to those spots. And then you will recall that's when the Iranian hostage crisis situation arose at the time that the shah of Iran was overthrown, and I think it was 25 or so Americans were taken hostage for over a year. And then President Reagan was elected in 1980. At that point in time there were -- I'm going to finish telling our story -- and at that point in time neither Judge Michael nor Judge Jones had

been confirmed. Judge Michael was confirmed. He was allowed 2 to go on through, and Judge Jones was not. So his nomination 3 fell through. And then fast forward. When Judge Michael took senior status, Judge Jones was appointed by President Clinton 4 5 at that time to fill that spot. So if you all can bear with me for just one second. 6 7 Counsel, if you all can come on up. 8 (Sidebar commenced.) 9 THE COURT: I want to confirm who we have as our 10 jurors, our 12, and then we'll go ahead and strike. 11 I've got Diana Walker -- these are our 12: 12 Walker, Shawn Mitchell, Kelly Rhoden, Susan Thomas, Bobbie 13 Relken, James Belew, Cody Bryant, Christine Estes, Dora Smith, 14 Emily Walker, Sherrie Frazier, and Lisa Choi. 15 Is that who the government has? 16 MS. PENG: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Is that who the defendants have? 18 MR. ANDONIAN: Bradley Cohen, was he --19 THE COURT: Bradley Cohen is the government --20 MR. ANDONIAN: I missed that, sorry. 21 MS. PENG: Your Honor, are you going to announce --22 like tell the alternates that they're alternates or tell them 23 at the end? So we haven't struck the alternates yet. 24 THE COURT: We're going to strike them right now, and 25 then we'll know who the alternates are, but they will not know.

We get to tell them as they go out to deliberate. They will not go back into the jury room.

So with that, we will strike from juror 35, Tyler Haislip, down through juror 43, Amanda Long. Each of you get two strikes. We'll go one and one, one and one.

MS. SMITH: Can I say one thing since we're on the topic of alternates. So once the 12 go back, are those alternates going to still stay under in case something happens during deliberations?

THE COURT: Yes. We tell them they can go home, but be prepared to come back, if necessary.

MS. SMITH: Not discuss the case, that type of thing?

THE COURT: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SMITH: Thank you for clarifying.

THE COURT: Did you all bring your pens up?

One and one, one and one.

The government struck Amanda Long, correct?

MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So our alternates will be Margaret Short,

Jaclyn Patrizia, and Stacie Dowdy. Is that who the government

has?

MS. CHOY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that who the defendant has?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

25 \parallel THE COURT: So it's five after. What I propose that

we do is let everybody go. We'll bring them up. We'll swear 2 My inclination is that I've got maybe ten minutes of 3 instructions. I'm going to let them decide whether they want to hear openings. I'll tell them openings could take 45 to 50 4 5 minutes. My guess is we'll probably let them go. It's been a long day. I think it makes 6 MS. SMITH: 7 sense to go tomorrow. 8 THE COURT: So I'll just let them go. I do want to 9 give them their instructions so they get the whole thing of don't listen to anybody. 10 11 MS. PENG: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 MR. ANDONIAN: Could you include about the lawyers 13 appearing to ignore them in the hallways? THE COURT: Yes. 14 (Sidebar concluded.) 15 16 THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, we do have a 17 jury at this time. So at this point in time I'm going to turn 18 you over to the hands of Ms. Brown. 19 THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, I will now call the 20 names of the jurors who are to serve in this case. As your 21 names are called, please come forward and take your seats in 22 the jury box. Those whose names are not called should remain 23 seated until excused by the Court. 24 Diana Veronica Walker, Shawn Holden Mitchell, Kelly

Marie Rhoden, Susan Thomas, Bobbie Swaringen Relken, James

25

Lawrence Belew, Jr., Cody Daniel Bryant, Christine Crute Estes,
Dora Shelton Smith, Emily Hobgood Walker, Sherrie Lynn Frazier,
Lisa Michelle Choi, Margaret Conway Short, Jaclyn Woodyatt
Patrizia, Stacie Lee Dowdy.

Ladies and gentlemen, please stand and raise your right hands to be sworn.

Do you and each of you solemnly swear that you will well and truly try the issue in the United States versus Scott Howard Jenkins and a true verdict render according to the law and the evidence?

ALL JURORS: Yes

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, for those of you that have been summonsed here today for jury service and have not been chosen to serve will be dismissed at this time. It may well be that the clerk will call you for another panel at a later time, but we won't need you for today.

Hang on just a second, please. I get to get one more thanks in.

Again, I want to take this opportunity to express the Court's appreciation for your presence today. I know it's been a long day and it may have been inconvenient for you -- for some, if not all of you. And I know your daily routine has been disrupted. But I tell you again, we could not have selected a jury without each of you being here and participating. The jury system is one of the oldest and most

valuable aspects -- valued aspects of our legal procedure.

Service on a jury panel such as you performed here today, is one of the highest civic duties of government, whether federal or state, that you can be called upon to perform. Your presence here today -- by your presence here today, you've made an important contribution to our nation, to your state, and to your community. On behalf of the Court, all the court officers, each of the parties, and all the people here in the Western District, I thank you at this time and excuse you from any further participation in the case. Now I'll allow you to be dismissed. And again, thank you very much for being here.

(Pause.)

You all please have a seat.

So ladies and gentlemen, thank you all again. Here's the process. It's a quarter after 5. I'm going to get you out of here as quickly as I can. There's some preliminary instructions that I must give every jury before we begin. I want to give those to you today and then dismiss you, because there's some instructions that are embedded in that with respect to how to handle the inevitable questions that you're going to get tonight and other nights as well. So I want to give those to you. That way when we come back here tomorrow morning, we're going to hit the ground running. And we're going to start right up with the opening statements and move straight into the case as well. So let me give you those

instructions.

I will tell you this: That when you come back in, our CSO is going to get you acquainted with the jury room here this afternoon. You can go straight to the jury room. We will start promptly at 9 o'clock. You're not wedded to the seats that you're in. Some juries stay in the exact same seats.

Some it's a mystery, whichever time they come in, as to where they're going to be seated. It's up to you all as well. So let me give you these preliminary instructions.

First of all, no objection to the jury having been seated; is that right, from the government's standpoint?

MS. PENG: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

Members of the jury, now that you've been sworn, I'll give you some preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation of the trial. It will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You, and you alone, are the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply those facts to the law as the Court will give it to you. You must follow that law, whether you agree with it or not. Nothing that the Court may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses and documents and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts that are agreed or stipulated to or that the Court may instruct you to find. Certain things are not evidence and must not be considered by you. I'll list those for you now. One, statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are not evidence. Two, objections to questions are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their client to make an objection when they believe that the evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it. If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If it is overruled, treat the answer just like any other. If you are instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction. Three, testimony that the Court has excluded or told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. Four, anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom. There are two kinds of evidence, direct and

circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact,

such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is

proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other

facts exist. I will give you further instructions on these, as well as other matters, at the end of the case. But have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or to reject. I will give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case.

As you know, this is a criminal case. There are three basic rules about criminal cases that you must keep in First, the defendant is presumed innocent until proven quilty. The indictment against the defendant brought by the government is only an accusation and nothing more. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. The defendant, therefore, starts out with a clean slate. Second, the burden of proof is on the government throughout the case. The defendant has no burden to prove his innocence or to present any evidence or to testify, since the defendant has the right to remain silent. The law prohibits you, in arriving at your verdict, from considering that the defendant may not testify. Third, the government must prove the defendant's quilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Bear in mind that in this respect a criminal case is different from a civil case. I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case, and these instructions will control your deliberations and decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now a few words about your conduct as jurors. First, I instruct you that during the trial you are not to discuss the case with anyone, or to permit anyone to discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you simply are not to talk about the case. Second, do not read or listen to anything touching on this case in any way. If anyone should try to talk to you about it, bring it to the Court's attention promptly. Third, do not try to do any research or make any investigation on the case on your own. You as jurors must decide this case based solely on the evidence presented here within the walls of this courtroom. You should not consult dictionaries or reference materials, search the Internet, websites, blogs, or use any electronic tools to obtain information about this case or to help you to decide it. Please do not try to find out information from any source outside the confines of this If there is publicity about this trial, you must courtroom. The use of cell phones, the Internet, and other ignore it. technology are not allowed while court is in session. You must not use these tools to communicate electronically with anyone about the case. This includes your family and friends. You may not communicate with anyone about the case on your cell phone, through email or text messaging, or through any blog, website, or Internet chatroom, or by way of any social networking website, including Facebook, X, LinkedIn, YouTube,

or whatever the particular social website of the day is. If you have access to a cell phone, iPad or other technology, you may not use it to access the Internet, your email, or any social networking or other websites while you are in court or on a break from court.

Finally, do not form an opinion until all evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case. If you wish, you may take notes. And we'll provide notepads and pens for you all in the morning.

Even though the court reporter is making a stenographic copy of everything that is said, a typewritten copy of the testimony will not be available to you for use during your deliberations.

On the other hand, the exhibits that come into evidence may be available to you during deliberations, and I'll give you further guidance on that at the end of the case.

If you do take notes, be careful not to get so involved in note-taking that you become distracted and miss part of the testimony. Your notes are to be used only as aids for your memory. And if your memory should later be different from your notes, you should rely upon your memory and not your notes. Any notes that you take are for your own personal use. They're not to be given or read to anyone else. And when you leave the room at the end of the day, your notes must be left in the jury room. If you do not take notes, rely upon your independent memory of the testimony. Whether or not you choose

to take notes, remember, it is your own individual responsibility to listen carefully to the evidence. You cannot give this responsibility to someone who is taking notes. We depend upon the judgment of all members of the jury.

The trial will begin in the morning. First the government will make an opening statement, which is simply an outline to help you understand the evidence as it comes in.

Next, the defendant's counsel may -- but does not have to -- make an opening statement. Opening statements are neither evidence nor arguments. Next, the government will present its witnesses, and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine them. Following the government's case, the defendant's counsel may, if they wish, present witnesses whom the government may then cross-examine. After all the evidence is in, the attorneys will make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you, and the Court will give you instructions of law. You will then retire to deliberate.

We'll proceed along the following schedule: First court will begin promptly at 9:00 a.m. For that reason I ask that everyone be here by 8:45 each morning so that we can be ready to start promptly at 9:00 a.m. I will take a 15-minute morning and afternoon recess generally around 11 and 3:15, depending upon where we are in the evidence at that time. I'll generally break for one hour for lunch between 12:30 and 1, and we'll wrap up the evening typically sometime between 5:30 and 6

o'clock.

Speaking of breaks, raise your hand, let us know and let the court staff know if you need an additional break. We don't want you to be uncomfortable. If another break is necessary, let us know. Importantly, if you find that your attention is lagging or you're getting sleepy, please let me know so that we can take a break for a cup of coffee or for a soft drink to help you keep focused.

Throughout the trial, the lawyers may come in and out of the courtroom. They do this for many different reasons, but typically it is to make sure that the next witness is ready or that they are prepared for the next witness themselves. This allows the trial to proceed much more efficiently. And I have given them specific permission to come and go in and out of the courtroom as necessary.

Now, a couple of guides with respect to when you all leave to go home this evening. So let me just give you this additional instruction, and with it, it will apply not only during this break, but also when you go home. So first of all, we're about to break for the evening. Remember, until the trial is over, do not discuss the case with anyone, including your fellow jurors, members of your family, people involved in the trial, or anyone else, and do not allow others to discuss the case with you. This includes discussing the case on the Internet chatrooms or other Internet blogs, bulletins, emails,

text messaging or other social website. If anyone tries to communicate with you about the case, please let me know about it immediately. Do not read, watch, or listen to any news reports or other accounts about the trial or anyone associated with it, including any online information. Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials, and do not make any investigation about the case on your own. Keep an open mind until all the evidence has been presented and you have heard the arguments of counsel, my instructions on the law and the views of your fellow jurors. If you need to speak with me about anything, simply give a signed note to the CSO or any of the other court staff.

Now, a couple of final words as well. You're going to get home and people are going to say: Tell me about the case. You're going to get home -- whether it be a spouse, a friend, whatever it may be -- tell me about the case. Judge Ballou said I can't talk about it. You're going to get pressed. Just simply say, it is a criminal case. I can't talk at all about it. If news reports come on, leave the room. If you see anything in the newspaper, do not read the article. If you see a headline, skip past it. If anyone tries to talk to you about the case, please let me know. It is important that you all remain a clean slate, and the only information you get from here.

You may see people out on the street. You may see lawyers walking around the courtroom, around the courthouse during breaks. They are specifically instructed by me to ignore you. Don't be offended if a lawyer walks past you and it appears that they don't even see you. They are told not to even acknowledge you. And you all should do the same as well. And don't be offended by that also, because it's very important that you are a group amongst yourselves who are going to decide the case.

With that, ladies and gentlemen, it is 5:30. Like I said, we're going to start tomorrow morning promptly at 9 o'clock, if all of you can try to be here by 8:45. That way we will be ready to go promptly, and we'll start with the opening statements as well.

With that, I'm going to wish you all safe travels home tonight and safe travels back in the morning. Again, I want to thank you -- I'll say it throughout, I truly do mean it -- thank you very much for your service. This has been a long day and a lot of waiting, but tomorrow we will get to the case. And I very much thank you for your service on behalf of not only the court staff here, but also on behalf of each of the parties and all the people here in the Western District of Virginia. I thank you very much for your service.

With that, we'll allow you all to be excused. Go back with the CSO so you'll know where the jury room is and

then you're free to go.

(Jury out, 5:32 p.m.)

THE COURT: You all please be seated. We'll start tomorrow morning promptly at 9 o'clock, Ms. Smith, with your opening statement.

Otherwise, is there anything else we need to take up on behalf of the government this evening?

MS. PENG: Nothing from the government. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing from us.

THE COURT: I will be here tomorrow morning probably well before 8:30, but certainly by 8:30. I'll be ready to go at 9. If I need to come up for us to be able to start at 8:45 if there's anything that comes up, you all just let Ms. Brown or the CSOs know so we can start early. And frankly, if everyone gets here at 8:45 and they're ready to go and it's 8:50 and you all are ready to go, let's start. I think they've had a long day and I think they're going to be ready to get to work.

With that, thank you all very much. I appreciate your good efforts today. We're going to again work on our jury instructions. Hope to get those to you tomorrow evening so that you can have our view. Less is more as it goes to jury instructions. There are a lot right now.

Very well. So we'll stand in recess for the evening.

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
              USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024
   (Proceedings adjourned, 5:34 p.m.)
1
2
3
 4
5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 253 Filed 12/11/24 Page 267 of 267 Pageid#: 1586

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23cr11, 12/11/2024

CERTIFICATE

I, Lisa M. Blair, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ Lisa M. Blair Date: December 11, 2024